Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 60359) ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com	
Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530) cestewart@jonesday.com	
David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335) dkiernan@jonesday.com Amir Q. Amiri (State Bar No. 271224) aamiri@jonesday.com	
San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939	
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	
Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
OAKLAND DIVISION	
THE APPLE iPOD iTUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION. Case No. C 05-00037 YGR	
[CLASS ACTION]	
DECLARATION OF AMIR Q. AMIRI IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S	
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'	
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL	
1. I am an associate in the law firm of Jones Day, located at 555 California Street,	
26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104. I submit this declaration in support of Apple's Response	
to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to for leave to file under Seal Memorandum of Law in	
Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and to Exclude Expert Testimony of	
Roger G. Noll; Plaintiffs' Responsive Separate Statement in support thereof; and Exhibits 1-4, 9-	
17, 20-29, 31-46, 48-54, 56, and 58-62 to the Declaration of Bonny E. Sweeney in support thereof	
(ECF No. 751). The facts stated in this declaration are true and based upon my own personal	
knowledge, and if called to testify to them, I would competently do so.	
 The relief requested in Apple's response in support of Plaintiffs' Administrative	

Motion and the proposed order provided to the Court is necessary and narrowly tailored to protect Apple's confidential business information. Portions of Plaintiffs' Opposition, the Separate Statement, and certain portions of and exhibits to the Sweeney Declaration contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including confidential details of Apple's FairPlay digital rights management (DRM) technology and updates to that technology; inquiries Apple received from customers that reflect personal information of the customers and confidential and proprietary information regarding how Apple responds to such inquiries; iPod and iTunes Store sales and market research; confidential and sensitive contract terms and communications with record labels and other Apple business partners; decisions by Apple employees regarding Apple's business strategy; and confidential pricing policies and transaction data relating to the sale of Apple products. Apple disclosed this information pursuant to the Protective Order in this case, keeps this information highly confidential, and does not disclose it to the public. As demonstrated in the attached declarations, the disclosure of this information would harm Apple.

- 3. Motions to seal similar information have been granted previously in this case. *See, e.g.*, ECF Nos. 184, 247, 291, 336, 340, 353, 422, 527.
- 4. Indeed, many of the exhibits to the Sweeney Declaration were previously submitted under seal, which sealing was granted, in connection with Plaintiffs' Opposition to Apple's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment. For the Court's convenience, the table below cross-references the exhibit numbers from Plaintiffs' previous filing with the exhibit numbers to the Sweeney Declaration filed in support of Plaintiffs' current opposition brief:

Current Exhibit Number	Previous Exhibit Number
(See ECF No. 750-20)	(See ECF No. 515)
5	1
6	2
7	3
8	4
9	5 (excerpts)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Current Exhibit Number	Previous Exhibit Number
(See ECF No. 750-20)	(See ECF No. 515)
10	7 (excerpts)
11	8 (excerpts)
13	9
14	10
15	40
17	11
19	13
20	20
21	21
23	22
25	25
26	26
27	55
28	23
36	28
37	32
38	33
39	34
40	35
42	38
43	41
44	42
45	43
46	44

5. Additionally, other exhibits are substantially similar to those previously submitted

and sealed by the Court. For example, Dr. David Martin's opening and rebuttal report, filed as Exhibits 4 and 33, respectively, to the Sweeney Declaration are substantially similar and concern the same subject matter as what was previously filed as the Declaration of Dr. David Martin in Opposition to Apple's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment. *See* ECF No. 514. This declaration was sealed by the Court. *See* ECF No. 527. Further the compendium of customer inquiries and Apple's responses thereto, filed as Exhibit 35 to the Sweeney Declaration, are similar in substance to what were previously filed as Exhibits 50-52 in opposition to Apple's Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment. *See* ECF No. 515. These previously-filed exhibits were also sealed by the Court. *See* ECF No. 527. As demonstrated in the attached declarations, the disclosure of this information would harm Apple.

