

Plaintiff, a California inmate proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs by Salinas Valley State Prison ("SVSP") employees. The Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend for plaintiff to cure various pleading deficiencies. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which the Court, after finding the amended complaint stated cognizable claims under § 1983, ordered service of the amended complaint upon defendants at SVSP. On January 29 and 30, 2007, the Marshal returned the summonses unexecuted, indicating that defendants are not 26 located at SVSP. In that regard, the Marshal noted that Dr. Posner, Dr. Haffner, and Willis no longer work at SVSP and that McAnutez is "unknown at the facility." On

Order Directing Clerk to Reissue Summons P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JW\CR.02\Lara05429_reissue summons.wpd

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

September 6, 2007, the Court directed plaintiff to effectuate service upon or provide the Court with the location information for defendants within sixty days to avoid dismissal of the complaint under Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Based on the information recently provided by plaintiff, the Court will order the clerk to reissue summons to defendants Drs. Posner and Haffner.

CONCLUSION

It is hereby ordered as follows:

 The clerk shall re-issue a summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the amended complaint in this matter (Docket No. 12), all attachments thereto, a copy of the Court's order filed November 9, 2006, (Docket No. 17), and a copy of this order to Dr. David Posner and Dr. Haffner at the addresses provided by plaintiff on December 13, 2007, (Docket No. 31). The clerk shall also mail courtesy copies of the amended complaint, a copy of the Court's November 9, 2006 order, and this order to the California Attorney General's Office and the SVSP litigant coordinator. The SVSP litigation coordinator, as well as counsel for the other defendants, and the Attorney General of the State of California, are requested to provide plaintiff with information regarding the location of defendants Willis and McAnutez. If preferable, they may file this information with the Court directly under seal.

 Plaintiff's motion to the Court to order California Department of Corrections ("CDC") and SVSP to provide location information for defendants
 Willis and McAnutez (Docket No. 32) is DENIED as neither the CDC or SVSP are parties to this action.

3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case;

a. Defendants shall, within sixty (60) days from the date they are

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

served with the amended complaint, file a motion for summary judgment or other
dispositive motion, or shall notify the Court that they are of the opinion that this case
cannot be resolved by such a motion. The motion shall be supported by adequate
factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 56.

Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted,

nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendants are of

the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so

inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.

All papers filed with the Court shall be served promptly on plaintiff.

b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with

the Court and served on defendants' counsel not later than thirty (30) days from the

date defendants' motion is filed. The Ninth Circuit has held that the following

notice should be given to pro se plaintiffs:

The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

26 See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc).

Order Directing Clerk to Reissue Summons

P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JW\CR.02\Lara05429_reissue summons.wpd

Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
and <u>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</u>, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986)
(holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence
showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim).
Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to defendants' motion for
summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by plaintiff to the granting of the
motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. <u>See Ghazali v.</u>
<u>Moran</u>, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); <u>Brydges v. Lewis</u>, 18 F.3d
651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

c. Defendants may file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after plaintiff's opposition is filed.

d. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.

4. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure. No further Court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or
Local Rule 16-1 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

For plaintiff's information, the proper manner of promulgating discovery is to send demands for documents or interrogatories (questions asking for specific, factual responses) directly to defendants' counsel. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 33-34. The scope of discovery is limited to matters "relevant to the claim or defense of any party . . ." <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Discovery may be further limited by court order if "(i) the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the burden or expense of the proposed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

discovery outweighs its likely benefit." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2). <u>In order to comply</u> with the requirements of Rule 26, before deciding to promulgate discovery plaintiff may find it to his benefit to wait until defendants have filed a dispositive motion which could include some or all of the discovery plaintiff might seek. In addition, no motion to compel will be considered by the Court unless the meet-and-confer requirement of Rule 37(a)(2)(B) and N.D. Cal. Local Rule 37-1 has been satisfied. Because plaintiff is incarcerated he is not required to meet and confer with defendants in person. Rather, if his discovery requests are denied and he intends to file a motion to compel, he must send a letter to defendants to that effect, offering them one last opportunity to provide him with the information sought.

5. All communications by plaintiff with the Court must be served on defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to defendants' counsel.

6. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
keep the Court and the parties informed of any change of address and must comply
with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
Procedure 41(b).

DATED: February 6, 2008

James WARE

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

Order Directing Clerk to Reissue Summons P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JW\CR.02\Lara05429_reissue summons.wpd