

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*E-FILED 1/8/09\***

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GIUSEPPE CAMPANELLA, et al.,

NO. C 04-4906 RS

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER REGARDING  
DEPOSITION EXCERPTS OF  
MILAGROS YOUNG**

v.

LYNETTE LONGORIA, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

A. Plaintiffs' Deposition Excerpts of Milagros Young

Plaintiffs submitted excerpts from the deposition of Milagros Young that are intended to be offered at trial. Defendants filed objections to portions of those excerpts. The order of the Court is as follows:

- (1) Page 38, Line 10   Substitute "previously" for "at the criminal trial."
- (2) 40:3-12            Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- (3) 61:15-24          Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- (4) 63:13-64:9        Objection sustained.
- (5) 68:18-25          Objection sustained.
- (6) 76:24-77:5        Objection sustained.
- (7) 81:9-12           Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.

- 1 (8) 90:10-24 Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- 2 (9) 120:9-121:23 Objection sustained.
- 3 (10) 122:5-13 Objection sustained.
- 4 (11) 123:2-123:17 Objection sustained.
- 5 (12) 123:18-124:8 Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- 6 (13) 128:16-22 Objection sustained.
- 7 (14) 134:6-135:25 Objection sustained.
- 8 (15) 145:15-146:5 Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- 9 (16) 147:5-8 Objection overruled. The excerpt may be read.
- 10 (17) 149:4-22 Reference to trial to be deleted.
- 11 (18) 150:4-13 Reference to trial to be deleted.
- 12 (19) 151:17-152:13 Objection sustained.
- 13 (20) 153:18-154:16 Objection sustained.
- 14 (21) 156:4-22 Objection sustained.
- 15 (22) 170:13-173:13 Objection sustained.

16 B. Counterdesignations

17 Defendants submitted counterdesignations listing excerpts from the deposition of Milagros  
18 Young. Plaintiffs filed no objections to those designations. Accordingly, those excerpts may be  
19 read by defendants.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: January 8, 2009

  
RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States Magistrate Judge