1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robert A. Mittelstaedt #60359 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com Craig E. Stewart #129530 cestewart@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335 dkiernan@jonesday.com Michael Scott #255288 michaelscott@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	
14	THE APPLE iPOD iTUNES ANTI-TRUST Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL) LITIGATION.
15	[CLASS ACTION]
16	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
17	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
18	
19	
20	I. INTRODUCTION
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5, Apple supports Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File
22	Under Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Motion Regarding Schedule for Class Certification and
23	Depositions and Portions of the Declaration of Alexandra S. Bernay In Support Thereof (Dkt.
24 25	434, "Administrative Motion"), specifically the portions of the Motion Regarding Schedule (Dkt.
23 26	432) and Bernay Declaration (Dkt. 433) that refer to information that Apple designated as
20 27	"Confidential—Attorneys Eyes Only" under the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding
27	Confidential Information ("Protective Order") entered June 13, 2009 (Dkt. 112).
_0	Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs'1Amended Administrative Motion to SealSFI-657665v2C 05-00037 JW

1 Apple files this response and the accompanying Declaration of Mark Buckley in Support of 2 Apple Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal ("Buckley 3 Declaration" or "Buckley Decl.") in support of a narrowly tailored order authorizing sealing those 4 documents, on the grounds that there is good cause to protect the confidentiality of that 5 information. The proposed sealing order is based on the Protective Order in this action and proof 6 that particularized injury to defendant will result if the sensitive information is publicly released. 7 The Court has previously sealed similar information in this case. See Dkt. 184. 8 Apple does not assert that exhibits 7 or 9 should be filed under seal.

9

II. STANDARD

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), this Court has broad discretion to permit
sealing of court documents to protect "a trade secret or other confidential research, development,
or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Based on this authority, the Ninth Circuit has
"carved out an exception to the presumption of access to judicial records for a sealed discovery
document [attached] to a non-dispositive motion." *Navarro v. Eskanos & Adler*, No. C-06 02231
WHA (EDL), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24864, at *6 (N.D. Cal. March 22, 2007) (citing *Kamakana v. Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006)).

- 17 **III.**
- 18

A. There is Good Cause to Support Filing under Seal.

ARGUMENT

1.

The Buckley Declaration establishes good cause to permit filing under seal. It establishes
that the redacted portions of Plaintiffs' Motion Regarding Schedule and the Bernay Declaration
contain highly confidential and sensitive information that must be kept confidential in order to
avoid causing substantial harm to Apple. *See* Buckley Decl., ¶ 2-3.

The redacted information specifically relates to data regarding transactions between Apple
and iPod resellers. *See* Buckley Decl., ¶ 2.

Regarding Transactions with iPod Resellers.

25

26 27

The redacted portions of Plaintiffs' Motion Regarding Schedule and the Bernay

The Redacted Information Includes Descriptions of Sensitive Data

28 Declaration in support thereof contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business

1	information, including descriptions of data regarding transactions between Apple and iPod
2	resellers. See Buckley Decl., ¶ 2.
3	The redacted information is highly confidential and should not be publicly disclosed. See
4	Buckley Decl., ¶ 3. The information was produced to Plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order.
5	Id. The information produced to Plaintiffs is non-public information that should remain
6	confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of the redacted information
7	contained in these documents. The public disclosure of information regarding Apple's business
8	strategies would put Apple at a business disadvantage. Id.
9	IV. CONCLUSION
10	Defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to
11	File Under Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Motion
12	Regarding Schedule for Class Certification and Depositions and Portions of the Declaration of
13	Alexandra S. Bernay In Support Thereof.
14	Detect January 11, 2011
15	Dated: January 11, 2011 Jones Day
16	Bu: /s/Michael Scott
17	By: <u>/s/Michael Scott</u> Michael Scott
18	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
19	APPLE INC.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	SFI-657665v2 - 3 - Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' C 05-00037 JW