1		
2		
3		
4		<u>*E-FILED - 3/18/09*</u>
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	ULYSSES DAVIS, JR.,	No. C 03-4334 RMW (PR)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO FILE EMERGENCY INJUNCTIVE
13	V.	MOTION; DENYING MOTION FOR EMERGENCY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	MARIN COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	(Docket Nos. 101, 102)
15	Defendants.	(Docket Nos. 101, 102)
16	/	
17	Plaintiff filed a pro se civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that he	
18	received inadequate medical care and was subject to the use of excessive force while he was an	
19	inmate at the Marin County Jail. On September 30, 2008, the court granted defendants' motion for	
20	summary judgment on plaintiff's medical claims, and denied the motion on plaintiff's claims of	
21	excessive force. On December 9, 2008, the court referred this case to the Pro Se Prisoner Settlement	
22	Program and stayed the case pending such proceedings. On February 18, 2009, plaintiff filed a	
23	motion for leave to file an emergency injunctive motion and a motion for emergency injunctive	
24	relief. The court will GRANT plaintiff's motion for leave to file and DENY plaintiff's motion for	
25	emergency injunctive relief.	
26	Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief asks the court to order California State Prison,	
27	Sacramento, ("CSP - Sacramento") officials to allow him to use the law library. Plaintiff's basis for	
28	Order Granting Leave to File Emergency Injunctive Motion; Denying Motion for Emergency Injunctive Relief P:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.03\Davis334preinj.wpd	

1	the request, access to the law library at CSP - Sacramento, is not before the court in the instant	
2	amended complaint. This claim first needs to be addressed through the administrative process	
3	available to plaintiff. See Booth v. Churner, 121 S. Ct. 1819, 1825 (2001); 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a).	
4	Courts do not have discretion under § 1997e(a) to excuse exhaustion. Id. at 1825 n.5. Plaintiff is	
5	free to file a new complaint concerning these allegations once he has exhausted the administrative	
6	process available to him.	
7	Additionally, this court has no jurisdiction to order CSP - Sacramento personnel to comply	
8	with the court's orders because they are not parties in the present action, nor does the court's	
9	jurisdiction, within the Northern District of California, extend to CSP - Sacramento where plaintiff is	
10	located. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (docket no.	
11	102) is DENIED.	
12	This order terminates docket numbers 101 and 102.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14	DATED: <u>3/16/09</u> RONALD M. WHYTE	
15	United States District Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Order Granting Leave to File Emergency Injunctive Motion; Denying Motion for Emergency Injunctive Relief P:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.03\Davis334preinj.wpd 2	