1	Benjamin W. Bull, Arizona State Bar No. 00994 Joshua W. Carden, Arizona State Bar No. 02169		
2	Alliance Defense Fund 15333 N. Pima Rd., Suite 165		
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85260		
4	Phone: (480) 444-0020, Fax: (480) 444-0028		
5	Kevin H. Theriot, Missouri State Bar No. 55733 Alliance Defense Fund	(appearing PHV)	
6	15660 W. 135th St. Olathe, KS 66062		
7	Phone: (913) 829-7755, Fax: (913) 829-7780		
8	Robert H. Tyler, California State Bar No. 17957	2	
9	Alliance Defense Fund 38760 Sky Canyon Drive, Suite B		
10	Murietta, CA 92563 Phone: (951) 461-7860, Fax: (951) 461-9056		
11	Terry L. Thompson, California State Bar No. 19	9870	
12	Law Offices of Terry L. Thompson P.O. Box 1346		
13	Alamo, CA 94507		
14	Phone (925) 855-1507 Fax: (925) 820-6034		
15	(designated local counsel)		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Stephen J. Williams		
17		TES DISTRICT COURT	
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
19	STEPHEN J. WILLIAMS,		
20	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT	
21			
22	V.	SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED	
23	PATRICIA VIDMAR, Principal of Stevens Creek School, WILLIAM BRAGG,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
24	Superintendent of Cupertino Union School District, PEARL CHENG, BEN LIAO,		
25	JOSEPHINE LUCEY, GARY MCCUE,		
26	GEORGE TYSON, Board members of Cupertino Union School District, in their		
27	official capacities only,		
28	Defendants.		

Comes now the plaintiff, Stephen J. Williams, by and through counsel, pursuant to the 1 2 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court's April 28, 2005 order, and against the 3 Defendants avers the following: 4 I. 5 **INTRODUCTION** 6 1. This case is about the Constitutional rights of Stephen Williams, a public school 7 teacher and orthodox Christian. The Defendants have censored Mr. Williams' instructional 8 speech and choices of supplemental handouts - chiefly excerpts of primary source documents 9 from America's founding era, or from state constitutions – containing religious content because 10 Mr. Williams is a Christian. Defendants have ordered Mr. Williams (but no other similarly 11 situated current teacher) – not to speak about Christianity or distribute any supplemental handout 12 unless it appears on a list of authorized handouts that applies only to Mr. Williams. This is a 13 violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution for which Mr. Williams 14 seeks declaratory relief, injunctive relief, costs and attorneys' fees. 15 II. 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2. 17 This action arises under the United States Constitution, particularly the Fourteenth 18 Amendment; and under federal law, particularly 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 19 and 1988. 20 3. This Court has original jurisdiction over these federal claims by operation of 28 21 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. 4. 22 This Court has authority to issue the requested declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2201. 23 5. This Court has authority to issue the requested injunctive relief under 28 U.S.C. § 24 1343(a)(3). 25 26 6. This Court is authorized to award attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988. 27 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in the Northern District of California 28 because this claim arose there, and the parties reside within the District. SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 2 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

11

III.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

8. Pursuant to L.R. 3-2(e) & 3-5, this case is a civil rights case, in a non-excepted category, suitable for assignment to the San Jose division because the civil action arose in Santa Clara County.

IV.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Stephen J. Williams is a resident of Mountain View, California.

9 10. Defendant Patricia Vidmar is Principal of Stevens Creek School, within the 10 Cupertino Union School District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting the policies at Stevens Creek School and implementing the policies of Stevens Creek School and 12 the Cupertino Union School District.

13 11. Defendant William Bragg is Superintendent of Cupertino Union School District. 14 Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting and implementing policies for 15 schools within the Cupertino Union School District.

16 12. Defendant Pearl Cheng is a member of the Board of Education of the Cupertino 17 Union School District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting policies for 18 schools within the Cupertino Union School District.

19 13. Defendant Ben Liao is a member of the Board of Education of the Cupertino 20 Union School District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting policies for 21 schools within the Cupertino Union School District.

