Robert A. Mittelstacdt (State Bar No. 060359)   ramittelstacdt@jonesday.com   Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530)   cestewart@jonesday.com   David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335)   dkiernam@jonesday.com   Amir Q. Amiri (State Bar No. 271224)   aamiri@jonesday.com   JONES DAY   555 California Street, 26th Floor   San Francisco, CA. 94104   Telephone: (415) 626-3939   Facsimile: (415) 875-5700   Attorneys for Defendant   APPLE INC.   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   OAKLAND DIVISION   THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-17   TRUST LITIGATION   I.cad Case No. C-05-0037-YGR   [CLASS ACTION]   DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL   RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFES'   ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL   Supplemental Response to PIs' Motion To Seal   C-05-40037-YGR   CC-05-40037-YGR   CRASS ACTION   CRASS A				
Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530)	1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 060359)		
Cestewart@jonesday.com	2			
dkicman@jonesday.com	3	cestewart@jonesday.com		
Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	4	dkiernan@jonesday.com Amir Q. Amiri (State Bar No. 271224) aamiri@jonesday.com JONES DAY		
555 California Street, 26th Floor   San Francisco, CA 94104   Telephone: (415) 626-3939   Facsimile: (415) 875-5700     Attorneys for Defendant   APPLE INC.	5			
San Francisco, CA 94104   Telephone: (415) 626-3939   Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	6			
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700  Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  OAKLAND DIVISION  THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	7	San Francisco, CA 94104		
APPLE INC.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  OAKLAND DIVISION  THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	8			
10 11 12 13 13 14 15 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	9			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  OAKLAND DIVISION  THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	10	APPLE INC.		
OAKLAND DIVISION  THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI- TRUST LITIGATION  Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	13	OAKLAND DIVISION		
TRUST LITIGATION  [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	14			
[CLASS ACTION]  [CLASS ACTION]  DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	15		Lead Case No. C-05-0037-YGR	
DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	16	TRUST LITIGATION	[CLASS ACTION]	
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	17			
SEAL  SEAL  SEAL  SEAL  SEAL  SEAL  SEAL  Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	18			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal			SEAL	
23 24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
24 25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
25 26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
26 27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
27 28 Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal				
	28		Supplemental Response to Pls' Motion To Seal	

## I. INTRODUCTION

On December 21, 2013, Apple Inc. filed a response (ECF No. 745) to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Opinion Testimony of Kevin M. Murphy and Robert H. Topel and Exhibits 1-10 to the Sweeney Declaration in support thereof (ECF No. 737, "Administrative Motion"). In that response, Apple supported Plaintiffs' request to file under seal portions of Plaintiffs' *Daubert* motion and Exhibits 1-10 in their entirety.

Apple filed portions of some of the same exhibits under seal in support of its Motion For Summary Judgment and Motion To Exclude Expert Testimony of Roger G. Noll. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 740-7, 740-9, 740-13, 740-19. For consistency, Apple hereby requests the Court to enter a narrower order that authorizes Plaintiffs to file portions of the *Daubert* motion and portions of Exhibits 1-6 and 9-10 under seal. Apple continues to request that the Court seal Exhibit 7 in its entirety. Apple has attached hereto the *Daubert* motion and Exhibits 1-6 and 9-10 with the portions it requests to be sealed redacted and has filed an Amended Proposed Order.

## II. DISCUSSION

As Apple demonstrated in its Administrative Motion to Seal (ECF No. 740) and Response To Plaintiffs Administrative Motion To Seal (ECF No 745), the portions of the *Daubert* Motion and Exhibits 1-6 and 9-10 Apple seeks to seal refers to information that Apple designated as "Confidential—Attorneys Eyes Only" under the Protective Order (ECF No. 112) and meets both the "good cause" and "compelling reasons" standards for sealing documents. *See* ECF No. 745. The information reflects highly confidential information about Apple's pricing strategy, iPod sales and pricing, reseller pricing programs, and Apple's business decisions and strategy, the disclosure of which would harm Apple. *See* ECF No. 740-1 at Exs. 3 & 4 and ECF No. 746 at Exs. 1 & 2.

Apple continues to request that the Court seal Exhibit 7 in its entirety. This exhibit contains confidential information relating to Apple's FairPlay technology. This technology is a highly protected trade secret, and Apple uses physical and electronic controls to protect it.

Kiernan Decl. in Support of Apple's Admin. Motion., ECF No. 742-1 at Ex. 1, ¶ 3. The efficacy

1	of FairPlay is dependent on the confidentiality of	information regarding its operation and		
2	maintenance. <i>Id.</i> Exhibit 7 contains information relating to specific components of Apple's			
3	3 FairPlay technology as it is implemented on vario	FairPlay technology as it is implemented on various models of Apple's iPod. Harm to Apple,		
4	4 including potential use of the information by hack	including potential use of the information by hackers attempting to circumvent FairPlay, would		
5	5 result from the public disclosure of the information	result from the public disclosure of the information. <i>Id.</i> The Court previously sealed information		
6	relating to FairPlay in this litigation. See Court Orders, ECF Nos. 340, 524 and 526.			
7	7 Apple does not request the Court seal the	Apple does not request the Court seal the excerpts to the deposition of Plaintiffs' Expert		
8	8 Roger G. Noll, submitted as Exhibit 8 to Plaintiffs	Roger G. Noll, submitted as Exhibit 8 to Plaintiffs' <i>Daubert</i> motion.		
9	9 IV. CONCLUSION			
10	For the foregoing reasons, Apple respectfully requests that this Court authorize Plaintiffs			
11	to file under seal portions of Plaintiffs' Daubert Motion, Exhibit 7 to the Sweeney Declaration,			
12	and portions of Exhibits 1-6 and 9-10 to the Sweeney Declaration.			
13	Dated: December 30, 2013	Respectfully submitted,		
14	14 J	ones Day		
15	15			
16	16 E	By: _/s/ Amir Amiri		
17	17	Amir Amiri		
18		Counsel for Defendant APPLE INC.		
19	19	HILL IIVC.		
20	20			
21	21 <sub>847950v2</sub>			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	27			