Google Inc. v. American Blind & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.

Case 5:03-cv-05340-JF Filed 09/07/2006 Page 1 of 24 Document 188-3

Exhibit B

Doc. 188 Att. 2

×.

5*5 -

ź

1

Ţ

2 - 2 2 - 2

	1	Robert N. Phillips (SBN 120970) Ethan B. Andelman (SBN 209101)	
,	2	HOWREY, LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600	
•	3	San Francisco, CA 94105	. · · ·
	4	Telephone: (415) 848-4900 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999	
	5	David A. Rammelt (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	· · · ·
	6	Susan J. Greenspon (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Dawn M. Beery (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	
•••	7	333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2600	
	8	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 857-7070 Facsimile: (312) 857-7095	
	9	Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff	
	10	AMERICAN BLIND AND WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC.	
	11	UNITED STAT	FES DISTRICT COURT
	12		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
•	13	GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. C 03-5340-JF (EAI)
	14	Plaintiff,	AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER
	15	v.	FACTORY, INC.'S RESPONSES TO GOOGLE, INC.'S FIRST SET OF
			DECTROPORT DOD DDODTCOMON OD
·	16	AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS
·	17	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1-	
		FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	
	17	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants.	
	17 18	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation	
	17 18 19	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER	
	17 18 19 20	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation	
	17 18 19 20 21	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.,	
	17 18 19 20 21 22	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC.,	
	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC., NETSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, COMPUSERVE	
	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC., NETSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS	
	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC., NETSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, COMPUSERVE INTERACTIVE SERVICES, INC., ASK	
	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC., NETSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, COMPUSERVE INTERACTIVE SERVICES, INC., ASK JEEVES, INC., and EARTHLINK, INC.	
	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc.; and DOES 1- 100, inclusive, Defendants. AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC., a Delaware corporation d/b/a decoratetoday.com, Inc., Counter-Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE, INC., AMERICA ONLINE, INC., NETSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, COMPUSERVE INTERACTIVE SERVICES, INC., ASK JEEVES, INC., and EARTHLINK, INC.	DOCUMENTS AND THINGS

Case 5:03-cv-05340-JF Document 188-3 Filed 09/07/2006 Page 3 of 24

• • •

İ

;

• '	1				• *	
•						
	I	•		•		
	1	Third-Party Def	endants			
	2	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	PLAINTIFF/C	OUNTER-DEFENI	DANT GOOGLE	3, INC.
	3	RESPONDING PARTY:		COUNTER-PLAI FACTORY, INC.	NTIFF AMERIC	AN BLIND &
	5	SET NUMBER:	ONE		· · ·	
	6	Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff American Blind & Wallpaper Factory, Inc. ("American Blind")				
	7	hereby answers Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant Google, Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of				
	8	8 Documents And Things From American Blind & Wallpaper Factory, Inc. as follows:		ows:		
	9	9 REQUESTS		-		
	10	REQUEST NO. 1:				
	11 12	All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's selection, adoption and clearance of each of the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS, including, but not limited to, searches, investigations, reports and opinions.				
	13	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:				
	14	American Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not				
•	15	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. American Blind also				
	.16	objects to this request to the extent that it calls for the production of documents protected by the				
	17 18	attorney client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these				
	19	objections, American Blind states that it will produce any responsive documents in its possession				
•	20	regarding the adoption and clearance of each of the American Blind Marks.				
• •	21	REQUEST NO. 2:				
	22	All DOCUMENTS demonstrating that AMERICAN BLIND owns the AMERICAN BLIND				
•	23	23 MARKS.				
	24	RESPONSE TO REQUES	<u>T NO. 2</u> :			· .
	25 ;	Responsive documer	its will be produ	ced.		
	26	REQUEST NO. 3:				•
•	27	All DOCUMENTS I AMERICAN BLIND MAR		AMERICAN BLIN	D's first commer	rcial use of each of the
	28		•	-2-	•	
HOWRE	Y ELP	American Blind's Responses to G Case No. C03-5340 JF (EAI) DM 1188214784 vi	loogle's First Set of	Documents Requests		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