- 6. Further, I have personally reviewed the expert reports and expert and Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) depositions that are attached as Exhibits 1-4, 9-11, 14, 22, 33, 48, 50-53, 54 and 62. Portions of each contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including confidential details of Apple's DRM technology and updates to that technology; inquiries Apple received from customers that reflect personal information of the customers and confidential and proprietary information regarding how Apple responds to such inquiries; iPod and iTunes Store sales and market research; and/or confidential and sensitive contract terms and communications with record labels and other Apple business partners; decisions by Apple employees regarding Apple's business strategy and confidential pricing strategies and transaction data relating to the sale of Apple products, as described in the attached declarations. Apple disclosed this information pursuant to the Protective Order in this case, keeps this information highly confidential, and does not disclose it to the public. As demonstrated in the attached declarations, the disclosure of this information would harm Apple. Accordingly, Apple has provided redactions to the expert reports and deposition excerpts consistent with a narrow sealing order to preserve Apple's confidentiality.
- 7. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Eddy Cue filed January 22, 2010, ECF No. 318.
 - 8. Attached as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Jeffrey

1	Robbin filed January 22, 2010, ECF No. 328.
2	9. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Eddy Cue
3	filed March 29, 2010, ECF No. 350.
4	10. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Eddy Cue
5	filed December 23, 2010, ECF No. 409.
6	11. Attached as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Mark
7	Buckley filed January 13, 2011, ECF No. 454.
8	12. Attached as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Mark
9	Buckley filed January 24, 2011, ECF No. 494.
10	Executed this 17th day of January, 2014 in San Francisco, California.
11	
12	_/s/Amir Q. Amiri_
13	Amir Q. Amiri
14	
15	SFI-849330v1
16	511-0-7/25001
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document318 Filed01/22/10 Page1 of 4

	•	
1	Robert A. Mittelstandt #60359 ramittelstandt@jonesday.com	
2	Craig E. Stewart #129530	
3		
4	I MICHAEL I. SCUL WZSSZAZ	
5		
6	L contribution Ctr 24104	
7	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	
8	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
9	APPLB INC.	
10	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15	LINGATION.	C 06-04457 JW (HRL)
16		DECLARATION OF EDDY CUE IN
17		SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
18		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
19		
20		
21		
22	I, Eddy Cue, declare as follows:	
23	1. I am Vice President, Internet Services at Apple Inc ("Apple"). I have held this	
24	position since August 2008. I have had responsibility for the iTunes Store since 2003. I make	
25	this declaration in support of Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File	
26	Under Seal (Doc. 304). The facts stated in this declaration are true and based upon my own	
27	personal knowledge, and if called to testify to the	em, I would competently do so.
28		

Decl. ISO Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs'
Administrative Motion to Seal
C 05 00037 JW (HRL), C 06-04457 JW (HRL)

5

9

17

18

21 22

24

27

28

26

Exhibits 23 (Request For Admission Nos. 3-4), 24 and 35 to the Declaration of 2 Paula M. Roach in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Further Response from Defendant Apple Inc. ("Roach Declaration") include highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including sensitive contract terms and communications between Apple and record labels regarding iTunes Store music sales.

- 3. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. Apple's contracts with record labels are subject to confidentiality provisions and have not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Doc. 112). Similarly, Apple's communications with the record labels are kept highly confidential and have not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. The information produced to plaintiffs is non-public information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of the redacted highly confidential information contained in these documents.
- Pages 8-9 and 16-19 of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (Doc. 306), page 4 of the 4. Roach Declaration, and Exhibits 22 (Interrogatory Nos. 1-5), 24, and 30 to the Roach Declaration contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including information regarding Apple Inc.'s payment of royalties and/or licensing fees required to manufacture and/or sell its products.
- 5. Exhibits 22 (Interrogatory Nos. 7-8) and 23 (Request For Admission Nos. 1-2, 5-6) to the Roach Declaration include highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including information regarding iPod and iTunes sales in the United States, iPod manufacturing costs, and reseller pricing and advertising programs.
- Apple's practices are that the information described in paragraphs 4 and 5 above is 6. to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. The information has not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. The information produced to plaintiffs is non-public information from a public company that should remain

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document318 Filed01/22/10 Page3 of 4

confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of the redacted information 1 contained in these documents. The public disclosure of iPod or iTunes sales figures, iPod 2 manufacturing costs, features of Apple's reseller pricing and advertising programs, or information 3 regarding Apple's payment of royalties and/or licensing fees would put Apple at a significant 4 business disadvantage. 5 6 7. Exhibit 22 (Interrogatory No. 6) to the Roach Declaration includes highly 7 confidential and commercially sensitive research, including methodology and possible limitations. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must 8 9

- not be publicly disclosed. The information has not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. The information produced to plaintiffs is non-public information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of the redacted information contained in this document.
- Page 2 of the Roach Declaration and Exhibits 11, 30, and 35 attached thereto 8. contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including descriptions of software updates. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. This information has not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. The information produced to plaintiffs is non-public information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the disclosure of the highly confidential information regarding software updates to the public.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 22 day of January, 2010 in New York, New York.

