1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	WHATSAPP INC., et al.,	Case No. 19-cv-07123-PJH
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	V.	ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS
10	NSO GROUP TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED, et al.,	Re: Dkt. 388, 392
11	Defendants.	

Before the court are two administrative motions filed by plaintiffs. In the first motion, plaintiffs seek an enlargement of time, until October 2, 2024 to file any discovery-related motions under Civil Local Rule 37-3. <u>See</u> Dkt. 388. Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. <u>See</u> Dkt. 391.

In the second motion, plaintiffs seek leave to file a reply in support of their first
administrative motion. <u>See</u> Dkt. 392. The deadline for defendants to file a response to
the second motion has not yet passed. However, because the court believes that
plaintiffs' first administrative motion can be resolved without the need for a reply,
plaintiffs' second administrative motion (Dkt. 392) is DENIED, and defendants need not
file a formal opposition to it.

As to the first motion, the court concludes that there is good cause to extend the deadline for discovery-related motions. Given the sheer number of discovery-related disputes throughout the history of this case, the court believes it would be advantageous to allow the parties to fully evaluate the opposing party's productions and to meet and confer to narrow disputes whenever possible. However, the court agrees with the

12

13

14

15

16

17

Dockets.Justia.com

391 at 5. Accordingly, plaintiffs' first administrative motion (Dkt. 388) is GRANTED, and the deadline for both parties to file discovery-related motions under Civil Local Rule 37-3 shall be extended to October 2, 2024. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 27, 2024 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

argument made in defendants' opposition, that any extension must be mutual. See Dkt.