I

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0 SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	1	
12	2 THOMAS A. PAULSEN, et al.,) Case No.: C 03-3960 JW (PVT)	
13	Belaintiffs, Antice Plaintiffs,	
14		
15	5 CNF, INC., et al., (Re: Docket No. 254)	
16	6 Defendants.)	
16 17)	
	7 J	el or
17	7 () 8 On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Comp	
17 18	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Composition in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not	cited
17 18 19	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compo in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lea	cited
17 18 19 20	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compo in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lea brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it	cited
 17 18 19 20 21 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compo in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lea brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted,	cited ave to
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Composition in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lead brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs and Defendent	cited ave to ndant
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Composition the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lead brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs and Defendant Towers Perrin shall both file simultaneous supplemental briefs addressing 29 U.S.C. § 1027 and Actuarial Standard of Practice. No. 41 in connection with the present motion.	cited ave to ndant
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compo in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lead brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs and Defen Towers Perrin shall both file simultaneous supplemental briefs addressing 29 U.S.C. § 1027 an Actuarial Standard of Practice. No. 41 in connection with the present motion.	cited ave to ndant
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Comp in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lead brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs and Defen Towers Perrin shall both file simultaneous supplemental briefs addressing 29 U.S.C. § 1027 an Actuarial Standard of Practice. No. 41 in connection with the present motion. Dated: <i>11/16/10</i>	cited ave to ndant
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	On November 16, 2010, the parties appeared for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Comp in the Alternative for Sanctions. At the hearing, Plaintiffs cited certain authorities they had not in their briefs. Defendant Towers Perrin Forster & Crosby Inc. ("Towers Perrin") requested lead brief those authorities. Having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties, the court finds it appropriate to issue this interim order. Based on the briefs and arguments submitted, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than November 19, 2010, Plaintiffs and Defen Towers Perrin shall both file simultaneous supplemental briefs addressing 29 U.S.C. § 1027 an Actuarial Standard of Practice. No. 41 in connection with the present motion. Dated: <i>11/16/10</i>	cited ave to ndant