/s/ Eddy Cue **Eddy Cue**

I, as filer, attest that Eddy Cue has concurred in the filing of this document pursuant to General Order No. 45.

28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document318 Filed01/22/10 Page4 of 4

Exhibit 2

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document328 Filed02/22/10 Page1 of 2

1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt #60359 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com	
2	Craig E. Stewart #129530	
3	cestewart@ionesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335 diciernan@ionesday.com	
4	dkiernan@jonesday.com Michael T. Scott #255282 michaelscott@jonesday.com	
5	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor	
6	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939	
7	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	
8	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
9	THE POLICE.	
10	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15		C 06-04457 JW (HRL)
16		DECLARATION OF JEFFREY
17	4	ROBBIN IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
18		SEAL
19		
20	I, Jeffrey Robbin, declare as follows:	
21	1. I am the Vice President of iTunes	s and Apple TV Engineering at Apple. I make
22	this declaration in support of Apple's Administrative Motion To File Under Seal. The facts stated	
23	in this declaration are true and based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called to testify to	
24	them, I would competently do so.	
25	2. Apple's Motion to Dismiss or, A	Iternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment, and
26	the Declaration of Jeffrey Robbin in support thereof, contain highly confidential and	
27	commercially sensitive business information, including confidential details of Apple's FairPlay	
28	digital rights management (DRM) technology an	d updates to that technology, confidential
		Decl. ISO Apple's Administrative Motion to Seal

keeps this information highly confidential and does not publicly disclose it.

3. Apple's FairPlay technology is a highly protected trade secret, and Apple uses physical and electronic controls to protect it. The efficacy of FairPlay is dependent on the confidentiality of information regarding its operation and maintenance. Only a few Apple employees have access to and work on FairPlay, and they work in restricted areas. Information regarding FairPlay is non-public, proprietary information from a public company that should

remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of the information.

contract terms, and confidential communications between Apple and record labels regarding the

distribution of music through the iTunes Store and Apple's FairPlay DRM technology. Apple

4. Apple's contracts with record labels, which include information regarding the manner in which FairPlay protects music sold through the iTunes Store, are subject to confidentiality provisions and have not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Doc. 112). Similarly, Apple's communications with the record labels regarding FairPlay's operation and the distribution of music through Apple's iTunes Store are kept highly confidential and have not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. This information is non-public information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of this highly confidential information. For example, the disclosure of confidential contract terms and communications regarding those terms would adversely impact Apple's bargaining position in future dealings with current and potential business partners.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 11 day of February, 2010 in Cupertino, California.

Seffrey Robbin

SFI-629192v4

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document350 Filed03/29/10 Page1 of 3

	8	
;	Robert A. Mittelstredt #60359	
2	2 Craig E. Stewart #129530 cestewart@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335 dkiernan@jonesday.com Michael T. Scott #255282	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700	3
8	I SANGUADO Y O AUG LICILIZADA	
9	APPLEINC.	
10	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
11	B*	
12	11	E DIVISION
13		
14	THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15	Lindation.	C 06-04457 JW (HRL)
16		DECLARATION OF EDDY CUE IN
17		RESPONSE TO PLAINTIPPS
18		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
19		
20		
21		
22	I, Eddy Cue, declare as follows:	
23	1. I am Vice President, Internet Services at Apple Inc ("Apple"). I have held this	
24	position since August 2008. I have had responsibility for the iTunes Store since 2003. I make	
25	this declaration in support of Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File	
26	Under Seal (Doc. 343). The facts stated in this declaration are true and based upon my own	
27	personal knowledge, and if called to testify to the	am, I would competently do so.
28		

Decl. ISO Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs'
Administrative Motion to Seal
C 05 00037 JW (HRL), C 06-04457 JW (HRL)

- 2. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition To Apple's Motion To Dismiss Or, Alternatively, For Summary Judgment, and the Roach and Metrick Declarations in support thereof, include highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including sensitive terms of Apple's agreements with the record labels and Hewlett-Packard ("HP") and information regarding the operation of Apple's FairPlay technology.
- 3. Apple's agreements with record labels and HP (exhibits 2, 3, and 7 to the Merrick declaration) are subject to confidentiality provisions and have not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Doc. 112). This information is non-public information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of this highly confidential information. For example, the disclosure of confidential contract terms and communications regarding those terms would adversely impact Apple's bargaining position in future dealings with current and potential business partners.
- 4. Exhibits 2 and 3 also contain information regarding Apple's FairPlay technology. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. Apple's FairPlay technology is a highly protected trade secret, and Apple uses physical and electronic controls to protect it. The afficacy of FairPlay is dependent on the confidentiality of information regarding its operation and maintenance. Information regarding FairPlay is non-public, proprietary information from a public company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple, including potential use of the information by backers attempting to circumvent FairPlay, would result from the public disclosure of the information.
- 5. Exhibits 4 and 5 are internal Apple e-mails containing highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including discussions regarding potential public comments regarding RealNetworks' Harmony technology and updates to FairPlay to stop a hack. This information is non-public information that has been kept highly confidential and has not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure of this information.

