IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Elena del Campo, et al.,

NO. C 01-21151 JW

V.

Plaintiffs,

American Corrective Counseling Services, Inc, et al.,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'
IMPLIED MOTION TO BIFURCATE
CLASS CERTIFICATION; DIRECTING
PLAINTIFFS TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL
MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION
UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3)

Defendants.

On September 15, 2008, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' Amended Motion for Class Certification. The Court raised an issue with respect to the absence of a Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) damages class in Plaintiffs' Motion. Plaintiffs contend that the reason Plaintiffs did not move to certify a damages class was because there is a possibility that Defendants may not be able to pay any potential judgment. The Court construed Plaintiffs' statement as an implied motion to bifurcate class certification. The Court took the matter under submission.

Upon consideration, the Court DENIES Plaintiffs' implied motion to bifurcate class certification between liability, and injunctive and declaratory relief under Rule 23(b)(2) and damages under Rule 23(b)(3). Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2-3). The Court finds Plaintiffs' contention regarding whether Defendants may or may not be able to pay too speculative and insufficient to certify the class at two separate periods; judicial economy weighs in favor of completing the certification all at once rather than the piecemeal approach proposed by Plaintiffs.

1	Thus, in light of the fact that Plaintiffs have not moved for class certification under Rule				
2	23(b)(3), the Court gives Plaintiffs an opportunity to file a Supplemental Motion for Class				
3	Certification, in which Plaintiffs may move to certify a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class to be considered				
4	alongside Plaintiffs' pending Motion for class certification under Rule 23(b)(2).				
5	Accordingly, the Court sets an expedited briefing schedule for the issue of Plaintiffs'				
6	putative 23(b)(3) damages class as follows:				
7	(1)	On or before September 2 6	6, 2008, Plaintiffs shall file and serve their Supplemental		
8		Motion for Class Certificat	ion to include, if any, a damages class under Rule		
9		23(b)(3);			
10	(2)	Defendants' Opposition, if	any, shall be filed and served on or before October 3,		
11		2008;			
12	(3)	Plaintiffs' Reply, if any, sh	all be filed and served on or before October 8, 2008.		
13	The Court will take the matter under submission without oral argument.				
14			James Whal		
15	Dated: Septe	mber 19, 2008	James Ware		
16			United States District Judge		
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
(1()	Ē				

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS	ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:
2	Charles D. Jenkins cjenkins@jgn.com Charles Edward Perkins cperkins@jgn.com	
3	Dan Day Kim dkim@jgn.com David L. Hartsell dhartsell@mcguirewoods.com	
5	Deepak Gupta dgupta@citizen.org Eric Neil Landau <u>elandau@jonesday.com</u> Lester A. Perry <u>lap@hooleking.com</u>	
6	Martha A. Boersch mboersch@jonesday.com Natalie P. Vance nvance@klinedinstlaw.com	
7	O. Randolph Bragg rand@horwitzlaw.com Paul Arons lopa@rockisland.com	
8	Ronald Wilcox ronaldwilcox@post.harvard.edu Susan L. Germaise <u>sgermaise@mcguirewoods.com</u> Timothy P. Irving tirving@rdblaw.com	
9		
10	Dated: September 19, 2008	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
11 12		By: /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia
13		Courtroom Deputy
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
20		