22 14. Defendant Josephine Lucey is a member of the Board of Education of the 23 Cupertino Union School District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting policies for schools within the Cupertino Union School District. 24

25 15. Defendant Gary McCue is a member of the Board of Education of the Cupertino 26 Union School District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting policies for 27 schools within the Cupertino Union School District.

1	16.	Defendant George Tyson is a member of the Board of Education of the Cupertino
2	Union School	l District. Among other things, this Defendant is responsible for setting policies for
3	schools withi	n the Cupertino Union School District.
4	17.	Each Defendant is sued in his or her official capacities only.
5		V.
6		STATEMENT OF FACTS
7	Background	
8	18.	Plaintiff Stephen J. Williams currently teaches fifth grade at Stevens Creek
9	School ("the School"), which is part of Cupertino Union School District ("the District").	
10	19.	Defendant Patricia Vidmar is the Principal of the School.
11	20.	Mr. Williams has taught for eight years in the District.
12	21.	Mr. Williams has taught fifth grade for five years.
13	22.	History and Social Studies are among the subjects that Mr. Williams teaches the
14	fifth grade stu	idents at the School.
15	Conflicts Over Discussions About Religion in the Classroom	
16	23.	Mr. Williams is a Christian, and generally adheres to orthodox Christian beliefs as
17	prescribed by	the Bible.
18	24.	One of Mr. Williams' Christian beliefs is that he must follow all of the rules of
19	the school an	nd district, and to comply with federal and state law regarding the discussion of
20	religion in pu	blic schools
21	25.	Mr. Williams understands and admits that he is not permitted to "proselytize" or
22	seek to conve	ert his students to Christian beliefs.
23	26.	Principal Vidmar is aware that Mr. Williams is a Christian.
24	27.	In June 2003, Principal Vidmar expressed her concern that Mr. Williams, as a
25	Christian, wo	ould allow his faith to intrude into the classroom. She did not mention specific
26	incidents or c	omplaints involving Mr. Williams or his faith.
27		
28		
	SECOND AME	NDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 4 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1 28. Mr. Williams expressed to Principal Vidmar his understanding that he is not 2 allowed to "proselytize" his personal religious beliefs to students when acting as a public school 3 teacher. 4 29. In September 2003, at the beginning of class, a School student asked Mr. 5 Williams "Why do we say 'under God' in the Pledge of Allegiance?" 6 30. Mr. Williams facilitated a short discussion among the students about the reasons 7 for "under God" in the Pledge of Allegiance. 8 31. Mr. Williams did not attempt to influence the students' beliefs regarding religion. 9 32. Shortly after school ended on that day, Principal Vidmar entered the classroom 10 and asked Mr. Williams "why God was being taught" during class. 11 33. Mr. Williams explained that a student had asked a question and that he had 12 facilitated a short discussion on the subject of "under God" in the Pledge of Allegiance. 13 34. In October 2003, during a lesson on Christopher Columbus, the textbook 14 referenced Columbus as a "missionary" seeking to spread Christianity.

35. A School student asked Mr. Williams: "What's a Christian?"

15

16

36. Mr. Williams replied: "Someone who follows the teachings of Jesus Christ."

37. Shortly after school ended on that day, Principal Vidmar entered the classroom
and asked Mr. Williams: "What are you doing talking about Jesus Christ?"

38. Mr. Williams explained that he had responded to a student's direct question
regarding content in the textbook and did not attempt to influence the students' beliefs regarding
Christianity.

39. Mr. Williams frequently supplements the district-approved textbooks with
curriculum-related literature for his students to understand the topic under study.

40. It is not uncommon for teachers within the District to supplement District
textbooks with curriculum-related literature and other handouts.

41. Mr. Williams chooses supplemental literature that, in his judgment as a
professional educator, satisfy the California History-Social Science content standards for the fifth
grade.

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 5 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

42. Many teachers employed by the District supplement the textbooks for each subject with curriculum-related literature and other handouts.