RESPONSE TO REOUEST NO. 3:

American Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. Specifically, Google's request for all documents related to American Blind's first commercial use of the American Blind Marks is overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving these objections. responsive documents will be produced that illustrate the date of first commercial use of the American

Blind Marks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

REQUEST NO. 4

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's first use of each of the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 13 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 14 Specifically, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because Google 15 16 defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of Google 17 Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind & 18 Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf of 19 American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 20 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 21 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 22 records for each individual domain name, rather information for all domain names owned by American 23 24 Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of 25 documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly 26 burdensome. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind will produce a listing 27 of domain names owned by American Blind. To the extent that Google wants detailed information on 28

HOWREY LLP

each of these domain names, that information is publicly available through the Internet at

2 www.enom.com.

1

3

4

5

REQUEST NO. 5:

Copies of all advertising and promotional materials featuring the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS or AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5: 6

7 American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 8 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 9 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 10 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 11 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 12 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 13 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 14 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 15 16 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 17 records for each individual domain name, rather information for all domain names owned by American 18 Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of 19 documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly 20 burdensome. Moreover, American Blind spends millions of dollars each year advertising the 21 American Blind Marks and domain names, including pervasive advertising in over forty national 22 magazines, on every major search engine, on national television and radio, and through millions of 23 24 direct mailings and catalogs distributed throughout the United States. American Blind has advertised 25 the American Blind Marks and domain names since its inception, and this request is not limited in 26 time. It would be extremely burdensome for American Blind to produce copies of all of its advertising 27

HOWREY LLF

28

and promotional materials ever created. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American 2 Blind will produce a sample of its more recent advertising and promotional materials.

REQUEST NO. 6:

1

3

4 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's efforts to defend its trademarks and domain names. 5

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6: 6

Americn Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not 7 8 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As written, this 9 request would require the production of every document submitted in every lawsuit brought by 10 American Blind to defend its trademark rights. These litigation files are voluminous and are not likely 11 to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence in this case. In addition, American Blind 12 objects to this request to the extent that it seeks the production of attorney/client privileged 13 communications. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind will produce 14 15 copies of cease and desist letters sent to entities infringing and/or diluting American Blind's 16 trademarks and copies of initial pleadings filed by American Blind seeking to protect its trademark 17 rights. 18 **REQUEST NO. 7**: 19 All business plans, reports, analyses and research RELATING TO the AMERICAN BLIND 20 MARKS and AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES, including, but not limited to, strategic plans, forecasts, or projections. 21 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:** 22 American Blind has no documents responsive to this request. 23

REQUEST NO. 8: 24

All of AMERICAN BLIND's quarterly and annual audited financial statements and annual reports from 1997 to the present, including all corresponding notes and schedules.

26 27

28

25

HOWREY LLP

ase 5:03-cv-05340-JF

RESPONSE TO REOUEST NO. 8:

3 American Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not 4 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. To the extent that 5 this request calls for the production of notes and schedules to American Blind's audited financial 6 statements, it is overbroad and unduly burdensome. American Blind will produce its annual audited 7 financial statements from 1997 to the present. American Blind states that it does not prepare quarterly 8 audited financial statements. 9

REQUEST NO. 9: 10

1

2

All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND's monthly gross revenues from 11 products and services sold under the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS from the first use of those marks 12 to the present.

13 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:**

14 American Blind objects to this request as over broad and unduly burdensome. Revenues from 15 products and services sold under the American Blind Marks is equivalent to total company revenues 16 because virtually all, if not all, of the products and services sold by American Blind are sold under the 17 American Blind Marks. As a result, the quantity of documents demonstrating monthly gross revenues 18 for American Blind is extremely voluminous and would be very burdensome to assemble and produce. 19 In addition, American Blind has been using its Marks since at least 1986 and it would be extremely 20 21 burdensome, if not impossible, to produce documents relating to monthly gross revenues for such a 22 long time period. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind states that it will 23 produce annual audited financial statements from 1997 to the present. 24 **REQUEST NO. 10:** 25 All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND's monthly gross revenues from products and services sold through the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES on a monthly basis 261

27

28

HOWREY LLP

American Blind's Responses to Google's First Set of Documents Requests Case No. C03-5340 JF (EAI) DM_US\8214784.v1

from the first use of those domain names to the present.

