Craver v. Roe

Doc. 25

petition on February 21, 2007. The Court denied the motion to reopen this action on May 21, 2009, because Petitioner's motion to reopen was untimely, having been filed well beyond the thirty days provided by this Court, and Petitioner failed to file an amended petition with his motion as instructed by the Court.

On June 23, 2009, Petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration, stating that he did not learn of the California Supreme Court decision until July 2007, and that he did not file an amended petition because he decided not to add the newly exhausted claims. Good cause appearing, Petitioner's request for reconsideration is GRANTED. (Docket No. 24.) The order denying Petitioner's motion to reopen this action (Docket No. 23) is VACATED.

The Clerk shall lift the stay and reopen the action. The Court will address the merits of the petition in a separate order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 0-209

JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY CRAVER,	Case Number: CV02-04629 JF
Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
ERNIE ROE,	
Respondent.	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am a Court, Northern District of California.	an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
That on, attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a posta hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope an inter-office delivery receptacle located in	I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the age paid envelope addressed to the person(s) pe in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into the Clerk's office.
Anthony Craver CSP Lancaster 44750 60th street W. Lancaster, Ca 93536	
Dated: 10/6/09	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
	inicialu w. Wieking Cierk