43. In his judgment as a professional educator, Mr. Williams cannot satisfy the California History-Social Science content standards for the fifth grade if he does not supplement the textbooks with historical literature and other appropriate handouts.

6 44. In November 2003, Mr. Williams distributed to his students a supplemental
7 activity assignment that he had used in previous years in conjunction with the "The Lion, the
8 Witch, and the Wardrobe" by C.S. Lewis, which is on the school's approved reading list.

9 45. One of the nine possible activities in the supplement – Mr. Williams asked the
10 students to choose five – asked students to discuss Mr. Lewis' intention to write the book as a
11 Christian allegory.

46. Aware of Principal Vidmar's quick reaction to the student-initiated discussion of
"under God" and the mention of "Jesus Christ" in Mr. Williams' classroom, Mr. Williams
proactively gave a copy of the activity assignment to Principal Vidmar.

15

1

2

3

4

5

47. Visibly annoyed, Principal Vidmar asked Mr. Williams: "What are you doing?"

48. Mr. Williams explained that he had used the same handout in previous years withno complaints.

18

49. Principal Vidmar then approved the C.S. Lewis activity assignment.

19 50. In late November 2003, aware of Principal Vidmar's quick reaction to the
20 student-initiated discussion of "under God," the mention of "Jesus Christ," and the C.S. Lewis
21 activity assignment, Mr. Williams informed Principal Vidmar that he planned to distribute a
22 "Myth/Fact" handout about Thanksgiving from The History Channel website and a handout
23 containing President Bush's Thanksgiving proclamation.

24 51. Because of Principal Vidmar's reactions in the past, Mr. Williams also invited
25 Principal Vidmar to observe the lesson if she so chose.

26 52. Principal Vidmar approved the lesson and came to observe the lesson where Mr.
27 Williams distributed these handouts. Mr. Williams went through the "Myth/Fact" handout with
28 the students, and then read portions of President Bush's Thanksgiving proclamation.

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 6 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

53. In December 2003 and January 2004, Mr. Williams presented a series of lessons on the various winter holidays. Mr. Williams instructed his students that the origin of the word "holiday" comes from the words "holy day." Each student was to pick a holiday and write a report about it. Mr. Williams facilitated student discussion of each holiday – Ramadan, Dewali, Kwanzaa, Hanukkah, Christmas, and the Chinese New Year – usually one discussion per day.

54. Mr. Williams received no complaints from Principal Vidmar nor anyone else about the holiday assignment, despite the religious connotations of several of the holidays.

55. In April 2004, Mr. Williams distributed an Easter Card to school staff and fellow teachers. The Card contained ideas obtained from an education website for ways teachers could inform students about the Easter holiday in the classroom without violating the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2 56. Mr. Williams prepared an Easter activity sheet for students, with ideas similar to 3 those found in the Easter Card, and submitted it to Principal Vidmar for approval.

57. On April 7, 2004, Principal Vidmar denied the Easter activity sheet via email, stating that "Easter and Christianity should not be part of your classroom instruction or discussions."

58. In the same email, Principal Vidmar for the first time wrongly accused Mr.
Williams of "being insensitive to our diverse religious community by insisting on focusing on
your own beliefs in the classroom."

59. Principal Vidmar provided no specific complaints or examples in the email to support her accusation.

60. On May 6, 2004, Mr. Williams provided students with a handout containing the history of the National Day of Prayer on one side of the page, and President Bush's proclamation of a Day of Prayer on the other.

61. A parent with a student in Mr. Williams' class emailed a complaint about this
6 handout to Mr. Williams and to Principal Vidmar.

62. Mr. Williams responded to the parent via email, explaining that he had included
 the document during the class lessons about George Washington and the first Continental
 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 7
 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

Congress as an example of the historical integration of prayer into the society of the founding 1 2 era.

