

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JUDGE KELLY V. SIMMONS et. al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. [24-cv-8847-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-8857-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9025-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9026-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9152-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9153-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9175-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9256-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9257-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9258-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9259-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9260-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9261-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9262-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9263-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9264-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9266-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9267-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9269-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9270-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9271-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9272-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9281-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9282-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9284-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9458-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9459-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9460-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9461-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9462-PJH](#)

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

[24-cv-9491-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9492-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9495-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9496-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9497-PJH](#)  
[24-cv-9498-PJH](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE  
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7.

Plaintiff presents nearly identical claims in these actions. He names as defendants various federal and state judges and other officials. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his other cases were handled by the state and federal courts.

To the extent that plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases, he has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v. Dawson*, Case No. 13-0951 CW.

The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S. District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*, 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with

1 prejudice. The court notes that plaintiff has an extensive history of filing similar frivolous  
2 cases.<sup>1</sup>

3 Furthermore, these are not cases in which the undersigned judge's impartiality  
4 might be reasonably questioned due to the repetitive and frivolous nature of the filings.  
5 *See United States v. Holland*, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th Cir. 2008) (absent legitimate  
6 reasons to recuse himself or herself, a judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases  
7 assigned to that judge).<sup>2</sup>

8 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk  
9 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: January 6, 2025

12  
13 */s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton*  
14 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> The undersigned is the fourth judge assigned cases filed by plaintiff. This is the 71st  
27 order issued by the undersigned since April 30, 2020, pertaining to 1,003 different cases.  
28 Plaintiff filed 962 other cases with the three other judges since 2011.

<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff names the undersigned as a defendant in two of these cases, though presents  
no specific allegations. See Case Nos. 24-9152; 24-9282. Plaintiff does not seek  
recusal, nor is recusal warranted considering the frivolous nature of the cases.