

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

THOMAS M. PETERSON (96011)  
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
One Market, Spear Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: 415-442-1000  
Facsimile: 415-442-1001  
Email: tmpeterson@morganlewis.com

RECEIVED

2011 JAN 24 P 3:55

RIGHT TO WORK  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID GAUNTLETT (96399)  
JAMES A. LOWE (214383)  
GAUNTLETT & ASSOCIATES  
18400 Von Karman, Suite 300  
Irvine, CA 92612  
Telephone: 949-553-1010  
Email: DAG@gauntlettlaw.com  
JAL@gauntlettlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Hewlett-Packard Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

*[Handwritten mark]*

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY  
INSURANCE COMPANY,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. C-99-20207 JW

Before the Hon. James Ware

*[Proposed]* ORDER APPROVING  
SUPERSEDEAS BOND AND STAY OF  
EXECUTION OF THE DECEMBER 15,  
2010 JUDGMENT PENDING APPEAL

1 Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") submitted an *Ex Parte* Motion To Set The  
2 Amount Of The Supersedeas Bond And For Stay Of Enforcement Of Judgment Pending Appeal on  
3 December 29, 2010 ("Motion"). (Docket No. 656.) On January 18, 2011, the Court issued an Order  
4 Granting in Part and Denying in Part the Motion. (Docket No. 668.) The Court found that good  
5 cause existed to stay execution of the Judgment pending appeal, provided that HP post a supersedeas  
6 bond in the amount of \$17,100,000.


7 On January 24, 2011, HP posted a Supersedeas Bond from Liberty Mutual Insurance  
8 Company in the amount of \$17,100,000.00 and the accompanying Declaration of Surety. Liberty  
9 Mutual is qualified as a surety under Civil Local Rule 65-1.1(b)(1) and Civil Local Rule 65-1.1(b)(2).

10 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 11 1. Supersedeas Bond No. 024-033-495 from Liberty Mutual Insurance Company posted  
12 on January 24, 2011 is approved; and
- 13 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d), enforcement of the December 15, 2010 Judgment is  
14 stayed.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: January 28, 2011

17  
18   
19 Hon. James Ware  
20 Chief Judge of the U.S. District Court  
21 Northern District of California