Digital Envoy Inc.,	ү. Google Inc.,				Doc., 91				
	Case 5:04-cv-01497-RS	Document 91	Filed 02/23/2005	Page 1 of 3					
1 2 3	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP								
4 5									
6	TIMOTHY H. KRATZ (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) LUKE ANDERSON (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) MCGUIRE WOODS, L.L.P								
8	1170 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2100 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Telephone: 404.443.5500								
10	Attorneys for DIGITAL ENVOY, INC.								
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION								
14	DIGITAL ENVOY, INC.,		Case No. C 04 014	97 RS					
15	Plaintiff/Counter	defendant,							
16	V.		[REDACTED] DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY H. KRATZ IN SUPPORT OF DIGITAL ENVOY, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE, INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON COUNTS II, III, IV AND V OF THE AMENDED COMPLAINT						
17	GOOGLE, INC.,								
18	Defendant/Coun	terclaimant.							
19				arch 16, 2005					
20			Time: 9:	30 a.m. 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor					
21			The Honorable Ri	chard Seeborg					
22			(REDACTED VE	C					
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28			1 .						
	W02-SF:5BB\61445274.1	_	ENVOY'S OPPO	OTHY H. KRATZ ISO DIGI D. TO GOOGLE'S MOTION RTIAL SUMMARY JUDGM	FOR				

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
l	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
,	5.

I,	Timothy	Η.	Kratz,	declare:
----	---------	----	--------	----------

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of Georgia and am admitted *pro hac vice* in this Court. I am a partner with McGuire Woods LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Digital Envoy, Inc. ("Digital Envoy"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto.
  - 2. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 15.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Steven L. Schimmel.
  - 4. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 18.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Kulpreet Rana.
  - 6. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 25.
  - 7. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.
  - 8. Attached as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 28.
  - 9. Attached as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
- 10. Attached as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Google's final prospectus.
  - 11. Attached as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 11.
  - 12. Attached as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 48.
- 13. Attached as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the deposition of Robert L. Freidman.
  - 14. Attached as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 38.
  - 15. Attached as Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 6.
  - 16. Attached as Exhibit O is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
  - 17. Attached as Exhibit P is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 36.

26

27

28

Document 91

Filed 02/23/2005

Page 3 of 3

Case 5:04-cv-01497-RS