Case 5:03-cv-05340-JF Document 188-3 Filed 09/07/2006 Page 8 of 24

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

1

2 American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 3 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 4 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 5 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 6 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 7 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 8 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 9 10 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 11 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 12 records for each individual domain name, rather information for all domain names owned by American 13 Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of 14 documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly 15 burdensome. In addition, it is very difficult for American Blind to attempt with accuracy to divide 16 and/or separate revenues from or between its Internet domain names and its toll-free telephone 17 18 numbers. For example, customers often shop for products on American Blind's website and then call 19 its toll-free number to place an order. It is unclear from Google's request whether such sales would 20 qualify as made "through the American Blind domain names" or not. Subject to and without waiving 21 these objections, American Blind states that it will produce annual audited financial statements from 22 1997 to the present. 23

REQUEST NO. 11: 24

All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND's monthly profits and/or losses for 25 products and services sold under the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS from the first use of those marks 26 to the present.

27

28 HOWREY LLP

-7-

Case 5:03-cv-05340-JF

ł

1

1

14

TO REOU NO. 11:

2	American Blind objects to this request as over broad and unduly burdensome. Profits and/or
3	losses from products and services sold under the American Blind Marks is equivalent to total company
4	profits and/or losses because virtually all, if not all, of the products and services sold by American
5 6	Blind are sold under the American Blind Marks. As a result, the quantity of documents demonstrating
7	monthly profits and/or losses for American Blind is extremely voluminous and would be very
8	burdensome to assemble and produce. In addition, American Blind has been using its Marks since at
.9	least 1986, and it would be extremely burdensome, if not impossible, to produce documents relating to
10	monthly profits and/or losses for such a long time period. Subject to and without waiving these
11	objections, American Blind states that it will produce annual audited financial statements from 1997 to
12	the present.
13	REQUEST NO. 12:

All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND's monthly profits and/or losses for 15 products and services sold through the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES on a monthly basis from the first use of those domain names to the present. 16

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12: 17

American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 18 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 19 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 20 21 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 22 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 23 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 24 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 25 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 26 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 27 28 -8-

HOWREY LLP

.

ļ

; :

.

: :

	1	records for each individual domain name, rather information for all domain names owned by American
	2	Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of
	3	documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly
	4	burdensome. In addition, it is very difficult for American Blind to attempt with accuracy to divide
	5	and/or separate profits and/or losses from or between its Internet domain names and its toll-free
	6	telephone numbers. For example, customers often shop for products on American Blind's website and
	7 8	then call its toll-free number to place an order. It is unclear from Google's request whether such sales
	.9	would qualify as made "through the American Blind domain names" or not. Subject to and without
	10	waiving these objections, American Blind states that it will produce annual audited financial
	11	statements from 1997 to the present.
	12	REQUEST NO. 13:
	13	All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND'S monthly advertising and
	14	promotional expenditures for products or services marketed under the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS from the first use of those marks to the present.
	15	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:
	16 17	Since all of American Blind's products and services are marketed under the American Blind
.·	17	Marks, this request is actually requesting all documents demonstrating American Blind's monthly
• •	19	advertising and promotional expenditures. American Blind objects to this request because it is vague,
•	19 .20	
		ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of
	.20	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional
	20 21	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses
•	20 21 22 23 24	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing
	20 21 22 23 24 25	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing expenditures and what are properly includable as advertising and promotional expenditures. In
	 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing expenditures and what are properly includable as advertising and promotional expenditures. In addition, this request is overbroad because American Blind began using its Marks as early as 1986, and
-	 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing expenditures and what are properly includable as advertising and promotional expenditures. In addition, this request is overbroad because American Blind began using its Marks as early as 1986, and it would be too burdensome to produce documents dating from 1986, assuming that they even exist.
HOWRE	 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. As an initial matter, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing expenditures and what are properly includable as advertising and promotional expenditures. In addition, this request is overbroad because American Blind began using its Marks as early as 1986, and

Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind states that it will produce documents generally evidencing annual advertising expenditures.

