

1 DARRYL M. WOO (CSB No. 100513)  
 E-Mail: [dwoo@fenwick.com](mailto:dwoo@fenwick.com)  
 2 MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (CSB No.191605)  
 E-Mail: [msacksteder@fenwick.com](mailto:msacksteder@fenwick.com)  
 3 DAVID D. SCHUMANN (CSB No. 223936)  
 E-Mail: [dschumann@fenwick.com](mailto:dschumann@fenwick.com)  
 4 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
 555 California Street, 12th Floor  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 875-2300  
 6 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

\*\*E-Filed 9/11/08\*\*

7 JOSEPH S. BELICHICK (CSB No. 229371)  
 E-Mail: [jbelichick@fenwick.com](mailto:jbelichick@fenwick.com)  
 8 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
 Silicon Valley Center, 801 California Street  
 9 Mountain View, CA 94041  
 Telephone: (650) 988-8500  
 10 Facsimile: (650) 938-5200

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff–Appellant*  
Asyst Technologies, Inc.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO

16 ASYST TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
 17 Plaintiff,  
 18 v.  
 19 EMPAK, INC.; EMTRAK, INC.; JENOPTIK  
 20 AG; JENOPTIK INFAB, INC.; and  
 MEISSNER + WURST GmbH,  
 21 Defendants.

Case No. 98-20451 JF

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE  
 THE HEARING DATE ON ASYST  
 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.’S  
 MOTION FOR REVIEW OF THE  
 CLERK’S TAXATION OF COSTS  
 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Date: September 26, 2008  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Courtroom: 3  
 Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

22  
 23 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.  
 24

25  
26  
27  
28

**STIP TO CONTINUE HEARING ON  
 ASYST’S MOTION FOR REVIEW OF  
 COSTS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

**CASE NO. 98-20451 JF**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** that the hearing on Asyst Technologies, Inc.'s Motion for Review of the Clerk's Taxation of Costs now set for September 26, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. be continued on the Court's calendar to October 17, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 3, before the Honorable Jeremy Fogel. The letter briefs regarding the effect of the Court's 2004 Order on costs previously due 14 days prior to the September 26<sup>th</sup> hearing will be due October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 14 days prior to the new October 17, 2008 hearing date. The Court previously continued this hearing because the Federal Circuit had not yet rendered an opinion in the pending appeal which potentially impacts the Court's decision on costs. Good cause exists for this continuation because the Federal Circuit has yet to render the expected opinion.

DATED: September 10, 2008


FENWICK & WEST LLP

By:           /s/ David D. Schumann            
David D. Schumann  
  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
ASYST TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By:           /s/ Daniel T. Shvodian            
Daniel T. Shvodian  
HOWREY LLP  
1950 University Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Email: [ShvodianD@howrey.com](mailto:ShvodianD@howrey.com)  
  
Attorneys for Defendants JENOPTIK AG,  
JENOPTIK INFAB, INC.,  
and EMTRAK, INC.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 11, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Jeremy Fogel  
United States District Judge

