Perfect 10, Inc. v. \	isa International Service Associat	tion et al			Doc. 3	
	Case 5:04-cv-00371-JW	Document 33	Filed 06/03/2004	Page 1 of 2		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TELEPHONE: (310) 282-89 FACSIMILE: (310) 282-89 JEFFREY N. MAINER (JOHN R. YATES (State BERMAN, MAUSNER & 11601 Wilshire Boulevar Los Angeles, California 9	STATE BAR NO. 07 , ESQ., STATE BAI ,RS, 25TH FLOOR IA 90067-4506 @KHPBLAW.COM 089 003 State Bar No. 122 Bar No. 120344) & RESSER d, Suite 600 00025-1742 ER@BMRLAW.COM 333	27012 2 No. 132514 2385)			
10	DANIEL J. COOPER (St General Counsel, Perfect RANDY LEWIS (State E Associate General Counsel	ate Bar No. 1984 10, Inc. 8ar No. 210444)				
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff PERFECT 10, INC.					
14						
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION					
17	PERFECT 10, INC., a Ca	lifornia	CASE NO. C 04	00371 (JCS)		
18	corporation,,		[Assigned for all Judge James Wa	l purposes to		
19	Plaint	iff,		ced: January 28, 200	4	
20	VS.			NOTICE OF ERRATA		
21	VISA INTERNATIONA ASSOCIATION; FIRST	L SERVICE DATA CORP, a	TO OPPOSITIO DISMISS	N TO MOTION TO	-	
22	ASSOCIATION; FIRST corporation; CARDSERV INTERNATIONAL, INC	., a corporation;				
23	MASTERCARD INTER	NATIONAL				
24	INCORPORATED, a cor HUMBOLDT BANK, a 1 association; and DOES 1	through 100,				
25	inclusive,	1				
26 27	Defen	dants.				
27						
28	2014 060/20800 1					
	2914.060\39809.1	TA TO ODDOSITION T		99	_	
	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF ERRA	IA IO OFFOSITION I		Dockets.Ju	ustia.co	

1	TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND					
2	THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:					
3	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. respectfully submits					
4	the following errata to its opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss:					
5	Plaintiff inadvertently gave an incorrect cite for the statement at page 10, lines					
6	11-12, of the opposition, that "[a] suit should not be dismissed if it is possible to					
7	hypothesize facts, consistent with the complaint, that would make out a claim."					
8	The correct cite is Graehling v. Village of Lombard, 58 F.3d 295, 297 (7th					
9	Cir.1995), citing Hishon v. King & Spalding, 467 U.S. 69, 73, 104 S.Ct. 2229, 2232-					
10	33, 81 L.Ed.2d 59 (1984); Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46, 78 S.Ct. 99, 101-					
11	102, 2 L.Ed.2d 80 (1957); Sanjuan v. American Board of Psychiatry & Neurology,					
12	Inc., 40 F.3d 247, 250-51 (7th Cir.1994); and, American Nurses' Ass'n v. Illinois,					
13	783 F.2d 716, 727 (7th Cir.1986). ¹					
14						
15	DATED: June 3, 2004 KING, HOLMES, PATERNO & BERLINER, LLP					
16						
17	By:STEPHEN D. ROTHSCHILD					
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff PERFECT 10, INC.					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	$\frac{1}{1}$ Graehling was cited with approval for the above-cited proposition in Hawkins v.					
26	<i>Comparet-Cassani</i> , 33 F.Supp.2d 1244, 1253 (C.D.Cal. 1999) (rev'd on other grounds, 251 F.3d 1230 (9th Cir. 2001)).					
27	$\begin{bmatrix} 51001103, 25111.50, 1250 (7011011.2001) \end{bmatrix}.$					
28						

PATERNO & BERLINER LLP 2 PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF ERRATA TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS

KING, HOLMES,