Williams v. Horsley et al

Doc 91

## **RECITALS**

- 1. WHEREAS, this case was initially filed in 2003;
- 2. WHEREAS, the County filed a motion for summary judgment in March 2008, which was granted in September 2008;
- 3. WHEREAS, the Ninth Circuit reversed in July 2012 and Ordered that Plaintiff be appointed counsel;
- 4. WHEREAS, in September 2012 the District Court reopened the case, but stayed it pending appointment of counsel (and an additional four weeks following appointment);
  - 5. WHEREAS, in January 2013 the District Court appointed counsel;
- 6. WHEREAS, since that time the undersigned have been diligently exploring whether the case can be resolved without further litigation;
- 7. WHEREAS, after ongoing conversations, the parties have reached an agreement regarding the financial terms of a proposed settlement agreement resolving this matter without further litigation;
- 8. WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement regarding the non-financial terms of a proposed settlement agreement;
- 9. WHEREAS, all that remains to negotiate are the final terms of the proposed settlement agreement and the parties are optimistic that the terms of the proposed settlement agreement can be resolved without further litigation;
- 10. WHEREAS, those conversations remain ongoing, no significant discovery has occurred, but a Case Management Conference is scheduled for January 17, 2014, a date which triggers meet and confer and filing requirements that the parties would prefer to delay until they have an opportunity to finalize the settlement agreement and exhaust current resolution efforts;
- 11. WHEREAS, January 17, 2014 is the fourth scheduled Case Management Conference and the parties have previously requested three continuances of the Case Management Conference in order to diligently discuss whether the case can be resolved without further litigation;
- 12. WHEREAS, because Plaintiff remains in civil custody, communication with him is more challenging than normal and can therefore require additional time;
- 13. WHEREAS, Plaintiff has been experiencing health problems causing further challenges in -2-

1	communications with him;
2	<u>STIPULATION</u>
3	The parties hereby stipulate that, with the Court's agreement, the Case Management Conference
4	currently scheduled for January 17, 2014, be continued for approximately two months to facilitate
5	exhaustion and finalization of settlement discussions.
6	Dated: January 10, 2014 DLA Piper LLP (US)
7	
8	By:/Maria Dryden/
9	Maria Dryden
10	Attorney for Plaintiff CHRISTIAN WILLIAMS
11	Dated: January 10, 2014 JOHN C. BEIERS, COUNTY COUNSEL
12	
13	
14	By: /David A. Silberman/ David A. Silberman, Deputy
15	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, et al.
16	COUNTY OF SAIV WATEO, et al.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	WEST\245876703 1

## [] ORDER

**GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,** the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for January 17, 2014 is hereby continued to March

Ronald M. Whyte

WEST\245876703.1