3 63. On May 11, 2004, Principal Vidmar issued a memo to Mr. Williams stating, inter *alia*, that "[i]t is not appropriate for you to be sending home material of a religious nature to your 4 5 students and their families." The memo also states: "I . . . am, hereby, directing you to stop sending out materials of a religious nature with your students. I am directing you to provide me 6 with an 'advance' copy of materials you will be sending home at least two days prior to their 7 8 being sent out so I can make sure that the materials will not be of concern to the parents or 9 violate the separation of religion and public education." (emphasis added).

10 64. During the meeting with Principal Vidmar where Mr. Williams received the May 11, 2004 memo, Mr. Williams expressed his continued desire to follow all of the rules of the school and district, and to comply with federal and state law regarding the discussion of religion in public schools.

65. 14 On May 14, 2004, Mr. Williams informed Principal Vidmar that his students were 15 experiencing confusion over the "separation of church and state." He requested permission to 16 distribute a number of handouts to his students as part of a forty-five (45) minute lesson to 17 demonstrate the founder's beliefs about religion, and how those beliefs influenced the way the 18 United States government was formed. These documents included:

- "American Independence was Achieved Upon the Principles of Christianity" by a. John Adams:
 - "What Great Leaders Have Said About the Bible" author unknown; b.
 - Letter, "To the Officers of the First Brigade of the Third Division of the Militia of c. Massachusetts" (October 1, 1798), by John Adams,
 - d. "Religious Clauses in State Constitutions" – author unknown;
 - "John Adam's Diary," (selected entries Feb. 22, 1756 Aug. 24, 1796); e.
 - f. "George Washington's Prayer Journal," from William J. Johnson, George Washington: the Christian 24-35 (1919);

28

11

12

13

19

20

21

1	g.	"The Conversion of Quaker Isaac Potts to the Cause of Patriotism through the
2		Observation of George Washington's Prayer," from Rev. Nathanial Randolph
3		Snowden, Diary and Remembrances;
4	h.	"George Washington's Adopted Daughter Discusses Washington's Religious
5		Character," by Nelly Custis-Lewis;
6	i.	"The First Prayer in Congress," by Jacob Duche (Sept. 7, 1774); and
7	j.	"Commentaries on the Laws of England," by William Blackstone (selected
8		excerpts).
9	66.	Each of these historical documents contains religious references.
10	67.	Each of these historical documents has non-religious, educational value.
11	68.	None of these documents contains exhortations for the students to follow
12	Christianity of	r Mr. Williams' personal religious beliefs.
13	69.	Mr. Williams did not intend to distribute all of these documents to students as part
14	of the short le	sson he was preparing.
15	70.	On May 14, 2004, Principal Vidmar denied permission to distribute or discuss
16	these docume	nts.
17	71.	In accordance with Principal Vidmar's instructions, Mr. Williams did not handout
18	or discuss the	se documents.
19	72.	On May 18, 2004, Mr. Williams emailed Principal Vidmar seeking permission to
20	distribute three	ee documents as part of a short lesson on why religious language is in the
21	Declaration of	f Independence. These included excerpts from
22	a.	the Declaration of Independence,
23	b.	William Penn's "Frame of Government"; and
24	с.	Samuel Adams' "The Rights of the Colonists."
25	73.	Each of these historical documents contains religious references.
26	74.	Each of these historical documents have non-religious, historical value.
27	75.	None of these documents contains exhortations for the students to follow
28	Christianity of	r Mr. Williams' personal religious beliefs.
	SECOND AME	NDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 9 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1 76. In the May 18, 2004 email, Mr. Williams reiterated to Principal Vidmar his desire 2 for the students to understand why many of our historical documents contain religious references 3 and how the founders' religious beliefs influenced the formation of our government. Mr. Williams also expressed his feeling that everything religious was being censored from his 4 5 curriculum because of his personal beliefs. Mr. Williams also repeated his desire to follow all of the rules of the school and district, and to comply with federal and state law regarding the 6 7 discussion of religion in public schools.

8 77. In a memo dated May 19, 2004, Principal Vidmar denied Mr. Williams' request to 9 distribute the three documents, stating, *inter alia*, that "[t]he materials you submitted yesterday 10 are once again of religious nature and are not appropriate to be used with your fifth grade 11 students because the district honors separation of church and state in school."