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document350 Filed03/29/10 Page3 of 3

	l e
1	6. Exhibit 9 is an internal Apple email regarding updates to iTunes and FairPlay,
2	which is kept highly confidential and has not been disclosed outside of Apple except to plaintiffs
3	pursuant to the Protective Order. As discussed above, disclosure of the details of FairPlay would
4	cause harm to Apple.
5	Executed this 29 day of March, 2010 in Cupertino, California.
6	Eddy Cre
7	Eddy Čue
8	I, as filer, attest that Eddy Cue has concurred in the filing of this document pursuant to
9	General Order No. 45.
10	h/ David Vienne
11	/s/ David Kiessen David Kiessen
12	\$F1-637130v1
13	
14	
15	•
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Dorf 190 Perhadent's Danson as Obstantilla

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document409 Filed12/23/10 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Robert A. Mittelstandt #60359 ramittelstandt@jonesday.com Craig E. Stewart #129530 cestewart@jonesday.com David C. Klernan #215335 dkieman@jonesday.com Michael T. Scott #255282 michaelscott@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
9		
10	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTI	UCT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
13		
14	THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15		[CLASS ACTION]
16		DECLARATION OF EDDY CUE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S
17 18		RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED ADMINISTRATIVE
19		MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
20		Judge: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd Date: January 18, 2011
21		Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 2-5th Ploor
22		
23		•
24		
25	I, Eddy Cue, declare as follows:	
26	1. I am Vice President, Internet Ser	vices at Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I have held this
27	position since August 2008. I have had respons	
28	2. I submit this declaration in suppo	ort of Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs'
		Decl. ISO Department's Response to Plaintiffs'

Amended Administrative Motion to Seal C 05 00037 JW (HRL)

 Amended Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Apple Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order Preventing Deposition of Steve Jobs, Portions of the Bernay Declaration and Exhibits 1-4 and 6-11 Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(b) and (c) ("Administrative Motion"). The facts stated in this declaration are true and based upon my own personal knowledge, and if called to testify to them, I would competently do so.

- 3. The relief requested in the Administrative Motion is necessary and narrowly tailored to protect Apple's highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information. The redacted portions of the Plaintiffs' Opposition (Dkt. 404) and the Bernay Declaration (Dkt. 405) contain highly confidential and sensitive information that must be kept confidential in order to avoid causing substantial harm to Apple. The redactions specifically relate to (1) sensitive contract terms and communications with record labels; (2) updates to Apple's FairPlay digital rights management technology; and (3) business decisions and strategy at Apple.
- 4. Pages 4 and 10-11 of Plaintiffs' Opposition (Dkt. 404) and Exhibits 1 and 6-7 to the Bernay Declaration (Dkt. 405) contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including information regarding sensitive contract terms and communications with record labels.
- 5. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. Apple's contracts with record labels are subject to confidentiality provisions and were produced to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Dkt. 112). Similarly, Apple's communications with the record labels contain highly confidential, commercially sensitive business information and were produced plaintiffs pursuant to the Protective Order. The public disclosure of this highly confidential information would cause substantial harm to Apple.
- 6. Pages 4-8 of Plaintiffs' Opposition (Dkt. 404) and Page 1 and Exhibits 2, 4, 5, 6,7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 to the Bernay Declaration (Dkt. 405) contain highly confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including information regarding updates to Apple's PairPlay DRM technology.

