

1 *Parties listed on signature page*

2

3

E-FILED - 6/23/11

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

9

10

11

12

HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., et al.,

CASE NO. CV 00-20905 RMW

13

Plaintiffs,

**STIPULATION AND []
ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR
BRIEFING WITH REGARD TO HYNIX'S
BILL OF COSTS**

14

vs.

15

RAMBUS INC.,

16

Defendant.

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
Ctm: 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS, Hynix filed its Bill of Costs Pursuant to Fed. Rules App. Proc., Rule
2 39(e) on May 27, 2011;

3 WHEREAS, Rambus Inc. (“Rambus”) filed a Motion to Extend Time for
4 Objections to Hynix’s Bill of Costs and for the Court to Hear the Bill of Costs in the First
5 Instance (Local Rule 6-3), on June 2, 2011;

6 NOW, THEREFORE, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE COURT, IT IS
7 HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the undersigned counsel for Hynix and
8 Rambus, that:

9 (1) The Court, rather than the Clerk, will consider Hynix’s Bill of Costs and
10 Supplemental Bill of Costs and Rambus’s Objections in the first instance;

11 (2) Hynix has through and including fifteen (15) days after the mandate issues
12 from the Federal Circuit to submit a Supplemental Bill of Costs Pursuant to Fed. Rules App.
13 Proc., Rule 39(e);

14 (3) In the event Hynix files a Supplemental Bill of Costs pursuant to paragraph
15 (2), Rambus has through and including thirty-seven (37) days after the mandate issues to submit
16 Rambus’s Objections to Hynix’s Bill of Costs and any Supplemental Bill of Costs;

17 (4) In the event Hynix does not file a Supplemental Bill of Costs after the
18 mandate issues pursuant to paragraph (2), Rambus has through and including thirty (30) days
19 after the mandate issues to file its Objections;

20 (5) Hynix and Rambus have through and including fifteen (15) days after
21 Rambus files its Objections to file a statement identifying any cost items or objections that have
22 been withdrawn based upon their meet and confer efforts, and also to identify the cost items that
23 remain in dispute;

24 (6) Hynix has through and including fifteen (15) days after Rambus files its
25 Objections to file papers in response to Rambus’s Objections to the Bill of Costs;

26 (7) Oral argument on the Bill of Costs, Supplemental Bill of Costs, and
27 Rambus’s Objections shall be heard, if the Court wishes to hear oral argument, at a time that is
28 convenient for the Court after Hynix files its response to Rambus’s Objections;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(8) The schedule set forth above is subject to modification by Court order, either upon request from either party or on the Court's own initiative, or by agreement of the parties subject to Court approval.

DATED: June 10, 2011

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

By: /s/ Fred A. Rowley, Jr.
Fred A. Rowley, Jr.

Counsel for Rambus Inc.

DATED: June 10, 2011

TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND & CREW LLP

By: /s/ Ted Brown
Ted Brown

Counsel for Hynix Semiconductor Inc.; Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.; Hynix Semiconductor U.K. Ltd.; and Hynix Semiconductor Deutschland GmbH

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 23, 2011


Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Court Judge