REQUEST NO. 14:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

HOWREY LLP

All DOCUMENTS demonstrating AMERICAN BLIND's monthly advertising and promotional expenditures for products and services sold through the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES from the first use of those domain names to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:

American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 8 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 9 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 10 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 11 12 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 13 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 14 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 15 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 16 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 17 records for each individual domain name, rather information for all domain names owned by American 18 19 Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of 20 documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly 21 burdensome. In addition, the phrase "advertising and promotional expenditures" is vague and 22 ambiguous because it is unclear what fixed and/or variable expenses qualify in Google's view as 23 "expenditures," nor has Google made any distinction between marketing expenditures and what are 24 properly includable as advertising and promotional expenditures. In addition, this request is overbroad 25 because American Blind began using its domain names many years ago, and it would be too 26 27 burdensome to produce documents from such a long time ago, assuming that they even exist. Finally, 28 -10-

American Blind's advertising expenditures are not necessarily separated to reflect advertising expenditures for products and services sold through the American Blind domain names as opposed to through other channels. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind states that it will produce documents generally evidencing annual advertising expenditures.

REQUEST NO. 15:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

All DOCUMENTS identifying the weekly number of hits received by each of the websites reachable through the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES from the first use of those domain names to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15: 9

American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 10 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 11 12 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 13 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 14 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 15 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 16 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 17 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 18 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 19 20 records of "hits" for each individual domain name; rather information for all domain names owned by 21 American Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the 22 production of documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad 23 and unduly burdensome. In addition, American Blind has been using certain of its domain names for 24 many years and this request, which calls for the production of document from the first use of the 25 domain names to the present is overbroad in its time frame. Finally, this request is vague and 26 ambiguous because it is unclear what exactly Google means by the phrase "number of hits received." 27 28 -11-

HOWREY LLP

REQUEST NO. 16:

1

2

3

All DOCUMENTS identifying the weekly number of unique users accessing the websites reachable through the AMERICAN BLIND DOMAIN NAMES from the first use of those domain names to the present.

4 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16:**

5 American Blind objects to this request because it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly 6 burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. 7 As an initial matter, the phrase American Blind Domain Names is vague and ambiguous because 8 Google defines the term to mean a certain list of domain names (as set forth at page 2, paragraph 5 of 9 Google Inc.'s First Set Of Requests For Production Of Documents And Things From American Blind 10 & Wallpaper Factory, Inc.) and then includes "any other Internet domain name owned by or on behalf 11 12 of American Blind through which customers may purchase American Blind's products or services." 13 American Blind owns approximately 500 Internet domain names, but at present it only actively uses 14 and markets approximately 12 domain names. Moreover, American Blind does not generally maintain 15 records for each individual domain name; rather information for all domain names owned by American 16 Blind is collected and maintained together. To the extent that this request calls for the production of 17 documents related to all 500 domain names owned by American Blind, it is overbroad and unduly 18 burdensome. In addition, American Blind has been using certain of its domain names for many years 19 20 and this request, which calls for the production of document from the first use of the domain names to 21 the present is overbroad in its time frame. Finally, this request is vague and ambiguous because it is 22 unclear what exactly Google means by the phrase "unique users." For example, if a consumer visits 23 the American Blind website on Monday and then again on Tuesday, does he/she only constitute one 24 unique user for that week? In contrast, if a consumer visits the American Blind website on Friday and 25 then again the following Monday, does he/she constitute a separate unique user for both weeks? 26

27

28

HOWREY LLP

-12-

1 **REQUEST NO. 17:**

2

3

4

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO COMMUNICATIONS between AMERICAN BLIND AND GOOGLE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17:

