

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 CLOUDERA, INC.,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 DATABRICKS, INC., et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. [21-cv-01217-HSG](#)


**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
STRIKE NEW ARGUMENTS**

Re: Dkt. No. 94

12  
13 On May 27, 2021, Plaintiff filed an Administrative Motion to Strike New Arguments in  
14 Defendant's Reply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint or,  
15 Alternatively, For Leave to File Sur-Reply. Dkt. No. 94 ("Mot."); 96 ("Opp."). The Court  
16 **GRANTS IN PART** Plaintiff's Administrative Motion as follows: The alternative argument on  
17 pages 5:15-7:2, and the subheading on page 5, of Defendant Databricks, Inc.'s Reply in Further  
18 Support of its Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, *see* Dkt. No. 92, is stricken from the  
19 Reply Brief and will not be considered by the Court.<sup>1</sup> Given this ruling, Plaintiff's alternative  
20 request for leave to file a sur-reply is **DENIED**.

21  
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: 6/3/2021

24   
25 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
26 United States District Judge

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's request to strike page 5:6-14 and the remainder of the  
parenthetical on line 15 and footnote four.