

1 Gregory P. Stone (SBN 078329)
 Steven M. Perry (SBN 106154)
 2 Fred A. Rowley, Jr. (SBN 192298)
 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 3 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90071-1560
 4 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
 5 Email: Gregory.Stone@mto.com
 Email: Fred.Rowley@mto.com

Rollin A. Ransom (SBN 196192)
 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
 Los Angeles, California 90013-1010
 Telephone: (213) 896-6000
 Facsimile: (213) 896-6600
 Email: rransom@sidley.com

6 Peter A. Detre (SBN 182619)
 7 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
 8 San Francisco, California 94105-2907
 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
 9 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
 Email: peter.detre@mto.com



11 Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., et al.,
 17 Plaintiffs,
 18 vs.
 19 RAMBUS INC.,
 20 Defendant.

CASE NO. CV 00-20905 RMW

STIPULATION AND ORDER PERMITTING A CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO HYNIX'S MOTIONS

Date: December 19, 2012
 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Location: Courtroom 6 (4th Flr.)
 Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

23
24
25
26
27
28

1 1. On October 17, 2012, Hynix filed the following motions in the above-
2 captioned matter: (a) Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Reply to Defendant and
3 Counterclaim Plaintiff Rambus Inc.'s Amended Counterclaim; (b) Motion for Summary
4 Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment on the Issue of the Collateral
5 Estoppel Effect of Reexaminations of Rambus's Patents; and (c) Motion for New Trial, or in the
6 Alternative, Motion for Stay (collectively, the "Hynix Motions").

7 2. On November 5, 2012, Hynix filed a Supplemental Memorandum in
8 support of the Hynix Motions.

9 3. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order Adjusting Briefing Schedule and
10 Hearing Date, entered November 15, 2012, Rambus's opposition papers to the Hynix Motions are
11 due on November 20, 2012.

12 4. Under Local Rule 7-4, Rambus is permitted to file an opposition brief of up
13 to 25 pages in response to each of the Hynix Motions, for a total of 75 pages. Under Local Rule
14 7-4, Hynix is permitted to file a reply brief of up to 15 pages regarding each of the Hynix
15 Motions, for a total of 45 pages.

16 5. In the interest of judicial efficiency, the parties stipulate that Rambus shall
17 be permitted to file a single consolidated opposition brief, not to exceed 55 pages, in response to
18 the Hynix Motions.

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 6. The parties further stipulate that Hynix shall be permitted to file either
2 three reply briefs, not to exceed fifteen pages each or, at Hynix's election, a single consolidated
3 reply brief not to exceed 40 pages regarding the three Hynix Motions.

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5 Dated: November 16, 2012

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

6
7
8 By: /s/ Jeffrey Y. Wu
9 Jeffrey Y. Wu

10 Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.

11 Dated: November 16, 2012

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON
12 LLP

13
14
15 By: /s/ Jeffrey Y. Wu for
16 Theodore G. Brown III
17 Theodore G. Brown III

18 Attorneys for HYNIX
19 SEMICONDUCTOR INC., HYNIX
20 SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA INC.,
21 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD.,
22 and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR GmbH

ORDER

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: November

Ronald M. Whyte