American Blind objects to this request because it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and not 5 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. American Blind 6 presently is a customer of Google and, therefore, regularly communicates with Google representatives 7 8 concerning business matters, including but not limited to American Blind's advertising campaign with 9 Google. In addition, this request is overbroad because it is not limited to any definite period of time 10 and American Blind has been doing business with Google for many years. Subject to and without 11 waiving these objections, American Blind will produce electronic communications between American 12 Blind and Google regarding Google's sale of American Blind's trademarks as keywords as part of the 13 AdWords program, as well as monthly invoices received from Google concerning American Blind's 14 participation in Google's AdWords program. 15

16 **REQUEST NO. 18:**

17 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO COMMUNICATIONS between AMERICAN BLIND and the THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS. 18

RESPONSE TO REOUEST NO. 18: 19

American Blind objects to this request because it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and not 20 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. American Blind has 21 22 communicated with the third-party defendants concerning business matters, including but not limited 23 to potential advertising campaigns, which have no relevance to the current dispute. Subject to and 24 without waiving these objections, American Blind states that it has no documents relating to direct 25 communications with the third-party defendants regarding the sale of American Blind's trademarks as 26 keywords. 27

28

HOWREY LLP

-13-

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any trademark, servicemark, trade name, Internet domain 2 name, or any other application/registration owned by or on behalf of AMERICAN BLIND, through 3 assignment or otherwise, for any name, mark, or designation comprised of or containing the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS, or any variation thereof. 4

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 19:

Responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.

REQUEST NO. 20: 7

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any research, reports, surveys, investigations, or studies 8 conducted by or on behalf of AMERICAN BLIND, relating to consumer or customer perception, 9 understanding or recognition of any name, mark or designation comprised of or containing the AMERICAN BLIND MARKS, or any variation thereof. 10

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20: 11

12

1

5

6

American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is premature given that

American Blind has not yet received meaningful discovery responses from Google and has not yet had 13

an opportunity to take any depositions in this case. American Blind further objects to this 14

15 Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will likely be the subject of expert testimony

16 prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American Blind further objects to this request to the

17 extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the 18

attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, American Blind will 19

produce responsive non-privileged documents, to the extent that they exist, regarding consumer or 20

customer perceptions of the American Blind Marks. 21

22 **REQUEST NO. 21:**

23

24

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO GOOGLE or any of the THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS. **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:**

American Blind objects to this request because it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and not

25 26

27

28

HOWREY LLP

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. This request is so

overbroad as to be without meaning.

-14-

REQUEST NO. 22:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

DOCUMENTS identifying AMERICAN BLIND's corporation structure, including divisions 2 and departments.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.

REQUEST NO. 23:

DOCUMENTS identifying AMERICAN BLIND's employees and their job descriptions.

RESPONSE TO REOUEST NO. 23:

American Blind objects to this request because it is over broad, unduly burdensome, and not 9 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant or admissible evidence. American Blind has 10 11 more than a hundred employees, each with slightly varying job descriptions. To the extent that this 12 request seeks each employee's job description, it is overbroad. Subject to and without waiving these 13 objections. American Blind will produce an employee roster identifying the individuals that presently 14 work for American Blind and the department in which they work.

15

HOWRE

REQUEST NO. 24: 16

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendant Google. 17 actively solicits others to purchase not only American Blind's registered and unregistered trademarks, but also virtually every conceivable iteration of these marks." 18

19 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 24**:

20	American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents			
21 [.]	protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and			
22	without waiving this objection, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.			
23	However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and			
24				
25	custody of Google and American Blind's competitors. Discovery and investigation continue and this			
26	response may be supplemented as required.			
27				
28	-15-			
LLP				

REQUEST NO. 25:

1

3

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendants have 2 deliberately manipulated their search engine 'results' so that, when consumers use these search engines to find American Blind's products and services, the consumers are unwittingly diverted to competitors' products and services." 4

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 25:

5 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents 6 protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 7 8 without waiving this objection, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 9 However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and 10 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors. Discovery and investigation continue and this 11 response may be supplemented as required. 12 REQUEST NO. 26: 13 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's contention that "the American 14 Blind Marks have acquired an outstanding celebrity as a source of quality home decorating products and related services." 15 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 26:** 16 American Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad and unduly burdensome because 17 18 it would require the production of all of American Blind's advertising, marketing, and promotional 19 materials. American Blind further objects to this request on the ground that it seeks information that 20 will likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. 21 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected 22 by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without 23 waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 24

REQUEST NO. 27: 25

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's contention that "[t]he public has used and now uses the American Blind Marks to identify American Blind and its home decorating

-16-

HOWREY LLP

 26°

27

28

products and related services from the home decorating products and related services offered by others[.]"

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 27:

1

2

3 American Blind objects to this request because it is overbroad and unduly burdensome because .4 it would require the production of all of American Blind's advertising, marketing, and promotional 5 materials. American Blind further objects to this request on the ground that it seeks information that 6 7 will likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. 8 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected 9 by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without 10 waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 11 REQUEST NO. 28: 12 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "[t]he designation 13 Sponsored Link' is itself confusing and misleading." 14 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 28:** 15 American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will 16 likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 17 Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 18 attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving 19 these objections, American Blind states that it does not presently have in its possession documents that 20 21 relate to the interpretation of Google's use of the word "Sponsored." American Blind believes that 22 there are numerous such documents in the possession of Google and other third parties. 23 REQUEST NO. 29: 24 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Google has sold many keywords comprised, in whole or in part, of the American Blind marks, to competitors of 25 American Blind." 26 27 28 -17-HOWREY LLP American Blind's Responses to Google's First Set of Documents Requests Case No. C03-5340 JF (EAI)

1 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 29:** 2 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents 3 protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 4 without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 5 However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and 6 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors. Discovery and investigation continue and this 7 response may be supplemented as required. 8 REQUEST NO. 30: 9 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Google ... 10 actively promotes and encourages competitors to embark on a sweeping competitive raid on the American Blind Marks and virtually every conceivable, though indistinguishable, iteration of those 11 marks." 12 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 30:** 13 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents 14 protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 15 without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 16 17 However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and 18 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors. 19 **REQUEST NO. 31:** 20 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Google intentionally has designed its financially lucrative 'AdWords' program to maximize the infringement 21 and dilution of American Blind's marks." 22 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 31:** 23 American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents 24 protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 25 without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 26 27 28 -18-HOWREY LLP American Blind's Responses to Google's First Set of Documents Requests Case No. C03-5340 JF (EAI) DM 1JS\8214784.v1

However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and

2 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors.

3 **REQUEST NO. 32:**

1

5

6

7

4 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Google has knowingly sold the American Blind Marks in commerce and included them in Google's search engine for Google's own profit and to increase the competitive advantage of American Blind's competitors."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 32:

American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents 8 protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 9 without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 10 However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and 11

12 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors.

13 **REQUEST NO. 33**:

14 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Google adopted and used this former trademark policy because it believed it would be, or could be, found liable for 15 trademark infringement or other related claims if it did not block such purchases."

16

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 33:

17 18

American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents

protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and 19

without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 20

However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and 21

22 custody of Google and American Blind's competitors.

23 **REOUEST NO. 34:**

24 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendants and their advertisers are wrongfully profiting off of the goodwill and reputation of trademark owners such 25 as American Blind."

26

27

28

HOWREY LLP

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 34:

American Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents

protected by the attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and

without waiving these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.

However, American Blind believes that the requested documents are largely within the possession and

custody of Google and American Blind's competitors.

REQUEST NO. 35:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendants' 9 search engines are deceptive and mislead consumers into believing falsely that the website links to which they are directed via manipulated search 'results' links are sponsored or authorized by and/or 10 originat[e] from American Blind[.]"

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 35:

American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will 13 likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 14 Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 15 attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving 16

17 these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.

