Griffin v. G	omez, et al	Doc. 1
		STATES DISTRICT CO.
1	IT IS SO ORDERED	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICTC Judge James Ware FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL SAN JOSE DIVISION	
3		
4		
5		No. C. 08. 21038 IW
6	ROBERT LEE GRIFFIN	No. C 98-21038 JW
7 8	Petitioner, and	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME
9	JAMES GOMEZ, ET AL)
10	Respondent.))
11		
12	For the reasons set forth in the attached Declaration, the parties stipulate to and request postponement of	
13	the time for filing by petitioner of a Bill of Costs to a date 14 days following completion of all additional	
14	filings and/or proceedings requested by the Court pursuant to its July 10, 2009 Order.	
15	DATED: July 24, 2009	
16 17	Respectfully Submitted,	
18		
19	/s/ Pamela J. Griffin	
20	Pamela J. Griffin Attorney for the Petitioner	
21		
22		
23	/s/ Robert C. Cross	
24	Robert C. Cross	
25	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondents	
26		
27		
28		
20		Stipulated Request for Order Changing Time
		1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 3 4 ROBERT LEE GRIFFIN No. C 98-21038 JW 5 Petitioner, DECLARATION OF PAMELA GRIFFIN IN 6 and SUPPORT OF STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME 7 JAMES GOMEZ, ET AL 8 Respondent. 9 1. I am the attorney for the Petitioner in the above-referenced case. 10 2. The Petitioner intends to submit a Bill of Costs pursuant to Civil L.R. 54-1 with respect to post-11 judgment proceedings in this case. 12 On July 10, 2009, the Court issued its Order Adopting Magistrate Judge's Report and 3. 13 Recommendation; Overruling Objections to Magistrate's Report and Recommendation, in which the parties 14 were ordered to file a status update by the end of July. The Court indicated it would then determine whether 15 further review of Respondents' actions is appropriate. 16 4. Given the certainty of an additional filing and the possibility of further proceedings in this matter, 17 allowing the petitioner to postpone submission of a Bill of Costs until all filings and proceedings are 18 concluded would minimize potential for confusion and the inefficient use of court resources. 19 5. Postponement or extension of the time in which to file a Bill of Costs would not affect any existing 20 deadline or schedule in this case, and counsel for Respondents has agreed and stipulated. 21 I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct. 22 DATED: July 24, 2009 23 Respectfully Submitted, 24 25 /s/ Pamela J. Griffin Pamela J. Griffin 26 Attorney for the Petitioner 27

28