

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NOT FOR CITATION
**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

IN RE NEXTCARD, INC. SECURITIES
LITIGATION

Case Number C-01-21029-JF

CLASS ACTION

ORDER APPROVING
DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT
FUNDS AND DISMISSING ACTION
WITH PREJUDICE

On January 18, 2008, Plaintiff filed an unopposed motion for an entry of order authorizing distribution of settlement funds. The Court has considered the moving papers and declaration in support thereof. For the reasons set forth below, the Court will approve the distribution plan, as detailed below, and dismiss this action with prejudice.

I. SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION

On September 1, 2005 and April 25, 2007 respectively, Plaintiffs entered into a Stipulation of Settlement with Ernst & Young LLP and Jeremy Lent that provided for partial settlement of the instant action. Subsequently, the Court entered Orders and Final Judgment

1 approving the terms of the Stipulation for partial settlement with NextCard, Inc. on behalf of a
2 class consisting of all persons who purchased NextCard Securities between April 19, 2000 and
3 October 30, 2001, and who were damaged as a result. Subsequently, the Court approved the Plan
4 of Allocation for distributing proceeds of the Settlement to Settlement Class Members who
5 submitted acceptable Proofs of Claim. Pursuant to that order, Plaintiffs' Claims Administrator
6 mailed the Court-approved Notices and caused the publication of the Court-approved Summary
7 Notices. From a total of 11,363 Notice Packets mailed to potential Settlement Class Members,
8 the Claims Administrator received 2,380 claim forms, of which 1,037 were provisionally
9 accepted, 1,025 were provisionally rejected, and 318 were determined to be duplicate filings.

10 The Claims Administrator notified the claimants who had submitted provisionally
11 rejected claims of the deficiencies that existed in their claims and provided them ample time to
12 cure or contest the deficiencies. The Claims Administrator asserts that there was no response
13 from these claimants either attempting to cure or contest the rejection of their claims.

14 In light of the foregoing and having examined the proposed plan for the distribution of
15 funds, the Court concludes that the plan is "fundamentally fair, adequate and reasonable" as
16 required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) and applicable Ninth Circuit authority. *See Officers for*
17 *Justice v. Civil Service Commission*, 688 F.2d 615, 625 (9th Cir. 1982). The Court finds that
18 Plaintiffs' counsel have complied substantially with the mandates of the terms of the Stipulation
19 for partial settlement with NextCard, Inc. Further, Counsel have demonstrated their reasonable
20 efforts to allow claimants who submitted provisionally rejected claims to remedy or contest the
21 deficiencies in such claims. Counsel have enlisted the assistance of the Claims Administrator,
22 who made detailed and reasonable findings about which claims should be accepted and which
23 claims should be rejected, including an allowance for claims that were submitted late but the
24 lateness of which did not cause delay because of the time needed to process the large volume of
25 claims already received.

26 Accordingly, the Court accepts and rejects the claims of the settlement class per the
27 administrative recommendations of the Claims Administrator and as set forth in Plaintiffs'
28 moving papers. The Court approves the distribution of balance of the Net Settlement Funds to

1 DATED: February 5, 2008

2
3 
4 JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 This Order has been served upon the following persons:

2 Counsel for Plaintiff

3 chriss@csgrr.com

4 Counsel for Defendants

5 jdickey@gibsondunn.com

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28