18 REQUEST NO. 36:

19 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "[t]he manipulated search engine 'results,' ... dilute the ability of the American Blind Marks to identify American Blind 20 as a source of its goods and services."

21

22

23

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 36:

American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will

likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 24

Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 25

attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving 26

27 these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced.

28

HOWREY LLP

-20-

REOUEST NO. 37:

1

2

3

4

5

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "American Blind's customers have been and will likely continue to be confused about the origin and sponsorship of the companies other than American Blind listed by the Defendants in their deceptive search engine 'results.""

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 37:

American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will 6 likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 7 Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 8 attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving 9 these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 10 **REQUEST NO. 38:** 11 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendants' 12 actions steal customers from American Blind's website, divert consumers to inferior products and services, erode the distinctiveness of American Blind's Marks, and impair American Blind's honest 13 and good faith efforts to promote and sell its products on the Internet." 14 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 38:** 15 American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will 16 likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 17 Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 18 19 attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving 20these objections, responsive documents, to the extent that they exist, will be produced. 21 REQUEST NO. 39: 22 All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO AMERICAN BLIND's allegation that "Defendants' actions have caused damage and irreparable injury to American Blind." 23 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 39:** 24 25 American Blind objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that will 26 likely be the subject of expert testimony prior to the time for disclosure of expert opinions. American 27 Blind objects to this request to the extent it calls for the production of documents protected by the 28 -21-HOWREY LLP

....

to

•	• • •	·	
•	1	attorney/client privilege and/or the attorney work	product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving
	_2	these objections, responsive documents, to the ex	tent that they exist, will be produced.
	3	REQUEST NO. 40:	
	4	· · ·	ING TO, or which were relied upon in responding
	5	Google's First Set of Interrogatories to American	
	6	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 40:	
	7	Responsive documents will be produced.	
	8	REQUEST NO. 41:	
. ·	- 9	All DOCUMENTS upon which AMERIC	CAN BLIND will rely in this lawsuit.
·.	10	RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 41:	
	11	Responsive documents will be produced.	
•	12		. · ·
•	13	Dated: June 10, 2005	HOWREY LLP
	14	Dated. Julie 10, 2005	
	15		G-D-
	16		By: ROBERT N. PHILLIPS
• •	17		ETHAN B. ANDELMAN
	18		David A. Rammelt Susan J. Greenspon
	19		Dawn M. Beery KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
•	20		333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60606
	21		Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff
	22		AMERICAN BLIND AND WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC.
	23		
·.	24		
•	25 ⁻	· · · ·	
	26		
	27		
	28		-22-
HOWRE	тuр	American Blind's Responses to Google's First Set of Doc	uments Requests
÷		Case No. C03-5340 JF (EAI)	

ľ

5

7

8

9

10

11

PROOF OF SERVICE

2 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California. I am employed in San Francisco County, State of California, in the office of a member of the bar of this Court, at whose 3 direction the service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 525 Market Street, Suite 3600, San Francisco, CA 94105. On the date 4 set forth below, I served the document(s) described below in the manner described below:

AMERICAN BLIND & WALLPAPER FACTORY, INC.'S RESPONSES TO GOOGLE, INC.'S 6 FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS

VIA MESSENGER Michael H. Page Mark A. Lemley Ravind S. Grewal Keker & Van Nest, LLP 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

(BY FACSIMILE) I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Howrey Simon 12 Arnold & White, LLP for collection and processing of document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile and I caused such document(s) on this date to be transmitted by facsimile to the offices of addressee(s) at the numbers listed 13 below.

14 (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery, and I 15 caused such document(s) described herein to be deposited for delivery to a facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for overnight delivery. 16

(BY MESSENGER SERVICE) by consigning the document(s) to an authorized courier XX 17 and/or process server for hand delivery on this date.

18 (BY U.S. MAIL) I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the 19 United States Postal Service, and I caused such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California. 20

Executed on June 10, 2005, at San Francisco, California

Mill (Signature)

Patricia Cranmer

RNOLD

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28