


1 RON E. SHULMAN, State Bar No. 178263
 ron.shulman@lw.com
 2 TERRY KEARNEY, State Bar No. 160054
 terry.kearney@lw.com
 3 RICHARD G. FRENKEL, State Bar No. 204133
 rick.frenkel@lw.com
 4 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 5 140 Scott Drive
 Menlo Park, CA 94025
 6 Telephone: (650) 328-4600
 7 Facsimile: (650) 463-2600

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSIS, INC.

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Kenneth W. Brothers (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Cathy Chen (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 1825 Eye Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20006-5403
 Phone (202) 420-2200
 Fax (202) 420-2201
 Email: hoffmang@dicksteinshapiro.com
 brothersk@dicksteinshapiro.com
 chenc@dicksteinshapiro.com

Krista Carter (State bar No. 225229)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 700 Hansen Way
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1016
 Phone (650) 690-9500
 Fax (650) 690-9501
 Email: carterk@dicksteinshapiro.com

Attorneys for Defendant
 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

IN RE RICOH COMPANY LTD.
 PATENT LITIGATION

CASE NO. C 03-02289 JW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER MODIFYING DUE DATE FOR
 SYNOPSIS INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
 RICOH'S MOTION FOR STAY OF
 APRIL 26, 2012 ORDER PENDING
 APPEAL AND FOR EXPEDITED
 CONSIDERATION**

Date: June 18, 2012
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Judge: Hon. James Ware
 Dept.: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

1 WHEREAS, on April 26, 2012, the Court issued an order requiring Defendant Ricoh
2 Company, Ltd. (“Ricoh”) to pay to Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. (“Synopsys”) the amount of
3 \$675,154.75 by May 25, 2012 (D.I. 790, “the April 26 Order”);

4 WHEREAS, Ricoh filed a Notice of Appeal on April 21, 2012 (D.I. 791) and a Motion
5 for Stay of April 26, 2012 Order Pending Appeal and for Expedited Consideration on May 23,
6 2012 (D.I. 793, “Motion for Stay”);

7 WHEREAS, Synopsys opposes Ricoh’s Motion for Stay;

8 WHEREAS, Synopsys’s opposition to Ricoh’s Motion for Stay is due on June 6, 2012;

9 WHEREAS, the parties are currently negotiating a compromise regarding Ricoh’s
10 request for a stay of the payment of the \$675,154.75 award to Synopsys in order to avoid further
11 motion practice;

12 WHEREAS, as set forth in the accompanying declaration of Richard G. Frenkel,
13 modification of the due date for Synopsys’s Opposition to Ricoh’s Motion for Stay will not
14 impact the schedule for the case going forward;

15 WHEREAS, counsel for the parties have met and conferred and agreed upon an alternate
16 due date for Synopsys’s Opposition to Ricoh’s Motion for Stay as set forth below, which the
17 parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court adopt.

18 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by the parties pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil
19 L.R. 6-2, that the due date for Synopsys’s Opposition to Ricoh’s Motion for Stay shall be June
20 11, 2012.

21 **SO STIPULATED THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.**

22 Respectfully submitted,

23 Dated: June 6, 2012

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

24
25 By: /s/ Richard G. Frenkel
26 Ron E. Shulman
27 Terry Kearney
Richard G. Frenkel

28 Attorneys for SYNOPSIS, INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: June 6, 2012

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Gary M. Hoffman

Gary M. Hoffman
Kenneth W. Brothers
Krista Carter
Cathy Chen


Attorneys for RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Stipulation and the accompanying Declaration of Richard G. Frenkel, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the due date for Synopsys's Opposition to Ricoh's Motion for Stay is changed to June 11, 2012.

Dated: June 7, 2012


Hon. Chief Judge James Ware
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE