1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	NOT FOR CITATION	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRIC	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISI	ION
11		
12	IN RE NEXTCARD, INC. SECURITIES C LITIGATION	Case Number C-01-21029-JF
13		CLASS ACTION
14		ORDER APPROVING DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT
15	V	FUNDS AND DISMISSING ACTION WITH PREJUDICE
16		
17		
18		
19 20	On January 18, 2008, Plaintiff filed an unopposed motion for an entry of order authorizing distribution of settlement funds. The Court has considered the moving papers and	
20		
21 22	declaration in support thereof. For the reasons set forth b	below, the Court will approve the
22	distribution plan, as detailed below, and dismiss this action	on with prejudice.
23 24		
25	I. SETTLEMENT AND PLAN O	FALLOCATION
23 26	On September 1, 2005 and April 25, 2007 respectively, Plaintiffs entered into a	
20	Stipulation of Settlement with Ernst & Young LLP and J	eremy Lent that provided for partial
27	settlement of the instant action. Subsequently, the Court	entered Orders and Final Judgment
20		

Dockets.Justia.com

approving the terms of the Stipulation for partial settlement with NextCard, Inc. on behalf of a 1 2 class consisting of all persons who purchased NextCard Securities between April 19, 2000 and 3 October 30, 2001, and who were damaged as a result. Subsequently, the Court approved the Plan 4 of Allocation for distributing proceeds of the Settlement to Settlement Class Members who 5 submitted acceptable Proofs of Claim. Pursuant to that order, Plaintiffs' Claims Administrator 6 mailed the Court-approved Notices and caused the publication of the Court-approved Summary 7 Notices. From a total of 11,363 Notice Packets mailed to potential Settlement Class Members, 8 the Claims Administrator received 2,380 claim forms, of which 1,037 were provisionally 9 accepted, 1,025 were provisionally rejected, and 318 were determined to be duplicate filings.

The Claims Administrator notified the claimants who had submitted provisionally
rejected claims of the deficiencies that existed in their claims and provided them ample time to
cure or contest the deficiencies. The Claims Administrator asserts that there was no response
from these claimants either attempting to cure or contest the rejection of their claims.

14 In light of the foregoing and having examined the proposed plan for the distribution of funds, the Court concludes that the plan is "fundamentally fair, adequate and reasonable" as 15 16 required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) and applicable Ninth Circuit authority. See Officers for 17 Justice v. Civil Service Commission, 688 F.2d 615, 625 (9th Cir. 1982). The Court finds that 18 Plaintiffs' counsel have complied substantially with the mandates of the terms of the Stipulation 19 for partial settlement with NextCard, Inc. Further, Counsel have demonstrated their reasonable 20 efforts to allow claimants who submitted provisionally rejected claims to remedy or contest the 21 deficiencies in such claims. Counsel have enlisted the assistance of the Claims Administrator, 22 who made detailed and reasonable findings about which claims should be accepted and which claims should be rejected, including an allowance for claims that were submitted late but the 23 24 lateness of which did not cause delay because of the time needed to process the large volume of 25 claims already received.

Accordingly, the Court accepts and rejects the claims of the settlement class per the
administrative recommendations of the Claims Administrator and as set forth in Plaintiffs'
moving papers. The Court approves the distribution of balance of the Net Settlement Funds to

the 1,037 authorized claimants, in proportion to their recognized claims as calculated by the 2 Claims Administrator pursuant to the Court-approved Plan of Allocation. The Court also 3 authorizes the inclusion of Settlement Class Members who have filed late (but before December 18, 2007) but otherwise valid claim forms to participate in the Settlement and receive a 4 5 distribution of the Net Settlement Funds in accordance with the Court-approved Plan of 6 Allocation. The Claims Administrator may distribute the Net Settlement Funds to Authorized 7 Claimants based on the Plan of Allocation previously approved by this Court. No claim received 8 after the entry of this Class Distribution Order may be accepted for any reason whatsoever.

9 Additionally, the Court bars any further claims against the Net Settlement Funds beyond 10 the amount allocated to Authorized Claimants and provides that all persons involved in the 11 review, verification, calculation, tabulation, or any other aspect of the processing of the claims, or otherwise involved in the administration or taxation of the Net Settlement Funds are released 12 13 and discharged from any and all liabilities arising out of such involvement. Finally, the Court 14 will retain jurisdiction over this matter to consider any further applications concerning the 15 administration of the settlement. The Court finds that appropriate relief has been granted.

II. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

This Court previously has addressed the matter of attorneys' fees and expenses in the instant case. On July 30, 2007, the Court entered an order awarding Plaintiffs' counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$199,796.79 together with interest earned thereon. The awarded expense and interest earned thereon was paid to Plaintiffs' counsel in cash from the established Settlement Fund.

IV. ORDER

For the reasons set forth above, this Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion for entry of order authorizing distribution of settlement funds. This Court also dismisses the action and all related cases with prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED.

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

1	
1	DATED: February 5, 2008
2	x h
3	JEREMY FOG EL United States Elstrict Judge
4	United States Instrict Judge
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4
	Case No. C-01-21029-JF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS

1	This Order has been served upon the following persons:
2	Counsel for Plaintiff
3	chriss@csgrr.com
4	Counsel for Defendants
5	
6	jdickey@gibsondunn.com
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5 Case No. C-01-21029-JF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS (JFEX2)