Ramos v. The GAP, Inc. Doc. 19

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP				
2	Joseph Duffy, Bar No. 241854 Megan A. Suehiro, Bar No. 316104				
3	Brittany M. Johnson, Bar No. 328436 300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 T: +1.213.612.2500				
4					
5	F: +1.213.612.2501 joseph.duffy@morganlewis.com				
6	megan.suehiro@morganlewis.com brittany.johnson@morganlewis.com				
7	Counsel for Defendant				
8	BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.	GUCOVSCHI ROZENSHTEYN, PLLC. Adrian Gucovschi ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) 630 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 New York, NY 10111			
9	L. Timothy Fisher, Bar No. 191626 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940				
10	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 T: +1.925.300.4455				
11	F: +1.925.407.2700 ltfisher@bursor.com	T: +1.212.884.4230 F. +1.212.884.4230			
12	Joseph I Marchese (pro hac vice forthcoming)	adrian@gr-firm.com  Counsel for Plaintiff			
13	Alec M. Leslie ( <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) 1330 Avenue of the Americas				
14	F. +1.212.989.9163				
15					
16	Counsel for Plaintiff				
17					
18	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT			
19	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
20		C 422 04715 HOC			
21	EFREN RAMOS, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,	Case 4:23-cv-04715-HSG			
22	Plaintiff,	Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.			
23	VS.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND OPPOSITION AND REPLY			
24	THE GAP, INC.	DEADLINES ASSOCIATED WITH			
25	Defendant.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS; ORDER			
26					
27					
28					
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND OPPOSITION AND REPLY DEADLINES ASSOCIATED WITH DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS; ORDER				
	CASE 4:23-CV-04715-HSG				

- 1	
1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff Efren Ramos ("Plaintiff") and
2	Defendant The Gap, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively the "Parties"), by and through their
3	undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully submit this joint stipulation to extend the opposition and
4	reply deadlines associated with Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint ("Motion to Dismiss")
5	(ECF No. 16):
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's Complaint was filed on September 13, 2023;
7	WHEREAS, through four stipulations filed on December 6, 2023, January 5, 2024,
8	January 12, 2024 and January 23, 2024, respectively, Defendant's deadline to respond to
9	Plaintiff's Complaint was extended until January 26, 2024;
10	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss on January 26, 2024 and set the
11	hearing on the Motion to Dismiss for April 4, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.;
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's
13	Motion to Dismiss is currently due on February 9, 2024;
14	WHEREAS, due to existing litigation conflicts, Plaintiff requires additional time to
15	prepare and file his opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss;
16	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and agreed to extend Plaintiff's deadline
17	to file his opposition to the Motion to Dismiss from February 9, 2024 to March 1, 2024, as well as
18	extend Defendant's resultant deadline to file its reply by one week, from March 8, 2024 to March
19	15, 2024;
20	WHEREAS, there have been no previous requests to extend the opposition and reply
21	deadlines;
22	WHEREAS, with these extensions, the briefing would be complete twenty (20) days
23	before the April 4, 2024 hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, which is sufficient time
24	before the hearing under the Civil Local Rules;
25	WHEREAS, these extensions would not alter the date of any event or any deadline
26	already fixed by Court order;
27	

28

1	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate that Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's		
2	Motion to Dismiss shall be due on March 1, 2024, and Defendant's reply in support of its Motion		
3	to Dismiss shall be due on March 15, 2024.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6	Dated: February 6, 2024 BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.		
7	By /s/L. Timothy Fisher		
8	L. Timothy Fisher  Counsel for Plaintiff		
9			
10	Dated: February 6, 2024 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
11			
12	By /s/Joseph Duffy Joseph Duffy		
13	Counsel for Defendant		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION TO EXTEND OPPOSITION AND REPLY DEADLINES ASSOCIATED WITH DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS; ORDER CASE 4:23-CV-04715-HSG

## FILER'S ATTESTATION Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the document's content, and have authorized the filing. Dated: February 6, 2024 BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. By: /s/L. Timothy Fisher L. Timothy Fisher Counsel for Plaintiff

1		ORDER	
2	Having considered the Parties' Stipulation to Extend Opposition and Reply Deadlines		
3	Associated with Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, and good cause appearing, it is hereby		
4	ORDERED that:		
5	1.	Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss shall be due on March 1,	
6		2024.	
7	2.	Defendant's reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss shall be due on March 15,	
8		2024.	
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	Dated: 2/7/202	Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
12		United States District Judge	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$			
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 27 \end{bmatrix}$			
<i>-</i>			

28