1	http://www.netsimplicity.com/ customers /list.shtml, that appear to be located in California.
2	4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of selected pages of
3	Motorola Inc.'s Form 10-K Annual Report, filed on March 12, 2004.
4	5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of United States Patent
5	Application Serial No. 06/923,630, filed on October 27, 1986.
6	6. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No.
7	4,698,672 (the "'672 patent"), issued on October 6, 1987.
8	7. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Complaint filed in
9	Agfa Corp., et al. v. Compression Labs, Inc., et al., Case No. 04-818 SLR (De. Del.) ("Agfa
10	Complaint"). The Agfa Complaint includes the following allegations, which, based on
11	information and believe, I understand to be accurate:
12	a. CLI was first in incorporated in December 1976. See Agfa Compl. ¶ 49.
13	b. CLI participated in the JPEG standard setting process. <i>See id.</i> ¶¶ 69-95.
14	c. CLI's executives (i) consulted with the JPEG committee, (ii) attended and
15	participated in at least one meeting, in August 1991, in Santa Clara, California,
16	and (iii) twice voted for the adoption of the JPEG Standard while CLI resided in
17	the Northern District of California. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 91.
18	d. CLI never disclosed any patents relevant to the JPEG standard and it never
19	asserted that the '672 patent would be infringed by anyone practicing the JPEG
20	standard. <i>Id</i> . ¶ 95.
21	e. The JPEG Standard was adopted in 1992 as a result of years of contribution and
22	collaboration of a committee of industry members. <i>Id</i> . ¶ 70.
23	f. In June, 1981, CLI filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of California,
24	County of Santa Clara, Case No. 476629, against Widergren Associates (later
25	renamed Widegrem Communications ("Widcom") and several of its employees
26	alleging, among other things, trade secret misappropriation. In December, 1985,
27	CLI filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Widcom in the Northern District
28	of California. These lawsuits related to the device known as the "Widcom VTC-