Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,

v.

JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED IP ADDRESS 73.222.95.224,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 23-cv-06052-JSW

ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE SUBPOENA PRIOR TO CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Re: Dkt. Nos. 7-8

Plaintiff, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC, moves ex parte for leave to serve a third party subpoena prior to a Rule 26(f) conference. The Court finds that:

- 1. Plaintiff has established that good cause exists for it to serve a third party subpoena on Comcast Cable ("Initial ISP Provider"). See UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe, No. C 08-1193 SBA, 2008 WL 4104214, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2008); Arista Records LLC v. Does 1–19, 551 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6–7 (D.D.C. 2008).
- Plaintiff may serve the Initial ISP Provider with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding it to provide Plaintiff only with the true name and address of the Defendant to whom the Initial ISP Provider assigned the IP address 73.222.95.224. Plaintiff shall attach a copy of this order to any such subpoena.
- 3. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the same manner as above on any service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a provider of Internet services to the Defendant (together with the Initial ISP Provider, the "ISPs").
- 4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that subpoenas authorized by this order, and issued pursuant thereto, shall be deemed appropriate court orders under 47 U.S.C. § 551, which provides that "[a] cable operator may disclose [personally identifying] information if the disclosure is . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

//

//

made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed." 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B). This order is an order authorizing such disclosure.

- 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each ISP will have 30 days from the date of service upon it to serve each of its subscriber(s) whose identifying information is sought with a copy of the subpoena and a copy of this order. The ISPs may serve the subscribers using any reasonable means, including written notice sent to the subscriber's last known address, transmitted either by first-class mail or via overnight service.
- 6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that each subscriber and each ISP shall have 30 days from the date of service upon him, her or it to file any motions in this court contesting the subpoena (including a motion to quash or modify the subpoena). If the 30-day period lapses without the subscriber contesting the subpoena, the ISP shall have 10 days to produce to Plaintiff the information responsive to the subpoena with respect to that subscriber.
- 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any ISP that receives a subpoena shall preserve all subpoenaed information pending the ISP's delivering such information to Plaintiff or the final resolution of a timely filed motion to quash the subpoena with respect to such information.
- 8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of protecting its rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.
- 9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any documents containing the name or other personal identifying information of any current or proposed defendant shall be filed under seal. In so doing, Strike 3 is instructed to follow the procedure set forth in Civil Local Rule 79-5 for all documents that it seeks to file under seal. The redacted version of all documents in the public record should redact only Defendant's name and any other personal identifying information. Strike 3 shall continue to use the current caption of the case, including the assigned IP address, but //

United States District Court Northern District of California

may identify Defendant by name in the redacted portions of the sealed documents.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Court CONTINUES the case management conference

from February 23, 2024 to April 26, 2024 at 11:00 a.m. The parties' joint case management

conference statement shall be due by April 19, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2023

JEFFREY S. WHITE/ United Stytes District Judge