12 78. In the May 19, 2004 memo, Principal Vidmar also instructed Mr. Williams to13 submit his weekly lesson plans to her for the remainder of the school year.

14 79. On May 21, 2004, Principal Vidmar called for a meeting with Mr. Williams to be
15 held on May 27, 2004.

16 80. On May 27, 2004, Principal Vidmar issued a memo ordering him, *inter alia*, not 17 to emphasize his religion in the classroom and to "cease seeking Christian materials to present as 18 supplementary materials and resources" for his students." The memo also states: "If any future 19 incidents occur, you will be subject to formal discipline up to and including dismissal."

81. On June 22, 2004, Mr. Williams responded to the May 27, 2004 memo by pointing out that he had never actually distributed to students any of the documents referenced in the memo except for the National Day of Prayer handout. Mr. Williams also repeated his desire to follow all of the rules of the school and district, and to comply with federal and state law regarding the discussion of religion in public schools.

82. On September 29, 2004, at Mr. Williams' "Goals conference," Principal Vidmar
issued a packet of materials to Mr. Williams and directed that Mr. William's future social studies
handouts must only come from that packet of materials.

28

20

21

22

23

83. At that same "Goals conference," Principal Vidmar and District employee Pat McCreary made it clear that Mr. Williams could be subject to dismissal if he distributed handouts other than those contained in the packet of materials Mr. Williams had just received.

84. Since approximately May 14, 2004, Principal Vidmar has systematically rejected Mr. Williams' proposed lesson plans and proposed supplemental handouts referenced herein that contain information about the role religion played in the founding of our country.

85. Principal Vidmar rejected the proposed lesson plans and proposed supplemental
handouts referenced herein because of their religious content.

9 86. Mr. Williams has not distributed any document or taught any lesson rejected by
10 Principal Vidmar.

11 87. Other teachers at the School who have been the subject of parental complaints
12 regarding their instructional speech are not required to submit all of their lesson plans and
13 supplemental handouts to Principal Vidmar in advance.

14 88. Other teachers at the School who have been the subject of parental complaints
15 regarding their instructional speech are not required to use supplemental handouts only from a
16 packet issued by Principal Vidmar.

17 89. Less than five percent of all of Mr. Williams' supplemental handouts actually18 distributed throughout the school year contain references to religion.

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

90. Mr. Williams does not emphasize religion in his classroom.

91. Other than the religious references that occasionally occur in the textbook readings, student discussions, his prepared lesson plans, and supplemental handouts during the school year, Mr. Williams does not distribute religious material to students.

92. Other than religious references that occasionally occur in the textbook readings,
student questions, his prepared lesson plans, and supplemental handouts during the school year,
Mr. Williams does not discuss religion with students when acting in his capacity as a public
school teacher.

27 93. Mr. Williams does not discuss his personal religious beliefs with students when
28 acting in his capacity as a public school teacher.

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 11 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1 94. Defendants have a policy, practice, and/or custom of prohibiting teachers from 2 engaging in curriculum-related instructional speech about Christianity if the teacher adheres to 3 orthodox Christian beliefs, even if the teacher is not attempting to influence the students' 4 religious beliefs.

5 95. This policy, practice, and/or custom does not apply to similarly situated teachers who do not adhere to orthodox Christian beliefs. 6

7 96. Defendants have a policy, practice, and/or custom of prohibiting teachers from 8 distributing to students curriculum-related documents containing Christian speech if the teacher 9 adheres to orthodox Christian beliefs, even if the teacher is not attempting to influence the 10 students' religious beliefs.

11 97. This policy, practice, and/or custom does not apply to similarly situated teachers who do not adhere to orthodox Christian beliefs. 12

13 **California State Law**

98. California Education Code § 51511 states:

Nothing in this code shall be construed to prevent, or exclude from the public schools, references to religion or references to or the use of religious literature, dance, music, theatre, and visual arts or other things having a religious significance when such references or uses do not constitute instruction in religious principles or aid to any religious sect, church, creed, or sectarian purpose and when such references or uses are incidental to or illustrative of matters properly included in the course of study.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

99. On its face, California Educational Code § 51511 permits, inter alia, "religious

literature" and "other things having a religious significance" as part of the school curriculum.

