1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROBERTA STEELE, SBN 188198 (CA) MARCIA L. MITCHELL, SBN 18122 (WA) MARIKO M. ASHLEY, SBN 311897 (CA) U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Francisco District Office 450 Golden Gate Ave., 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor West P.O. Box 36025 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone No. (650) 684-0943 Fax No. (415) 522-3425 mariko.ashley@eeoc.gov  MAY CHE, SBN 4255378 (NY) U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Seattle Field Office 909 First Avenue, Suite 400	MICHELLE FINKEL FERBER, #149929 mferber@ferberlaw.com CONNOR M. DAY, #233245 cday@ferberlaw.com FERBER LAW, A Professional Corporation 2603 Camino Ramon, Suite 385 San Ramon, California 94583 Tel: (925) 355-9800 Fax: (925) 263-1676  Attorneys for Respondent Security Industry Specialists, Inc.  CDF LABOR LAW LLP Alison L. Tsao, State Bar No. 198250 atsao@cdflaborlaw.com Candace DesBaillets, State Bar No. 315284			
10 11	Seattle, WA 98104 TEL: (206) 576-3011 may.che@eeoc.gov	cdesbaillets@cdflaborlaw.com 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 440 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-3233			
12 13	Attorneys for Applicant EEOC	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor Apple Inc.			
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
16 17	OAKLAND	DIVISION			
18 19	U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	Case No.: 4:23-mc-80112-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER			
20 21	Applicant, vs.	REGARDING APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AND APPLICATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND			
22	SECURITY INDUSTRY SPECIALISTS, INC.,	CLARIFYING SCOPE OF SUBPOENA SF-22-09			
23 24	Respondent.				
25 26					
27					
28					

Dockets.Justia.com

## 

## **STIPULATION**

This stipulation is entered into by Applicant Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), Respondent Security Industry Specialists, Inc. ("SIS"), and Proposed-Intervenor Apple Inc. ("Apple"), collectively, the "Parties."

WHEREAS, the EEOC filed an Application for Order Enforcing Administrative Subpoena SF-22-09 against SIS on April 10, 2023. (ECF No. 1);

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2023, the Court granted the EEOC's Application to Enforce Administrative Subpoena and ordered SIS to produce documents within 14 days (by July 12, 2023) and certify that it produced all documents in Respondent's possession, custody, and control responsive to Subpoena SF-22-09, except for documents detailed in Request J. (ECF No. 19);

WHEREAS, Apple filed a Motion to Intervene and Application for Protective Order ("Motion") on July 12, 2023 (ECF No. 20), which sought a protective order for certain information to be produced by SIS which it asserted contains Apple's sensitive, proprietary and/or confidential information;

WHEREAS, SIS produced documents on July 26 and 31, 2023 but withheld additional documents pending Apple's Motion;

WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred to resolve the issues raised in Apple's Motion. (ECF No. 21, 25);

WHEREAS, the hearing for Apple's Motion is currently set for October 12, 2023. (ECF No. 26);

THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that, subject to Court approval:

- 1. Apple filed its Motion to protect its sensitive, confidential, and/or proprietary information. Apple shall be permitted to intervene in this subpoena enforcement action to effectuate the full and efficient resolution of the EEOC's subpoena enforcement action, as stipulated by the Parties;
- 2. SIS may redact information which the EEOC has determined is not necessary to advance its investigation of the charges of age discrimination filed by Mark Gonzales (550-2020-01138) and Cynthia Tiberend (No. 550-2020-01138) at this time, as follows:

28 Request J ar

- a. SIS may redact the street address (including city, state, and zip code) of a single Apple business site whose location is confidential and not publicly known, provided that SIS includes overlay text on the redactions with a pseudonym so that the EEOC can readily identify that the redaction references the confidential site and can distinguish it from other locations. To clarify, SIS may not redact the addresses of any other Apple locations, including Apple Retail Stores, Apple headquarters, and publicly known Apple corporate offices. The EEOC has provided a sample of the proposed redaction method to the Parties, which can be done in software programs including Adobe Acrobat, attached as **Exhibit A**.
- b. SIS may redact the dollar amounts of actual or proposed payment rates made by Apple to SIS, as long as those redactions do not include information about the payment of wages to SIS employees or the payments concerning the COVID-19 Screener program, including any temporary pay increase made to COVID-19 Screeners the amount of any payment rate intended to cover the temporary pay increase made to COVID-19 Screeners.
- 3. Apple may direct SIS to stamp documents that it believes contain information protected from disclosure under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Exemption 4, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), consistent with 29 C.F.R § 1610.19(b) (predisclosure notification procedures for confidential commercial information).
- 4. It is the EEOC's position that the Application for Protective Order is premature. Apple will withdraw its application for protective order at this time. *See E.E.O.C. v. Anna's Linens Co.*, No. C 06-80009 MISC MMC (WDB), 2006 WL 1329548 (N.D. Cal. May 15, 2006), *report and recommendation adopted sub nom. E.E.O.C. v. Anna's Linens, Inc.*, No. C 06-80009 MISC MMC (WDB), 2006 WL 1876625 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2006); *E.E.O.C. v. Kidder Peabody, Peabody & Co. Inc.*, No. M18-304, 1992 WL 73344, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 1992); *Valley Indus. Servs., Inc. v. E.E.O.C.*, 570 F. Supp. 902, 905 (N.D. Cal. 1983).
- 5. SIS must produce all outstanding documents and information to the EEOC within 14 days of the Court's Order, and at the same time certify that it produced all documents in its possession, custody, and control responsive to Subpoena SF-22-09, except for documents detailed in Request J and redactions detailed in Paragraph 2 (a) & (b), above.

