

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WHATSAPP INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES
LIMITED, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 19-cv-07123-PJH

**ORDER RE AUGUST 28 LETTER
BRIEF**

Re: Dkt. 371

United States District Court
Northern District of California

On August 27, 2024, the court issued an order addressing a number of discovery disputes between the parties. See Dkt. 370. In relevant part, the court denied as moot defendants’ request to compel plaintiffs to produce a privilege log, based on plaintiffs’ representation “that they intend to produce a privilege log in advance of the first deposition of plaintiffs’ witnesses.” See id. at 1.

On August 28, defendants filed a letter brief asserting that the first deposition of a plaintiffs’ witness took place on August 14, and that plaintiffs did not produce a privilege log in advance of the deposition, nor have they done so as of the letter brief’s filing. See Dkt. 371.

The court construes defendants’ letter brief as a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration based on a “material difference in fact . . . from that which was presented to the Court before entry of the interlocutory order for which reconsideration is sought,” and GRANTS the motion for leave. See Civil L.R. 7-9(b)(1). Defendants may file a separate motion for reconsideration, not to exceed five (5) pages, by **Tuesday, September 3, 2024**, or defendants may stand on their current letter brief if they have

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

nothing to add. Plaintiffs may file a response, not to exceed five (5) pages, by
Wednesday, September 4, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 30, 2024

 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge