

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 In re INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG
14 SECURITIES LITIGATION

) Master File No. C-04-4156-JW
)

) CLASS ACTION
)

15 This Document Relates To:
16 ALL ACTIONS.

) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER APPROVING PLAN
) OF ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT
) PROCEEDS
)

DATE: October 17, 2011

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

CTRM: The Honorable James Ware
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiff's application for approval of the
2 Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having
3 considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the
4 premises;

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

6 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set
7 forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated June 20, 2011 (the "Stipulation").

8 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all
10 Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and
11 of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities
12 who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

13 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the
14 claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed
15 Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and
16 reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the
17 Stipulation among Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to
18 administrative convenience and necessity.

19 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the
20 Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: November 2, 2011


THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE

1 Submitted by:
2 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
3 & DOWD LLP
4 JOHN K. GRANT
5 CHRISTOPHER M. WOOD
6 Post Montgomery Center
7 One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: 415/288-4545
10 415/288-4534 (fax)

11 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
12 & DOWD LLP
13 JOY ANN BULL

14

15 s/ Joy Ann Bull
16 JOY ANN BULL

17

18 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
19 San Diego, CA 92101-3301
20 Telephone: 619/231-1058
21 619/231-7423 (fax)

22

23 MURRAY FRANK LLP
24 BRIAN P. MURRAY
25 275 Madison Avenue, Suite 801
26 New York, NY 10016
27 Telephone: 212/682-1818
28 212/682-1892 (fax)

29 Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

30 VANOVERBEKE MICHAUD
31 & TIMMONY, P.C.
32 MICHAEL J. VANOVERBEKE
33 THOMAS C. MICHAUD
34 79 Alfred Street
35 Detroit, MI 48201
36 Telephone: 313/578-1200
37 313/578-1201 (fax)

38

39 TILP PLLC
40 MARC SCHIEFER
41 140 Broadway
42 New York, NY 10005
43 Telephone: 212/907-0635
44 212/818-0477 (fax)

45 Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs

46