1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT W. BYRNE	
3	Sally Magnani Michael L. Newman	
4	Senior Assistant Attorneys General MICHAEL P. CAYABAN	
5	CHRISTINE CHUANG EDWARD H. OCHOA	
6	Supervising Deputy Attorneys General HEATHER C. LESLIE	
7	Janelle M. Smith James F. Zahradka II	
8	LEE I. SHERMAN (SBN 272271) Deputy Attorneys General	
9	300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
10	Telephone: (213) 269-6404 Fax: (213) 897-7605	
11	E-mail: Lee.Sherman@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California	
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	OAKLAND DIVISION	
15	UAKLANL	DIVISION
16		
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; STATE OF	Case No. 4:19-cv-00872-HSG
18	COLORADO; STATE OF CONNECTICUT; STATE OF	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
19	DELAWARE; STATE OF HAWAII; STATE OF ILLINOIS; STATE OF	EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMITS FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
20	MAINE; STATE OF MARYLAND; COMMONWEALTH OF	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
21	MASSACHUSETTS; ATTORNEY GENERAL DANA NESSEL ON BEHALF	Judge: Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
22	OF THE PEOPLE OF MICHIGAN; STATE OF MINNESOTA; STATE OF	Trial Date: None Set Action Filed: February 18, 2019
23	NEVADA; STATE OF NEW JERSEY; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; STATE OF	
24	NEW YORK; STATE OF OREGON; STATE OF RHODE ISLAND; STATE OF	
25	VERMONT; COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA; and STATE OF WISCONSIN	
26	Plaintiffs,	
27	v.	
28		

1 **DONALD J. TRUMP,** in his official capacity as President of the United States of America; 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE; PATRICK 3 M. SHANAHAN, in his official capacity as 4 Acting Secretary of Defense; MARK T. **ESPER**, in his official capacity as Secretary of 5 the Army; **RICHARD V. SPENCER**, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Navy; 6 **HEATHER WILSON**, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Air Force; U.S. 7 **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY;** 8 STEVEN T. MNUCHIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Treasury; U.S. 9 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR; **DAVID BERNHARDT**, in his official capacity 10 as Acting Secretary of the Interior; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND 11 SECURITY; KIRSTJEN M. NIELSEN, in 12 her official capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security; 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff States hereby move under N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 7-11 for an order authorizing Plaintiff States to file an oversize brief in excess of the page limit in support of a Motion for Preliminary Injunction (PI Motion) that is attached to this Administrative Motion. To address the numerous legal issues involved in the PI Motion and include sufficient evidence of harm for the numerous Plaintiff States that are seeking relief, Plaintiff States request an additional 10 pages—or 35 pages total—for their PI Motion. The applicable rule (N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 7-2) would limit the PI Motion to 25 pages.

DISCUSSION

Good cause exists to grant this Administrative Motion. In the PI Motion, Plaintiff States are seeking to prevent Defendants from diverting federal funds and resources toward construction of a border wall for the pendency of this litigation. Plaintiff States are asserting a likelihood of success on numerous statutory and constitutional claims to contest Defendants' unlawful redirection of funds and resources appropriated for other purposes towards border wall construction, and to challenge Defendants' plan to proceed with border wall construction without complying with the National Environmental Policy Act.

Plaintiff States request additional pages so they can provide necessary details regarding Defendants' actions and sufficient analysis of the underlying statutes and constitutional principles relevant to Plaintiff States' claims. Declaration of Lee I. Sherman ¶ 4. Plaintiff States also request additional pages for their PI Motion to outline the evidence of irreparable harm that supports that Motion. There are twenty states moving for relief and while Plaintiff States are endeavoring to be concise in their briefing, additional pages are required given the variety of claims raised and number of Plaintiff States involved in the PI Motion. *Id.* ¶ 5.

Plaintiff States were not able to meet and confer with Defendants concerning this request for additional pages because counsel for Defendants have not yet appeared in this litigation or submitted notices of appearance. *Id.* \P 6. Therefore, Plaintiff States were not able to obtain a stipulation from Defendants concerning the relief sought in this Motion. *Id.* If this Administrative Motion is granted, Plaintiff States would not oppose a request from Defendants

1 (collectively) seeking leave to file excess pages in response to Plaintiffs' PI Motion, where 2 Defendants' response also would be limited to 35 pages total. *Id.* ¶ 7. 3 For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff States respectfully requests that the Court GRANT 4 their Administrative Motion for leave to exceed the applicable limits for its PI Motion, and deem 5 FILED the Plaintiff States' PI Motion that is attached to this Administrative Motion. 6 7 8 Dated: April 4, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 9 XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California 10 ROBERT W. BYRNE SALLY MAGNANI 11 MICHAEL L. NEWMAN Senior Assistant Attorneys General 12 MICHAEL P. CAYABAN CHRISTINE CHUANG 13 EDWARD H. OCHOA Supervising Deputy Attorneys General 14 HEATHER C. LESLIE JANELLE M. SMITH 15 JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II 16 /s/ Lee I. Sherman 17 LEE I. SHERMAN Deputy Attorneys General 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28