

\*\* E-filed on 6/23/06 \*\*

NOT FOR CITATION  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GOOGLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER  
FACTORY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case Number C 03-05340 JF (RS)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO  
EXTEND CASE MANAGEMENT  
DEADLINES

[re: docket no. 109]

AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER  
FACTORY, INC.,

Counterclaimant,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Counter-Defendant.

American Blind & Wallpaper Factory, Inc. ("American Blind") moves to amend and extend the case management dates by ninety (90) days. Google Inc. ("Google") opposes the motion. The Court heard oral argument on June 23, 2006.

Considering the fact that American Blind underwent a change of ownership and

1 management between April, 2006 and June, 2006, the Court concludes that an extension of the  
2 case management dates is appropriate. *See* Declaration of Susan Greenspon in Support of  
3 American Blind & Wallpaper, Factory Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Amend and Extend  
4 Case Management Order Dates. However, considering, among other things, that the instant  
5 action was filed more than two and a half years ago and that American Blind has previously  
6 sought and received an extension of case management dates, the Court will amend and extend the  
7 case management dates by only sixty (60) days.

8 As the Court indicated to counsel during oral argument, the extension of case  
9 management dates is intended only to allow the parties to complete discovery that is within the  
10 previously established scope of discovery. If during the remaining period of discovery a party  
11 believes that additional discovery is necessary, the parties should attempt resolve the matter  
12 between themselves. However, if an agreement cannot be reached, permission to conduct  
13 additional discovery shall be sought from Magistrate Judge Seeborg.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 DATED: June 23, 2006

17  
18   
19 JEREMY FOWEL  
United States District Judge

1 This Order has been served upon the following persons:

2 Ethan B. Andelman andelmane@howrey.com, cranmerp@howrey.com

3 Ravind Singh Grewal rsg@kvn.com

4 Klaus Hemingway Hamm khamm@kvn.com, efiling@kvn.com; wik@kvn.com

5 Ajay Krishnan akrishnan@kvn.com, efiling@kvn.com; rthomas@kvn.com

6 Michael H. Page mhp@kvn.com, efiling@kvn.com; sjr@kvn.com; nsn@kvn.com

7 Robert Nathan Phillips phillipsr@howrey.com, cranmerp@howrey.com

8 Stephen E. Taylor staylor@tcolaw.com, jklohonz@tcolaw.com;  
9 swexler@tcolaw.com; tnewby@tcolaw.com; jliu@tcolaw.com;  
10 cdunbar@tcolaw.com; nfreese@tcolaw.com;  
rleffkich@tcolaw.com; gfrary@tcolaw.com

10

11 Mark A. Lemley  
12 Kecker & Van Nest, LLP  
13 710 Samsome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28