California's History-Social Science Content Standards

100. The California Department of Education has promulgated content standards for

fifth grade curriculum taught in California public schools, including the District's schools.

101. The District's schools are required to educate fifth grade students according to the State's content standards.

102. The California Department of Education has published the content standards 2 governing history and the social sciences as part of a book entitled "History-Social Science Framework for California Public Schools Kindergarten through Grade Twelve" ("Framework").

103. In that book, the History-Social Science Content Standards for Grade Five are entitled "United States History and Geography: Making a New Nation." A true and correct copy of these standards (including the cover pages of the Framework) is attached as Exhibit A.

104. The introduction to the History-Social Science Content Standards for Grade Five state: "This course focuses on one of the most remarkable stories in history: the creation of a new nation . . . founded on the Judeo-Christian heritage. . . ." Ex. A at 64.

10

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

105. This nation was founded on the Judeo-Christian heritage.

106. Standard 5.2.2 states "Explain . . . the reasons Europeans chose to explore and colonize the world (e.g., the Spanish Reconquista, the Protestant Reformation, the Counter 12 13 Reformation)." Id. at 71.

14 107. Standard 5.4 requires that the "Student understand the political, religious, social, 15 and economic institutions that evolved in the colonial area." Id. at 72.

16 108. Standard 5.4.2 states: "Identify the major individuals and groups responsible for 17 the founding of the various colonies and the reasons for their founding (e.g., . . . William Penn, 18 Pennsylvania. . . .)." Id.

19 109. Standard 5.4.3 states: "Describe the religious aspects of the earliest colonies (e.g., 20 Puritanism in Massachusetts, Anglicanism in Virginia, Catholicism in Maryland, Quakerism in 21 Pennsylvania)." Id.

22 110. Standard 5.4.4 states: "Identify the significance and leaders of the First Great 23 Awakening, which marked a shift in religious ideas, practices, and allegiances in the colonial 24 period, the growth of religious toleration, and free exercise of religions." Id.

25 111. Standard 5.5.1 states: "Understand how political, religious, and economic ideas 26 and interests brought about the Revolution. . . ." Id.

27 112. Standard 5.7.6 states: "Know the songs that express American ideals (e.g., 28 'America the Beautiful,' 'The Star Spangled Banner')."

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 13 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1	113.	The Framework contains a list of "analysis skills" in conjunction with the content
2	standards. Ex	x. A at 75.
3	114.	The analysis skills under "Research, Evidence, and Point of View" require that:
4		 Students differentiate between primary and secondary sources. Students pose relevant questions about events they encounter in historical
5		documents, eyewitness accounts, oral histories, letters, diaries, artifacts, photographs, maps, artworks, and architectures.
6		 Students distinguish fact from fiction by comparing documentary sources on historical figures and events with fictionalized characters and events.
7 8	Id.	on instorical rigares and events with neuonalized characters and events.
9	115.	On their face, the California History-Social Science Content Standards require,
10	<i>inter alia</i> , tea	ching about religion's influence on society and the founding of this country.
11	116.	Appendix C of the Framework discusses teaching about religion in public schools.
12	<i>Id.</i> at 203.	
13	117.	Appendix F of the Framework discusses the importance of and encourages the use
14	of primary source documents. <i>Id.</i> at 214.	
15	Cupertino U	nion School District Content Standards
16	118.	The District has promulgated content standards for fifth grade curriculum taught
17	in District sch	iools.
18	119.	Standard 4 under "United States History and Geography: Making a New Nation"
10	requires that:	"Students understand the political, religious, social, and economic institutions that
20	evolved in the	e colonial era."
20	120.	On their face, the District's content standards require, inter alia, that students
22	receive object	tive instruction about religion.
23		VI.
24		STATEMENTS OF LAW
24	121.	Each and all of the acts alleged herein were done by Defendants under the color
26	and pretense	of state law, statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs, usages, and policies of
20	Cupertino Un	ion School District, Santa Clara County, and the State of California.
28		
	SECOND AME	NDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 14 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1	122. Teachers do not shed their constitutional rights at the schoolhouse gate. <i>Tinker v</i> .
2	Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist., 393 U.S. 503, 506 (1969).
3	123. Teachers have academic freedom rights within the reasonable limits of the state's
4	education content standards.
5	124. Unless and until the enforcement of the Defendants' religiously discriminatory
6	policy and/or practice is enjoined, the Plaintiff will suffer and continue to suffer irreparable harm
7	to his federal constitutional rights.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 15 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

