1 **E-Filed 5/2/2011** 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 William Michael DENNIS, Case Number 5-98-cv-21027-JF 12 Petitioner, **DEATH-PENALTY CASE** 13 ORDER RESOLVING PENDING 14 v. MOTIONS; SCHEDULING ORDER Michael MARTEL, Acting Warden of San 15 Quentin State Prison, 16 Respondent. [Docs Nos. 257–58 & 260–61] 17 Four motions currently are pending in the present action. The present order disposes of 18 all four and adopts a revised schedule for future proceedings. 19 Petitioner seeks an extension of time to comply with the current schedule. The adoption 20 of a revised schedule in the present order renders the motion moot. Accordingly, and good cause 21 therefor appearing, the clerk will terminate the motion (Doc. No. 257) as moot. 22 Petitioner also moves for a confidential CJA budget conference. The relevant issues have 23 been resolved as reflected in the CJA confidential file. Accordingly, and good cause therefor 24 appearing, the clerk will terminate the motion (Doc. No. 258) as moot. 25 26 27 ¹Michael Martel is substituted automatically as Respondent pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil 28 Procedure 25(d). Case No. 5-98-cv-21027-JF ORDER RESOLVING PENDING MOTIONS; SCHEDULING ORDER (DPSAGOK)

Dennis v. Ayers

Doc. 262

The Supreme Court of the United States recently held that California's timeliness requirement is adequate to bar federal habeas relief. *Walker v. Martin*, 131 S. Ct. 1120 (2011). In light of *Martin*, Respondent moves for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of this Court's prior order regarding the adequacy of certain state procedural rules, *Dennis v. Brown*, 361 F. Supp. 2d 1124 (N.D. Cal. 2005). Good cause appearing therefor the motion (Doc. No. 260) is granted.

The Supreme Court also recently held that where a federal habeas claim has been adjudicated on the merits in state court, a federal court may not consider evidence that was not presented to the state courts. *Cullen v. Pinholster*, 131 S. Ct. 1388 (2011). In light of *Pinholster*, Respondent moves for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of this Court's order granting Petitioner an evidentiary hearing, (Doc. No. 250). Good cause appearing therefor the motion, (Doc. No. 261), is granted.

In light of the foregoing, the Court hereby adopts the following revised schedule.

- (1) On or before May 31, 2011, Respondent shall file his motions to reconsider.
- (2) On or before June 30, 2011, Petitioner shall file any oppositions to the motions to reconsider.
- (3) On or before July 15, 2011, Respondent shall file any replies.
- (4) Unless otherwise ordered, the Court will take the motions to reconsider under submission upon receipt of the replies or the expiration of time within which to file replies.
- (5) On or before November 1, 2011, Petitioner shall provide to Respondent declarations from all persons whose testimony he proposes to present at the mental-health phase of an evidentiary hearing. Each declaration shall summarize the testimony Petitioner proposes to elicit from the witness who signs such declaration, and in the case of expert witnesses, each declaration shall include the following:
 - (a) a complete statement of all opinions the witness will express and the basis and reasons for such opinions;
 - (b) the data or other information considered by the witness in forming the opinions;
 - (c) any exhibits that will be used to summarize or support the opinions;

- (f) a statement of the compensation to be paid for the study and testimony in the case. For each non-expert witness, Respondent also shall provide a copy of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things within the possession of Respondent or his counsel that Respondent may use to support such witness's testimony. If such materials already have been provided to Petitioner, Respondent shall, in lieu of reproducing that material, specify, by page number, the material that Respondent may use to support each witness's testimony.
- (8) Should the Court reaffirm its determination that Petitioner is entitled to an evidentiary hearing, the mental-health phase of that hearing will convene at 9:00 a.m. on May 7, 2012, and shall conclude not later than 5:00 p.m. on May 11, 2012. Each party shall be allotted not more than fifteen hours within which to make opening statements, examine and cross-examine witnesses, present other evidence, and make closing statements. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 2, 2011

JEP MY FOGHL Loved States District Judge