This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to an Order of this Court, dated February 18, 2009, on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of January 28, 2009 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given of the Settlement as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Settlement Class.
- 3. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby certifies, for purposes of effectuating this Settlement, a Settlement Class of all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Impax securities during the period from and including May 5, 2004 to November 3, 2004, and were damaged thereby. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, any entity in which any Defendant has or had a controlling interest or that is a parent or subsidiary or is controlled by any Defendant, Defendants' officers and directors, including any person who was an officer or director during the Settlement Class Period, Defendants' affiliates, legal representatives, heirs, predecessors, successors or assigns, and members of Defendants' immediate families. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those Persons who timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action, as set forth in Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 4. With respect to the Settlement Class, this Court finds for the purposes of effectuating this Settlement that (a) the Members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all Settlement Class Members in the class action is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact

common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) the Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of all of the Settlement Class Members; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, considering: (i) the interests of the Members of the Settlement Class in individually controlling the prosecution of the separate actions; (ii) the extent and nature of any litigation concerning the controversy already commenced by Members of the Settlement Class; (iii) the desirability or undesirability of continuing the litigation of these claims in this particular forum; and (iv) the difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of the class action.

- 5. Except as to any individual claim of those Persons (identified in Exhibit 1 attached hereto) who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Settlement Class, the Litigation and all claims contained therein, including all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as to the Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Settlement Class, and as against each and all of the Released Parties. The parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to, and is in the best interests of, the Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class and each of the Settlement Class Members. This Court further finds the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members and the Defendants. Accordingly, the Settlement embodied in the Stipulation is hereby approved in all respects and shall be consummated in accordance with its terms and provisions. The Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform the terms of the Stipulation.

- 7. Upon the Effective Date hereof, Plaintiffs, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release form. The Settling Parties acknowledge and the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed by operation of law to acknowledge, that the waiver of Unknown Claims, and of the provisions, rights and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, was bargained for and is a key element of the Settlement of which the release in this paragraph is a part.
- 8. Upon the Effective Date hereof, Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, are forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, or continuing to prosecute any action or proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, administrative forum, or other forum of any kind, asserting against any of the Released Parties, and each of them, any of the Released Claims.
- 9. Upon the Effective Date hereof, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged Plaintiffs, Settlement Class Members, and Lead Counsel from all claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the Released Claims.
- 10. The distribution of the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action and the publication of the Summary Notice as provided for in the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the

Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.

- 11. Any plan of allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding the attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.
- 12. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal. Defendants may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 13. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees and expenses in the Litigation; and (d) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Stipulation.
- 14. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

1	15. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the
2	terms of the Stipulation or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement
3	Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to the Defendants, then this Judgment shall be rendered null
4	and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and,
5	in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void
7	to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.
8	The Clerk shall close this consolidated case and all other member cases.
9	DATED: May 12, 2009
10	THE HONOKABLE JAMES WARE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	Submitted by:
12	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
13	AZRA Z. MEHDI DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM
14	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111
15	Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)
16	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
17 18	RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP JOY ANN BULL
19	LAURIE L. LARGENT
20	s/ Joy Ann Bull
21	JOY ANN BULL
22	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
23	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)
24	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
25	
26	
27	

1	
2	VANOVERBEKE MICHAUD & TIMMONY, P.C. MICHAEL J. VANOVERBEKE
3	THOMAS C. MICHAUD
4	79 Alfred Street Detroit, MI 48201
5	Detroit, MI 48201 Telephone: 313/578-1200 313/578-1201 (fax)
6	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs
7	
8	S:\Settlement\Impax Labs.set\FINAL JUDGMENT 00058853.doc
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
21	
//	
23	
23 24	
232425	
23 24	



AS OF APRIL 13, 2009 NO REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION HAVE BEEN RECEIVED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 14, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I further certify that I caused this document to be forwarded to the following designated Internet site at: http://securities.csgrr.com/.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 14, 2009.

s/ JOY ANN BULL JOY ANN BULL

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail:Joyb@csgrr.com

Mailing Information for a Case 5:04-cv-04802-JW

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- Dale E. Barnes, Jr dale.barnes@bingham.com,teresa.bowen@bingham.com,lisa.lee@bingham.com
- Joy Ann Bull JOYB@csgrr.com
- Joseph Otto Click click@blankrome.com,smith-sh@blankrome.com
- Patrick J. Coughlin
 PatC@csgrr.com,e_file_sf@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- Robert S. Green CAND.USCOURTS@CLASSCOUNSEL.COM
- Suzanne Heald Kaplan shkaplan@csgrr.com
- Louis H. Kozloff
 Kozloff@blankrome.com
- Laurie L. Largent llargent@csgrr.com
- William S. Lerach e_file_sd@lerachlaw.com
- Elizabeth Pei Lin elin@milberg.com,schang@milberg.com,cchaffins@milberg.com
- Tricia Lynn McCormick triciam@csgrr.com,e_file_sf@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- Azra Z. Mehdi azram@csgrr.com,e_file_sf@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- Daniel Jacob Pfefferbaum

 DPfefferbaum@csgrr.com,nrogers@csgrr.com,khuang@csgrr.com
- Willow E. Radcliffe willowr@csgrr.com,khuang@csgrr.com,e_file_sf@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com

CAND-ECF Page 2 of 2

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Kerry Brainard

600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Tim P. Harris

Blank Rome LLP Watergate 600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037

Michael Joseph

600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Darren Jay Robbins

Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Hardy Vieux

Blank Rome LLP Watergate 600 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037

MANUAL SERVICE LIST

In re Impax Laboratories, Inc. Securities Litigation

Michael J. Vanoverbeke Thomas C. Michaud VANOVERBEKE MICHAUD & TIMMONY, P.C. 79 Alfred Street Detroit, MI 48201 Telephone: 313/578-1200 313/578-1201 (fax)