VII.

125. The allegations contained in all preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

126. The Equal Protection Clause requires that the government treat similarly-situated persons equally.

127. The Defendants allowed similarly-situated teachers to include religious expression in their lessons and supplemental handouts.

128. The Defendants do not require similarly-situated teachers to submit their lesson plans and supplemental handouts in advance.

129. The Defendants do not limit similarly-situated teachers' choices of supplemental handouts as they have limited Mr. Williams' choices.

130. The Defendants have treated Mr. Williams differently based on the exercise of his fundamental right to free speech and because he adheres to orthodox Christian beliefs.

131. Defendants have no compelling interest to justify their unequal treatment of Mr.Williams.

132. The Defendants' policy, practice, and/or custom therefore violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the prayer for relief.

VIII.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

A. That this Court preserve the pre-litigation status quo by preliminarily enjoining the Defendants from ceasing their policy, practice, and/or custom of prohibiting teachers adhering to orthodox Christian beliefs from distributing curriculum-related supplemental handouts containing Christian speech;

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 16

B. That this Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin the Defendants from enforcing
 their policy, practice, and/or custom of suppressing the use by teachers adhering to orthodox
 Christian beliefs of lessons and curriculum-related supplements on the basis that they contain
 Christian religious content or references;

C. That this Court enter declaratory judgment stating that the Defendants' policy, practice, or custom is facially unconstitutional and violates the Plaintiff's rights as guaranteed under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;

B. If the policy, practice, or custom is not declared facially unconstitutional, that this
Court enter a declaratory judgment stating that the policy, practice, or custom is unconstitutional
as applied to the Plaintiff and violates the Plaintiff's rights guaranteed under the Fourteenth
Amendment to the United States Constitution;

E. That this Court award Plaintiff his costs and expenses of this action, including
reasonable attorneys' fees, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and other applicable law;

F. That this Court grant such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable, just,and proper;

G. That this Court adjudge, decree and declare the rights and other legal relations of the
parties to the subject matter here in controversy, in order that such declarations shall have the
force and effect of final judgment; and

H. That this Court retain jurisdiction of this matter as necessary to enforce the Court's orders.

5

6

1	Respectfully submitted on this, the 13th day of May, 2005,
2	Attorney for Plaintiff,
3	
4	By: /s/Kevin H. Theriot
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS
7	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that as of this date, other than the
8	named parties, there is no such interest to report.
9	Respectfully submitted on this, 13th day of May, 2005.
10	
11	By: /s/Kevin H. Theriot
12	//
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 18 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT

1	VERIFICATION
2	I, Stephen J. Williams, a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of
3	California, have read the foregoing Second Amended Verified Complaint for Declaratory and
4	Injunctive Relief and, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury
5	under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct.
6 7	Dated this 13th day of May, 2005
8	
9	By: <u>/s/Stephen J. Williams</u>
10	
11	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION
12	Pursuant to the rules and procedures governing electronic filing, I, the undersigned
13	attorney for Plaintiff Stephen J. Williams, attest that the content of this document is acceptable to
14	signatory Stephen J. Williams and that I have on file a holograph signature for Mr. Williams'
15	signature indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.
16	Dated this 13th day of May, 2005
17	By: /s/Kevin H. Theriot
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 19 CASE NO. 5:04-CV-4946 JW PVT