1	6. The October 12, 2023 hearing date and all related briefing deadlines will be taken off				
2	calendar.				
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.				
4	4				
5	Date: September 15, 2023	/s/ Mariko M. Ashley			
6	5	Mariko M. Ashley Attorney for Applicant U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity			
7		Commission			
8	Date: September 15, 2023	/s/ Connor M. Day			
9 10		Connor M. Day Attorney for Respondent Security Industry Specialists, Inc.			
11	Date: September 15, 2023	/s/ Alison L. Tsao			
12	2	Alison L. Tsao Attorney for Proposed Intervenor			
13	3	Apple İnc.			
14	4				
15	5				
16	5				
17	7				
18	3				
19	)				
20					
21	1				
22	2				
23	3				
24	4				
25	5				
26	5				
27	7				
28	3				

<u>ORDER</u>

Based on the foregoing stipulation of the Applicant U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Respondent Security Industry Specialists, Inc. and Proposed-Intervenor Apple Inc., and for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT

- 1. Apple's Motion to Intervene in this subpoena enforcement is GRANTED to effectuate the full and efficient resolution of this subpoena enforcement action, as stipulated by the Parties;
- 2. SIS may redact the following information which is not necessary to the EEOC's investigation of the charges of age discrimination filed by Mark Gonzales (550-2020-01138) and Cynthia Tiberend (No. 550-2020-01138) at this time:
  - a. SIS may redact the street address (including city, state, and zip code) of a single Apple business site whose location is confidential and not publicly known, provided that SIS includes overlay text on the redactions with a pseudonym so that the EEOC can readily identify that the redaction references the confidential site and can distinguish it from other locations. The redactions must be done in a manner consistent with **Exhibit A**. To clarify, SIS may not redact the addresses of any other Apple locations, including Apple Retail Stores, Apple headquarters, and publicly known Apple corporate offices.
  - b. SIS may redact the dollar amounts of actual or proposed payment rates made by Apple to SIS, as long as those redactions do not include information about the payment of wages to SIS employees or payments concerning the COVID-19 Screener program, including any temporary pay increase made to COVID-19 Screeners or the amount of any payment rate intended to cover the temporary pay increase made to COVID-19 Screeners.
- 3. Apple may direct SIS to stamp documents that it believes contain information protected from disclosure under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Exemption 4, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), consistent with 29 C.F.R § 1610.19(b) (predisclosure notification procedures for confidential commercial information).
- 4. Apple will withdraw its application for protective order. *See E.E.O.C. v. Anna's Linens Co.*, No. C 06-80009 MISC MMC (WDB), 2006 WL 1329548 (N.D. Cal. May 15, 2006),

1	report and recommendation adopted sub nom. E.E.O.C. v. Anna's Linens, Inc., No. C 06-80009				
2	MISC MMC (WDB), 2006 WL 1876625 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2006); E.E.O.C. v. Kidder Peabody,				
3	Peabody & Co. Inc., No. M18-304, 1992 WL 73344, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 1992); Valley Indus.				
4	Servs., Inc. v. E.E.O.C., 570 F. Supp. 902, 905 (N.D. Cal. 1983).				
5	5. SIS must produce all outstanding documents and information to the EEOC within 14				
6	days of the Court's Order, and at the same time certify that it produced all documents in its				
7	possession, custody, and control responsive to Subpoena SF-22-09, except for documents detailed in				
8	Request J and redactions detailed in Paragraph 2 (a) & (b).				
9	6. The October 12, 2023 hearing date and all related briefing deadlines are hereby				
10	VACATED.				
11					
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
13	T 10/17/2000				
14 15	Dated: 9/15/2023  HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  U.S. District Court Judge				
16	U.S. District Court Judge				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
	$\mathbf{I}$				

28



## U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION San Francisco District Office

Phillip Burton Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue 5 West P. O. Box 36025 San Francisco, CA 94102

Intake Information Group: (800) 669-4000 Intake Information Group TTY: (800) 669-6820 San Francisco Direct Dial: (650) 684-0910

FAX (415) 522-3415 Website: <u>www.eeoc.gov</u>

Sample Text Sample