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document409 Filed12/23/10 Page3 of 3

The second	
	 Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and
	must not be publicly disclosed. FairPlay technology is a highly protected trade secret, and Apple
-	uses physical and electronic controls to protect it. The efficacy of FairPlay is dependent on the
	confidentiality of information regarding its operation and maintenance. Only a few Apple
	employees have access to and work on FairPlay technology, and they work in a restricted area at
	Apple's headquarters. The public disclosure of this highly confidential information would cause
-	substantial harm to Apple.
-	8. Pages i, 1, 3, 6-9, and 11-12 of Plaintiffs' Opposition (Dkt. 404) and Page 2 and
	Exhibits 2, 4, 5, 6,7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 to the Bernay Declaration (Dkt. 405) contain highly
	confidential and commercially sensitive business information, including information relating to
-	business decisions and strategy at Apple.
	 Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and
	must not be publicly disclosed. The information was produced to plaintiffs pursuant to the
-	Protective Order. The information produced to plaintiffs is non-public information from a public
A 11.15	company that should remain confidential. Harm to Apple would result from the public disclosure
7777	of the automotion contained in these dominants. The public disclosure of information

ntial and to the om a public c disclosure of the redacted information contained in these documents. The public disclosure of information regarding Apple's business decisions and strategies would put Apple at a significant business disadvantage.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 22nd day of December, 2010 in Cupartino, California.

Eddy Cue

Eddy Cue

SF1-657739v1

27

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document454 Filed01/14/11 Page1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com Craig E. Stewart #129530 cestewart@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335 dkiernan@jonesday.com Michael T. Scott #255282 michaelscott@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor	
10	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
13		
14	THE APPLE IPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15		[CLASS ACTION]
16	<u>.</u>	DECLARATION OF MARK BUCKLEY
17	3	IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
18		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
19		
20	I, Mark Buckley, declare as follows:	
21	l. I am a Financial Analyst at Apple	e Inc. ("Apple"). I have held this position since
22 23	August 15, 2005. I submit this declaration in su	
23	Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (Dkt. 434, "Administrative Motion"). The facts stated	
25	in this declaration are true and based upon my o	wn personal knowledge, and if called to testify to
26	them, I would competently do so.	
27	2. The relief requested in the Admi	inistrative Motion is necessary and narrowly
28	tailored to protect Apple's confidential business	
		Deal ISO Apple for to Downson a Market

Decl. ISO Apple Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs'
Administrative Motion to Seal
C 05 00037 JW (HRL)

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document454 Filed01/14/11 Page2 of 2

and 6 of Plaintiffs' Motion Regarding Schedule for Class Certification and Depositions (Dkt. 432) and page 2 of the Bernay Declaration in support thereof (Dkt. 433) contain confidential descriptions of data regarding Apple's transactions with iPod resellers that must be kept confidential in order to avoid causing substantial harm to Apple.

3. Apple's practices are that such information is to be kept highly confidential and must not be publicly disclosed. Data regarding Apple's transactions with iPod resellers was produced to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Dkt. 112). The public disclosure of this highly confidential information would cause substantial harm to Apple.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 13 day of January, 2011 in Cupertino, California.

Mark Buckley

SFI-658673v1

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document492 Filed01/25/11 Page1 of 2

	Robert A. Mittelstaedt #60359	
	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com Craig E. Stewart #129530	
:	cestewart@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335	*
4	dkiernan@jonesday.com Michael T. Scott #255282	
5	michaelscott@jonesday.com JONES DAY	
6	555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
7	Telephone: (415) 626_3030	
8	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
9	TELEDING.	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	THE APPLE iPOD ITUNES ANTI-TRUST LITIGATION.	Case No. C 05-00037 JW (HRL)
15	LITIGATION.	[CLASS ACTION]
16		•
17		DECLARATION OF MARK BUCKLEY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS'
18		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
19		·
20	I, Mark Buckley, declare as follows: 1. I am a Financial Analyst at Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I have held this position since August 15, 2005. I submit this declaration in support of Apple's Response to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal (Dkt. 475, "Administrative Motion"). The facts stated in this declaration are true and based upon my own personal knowledge, and if called to testify to them, I would competently do so. 2. The relief requested in the Administrative Motion is necessary and narrowly tailored to protect Apple's confidential business information. Plaintiffs' Motion for Class	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Deci ISO Apple Inc. to Personal and the control

Decl. ISO Apple Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs'
Administrative Motion to Seal
C 05 00037 JW (HRL)

Case5:05-cv-00037-JW Document492 Filed01/25/11 Page2 of 2

Certification (Dkt. 477) and the Sweeney (Dkt. 478) and Noll (Dkt. 479) declarations in support thereof contain highly confidential information regarding iPod and iTunes Store pricing, including pricing strategy and information considered by Apple when setting iPod and iTunes Store prices; information regarding costs of manufacturing and selling iPods and costs associated with the sale of music through the iTunes Store; and information regarding Apple's margins on iPod and iTunes Store sales.

3. Apple's practices are that such information is kept highly confidential and is not disclosed to the public. This information was produced to plaintiffs pursuant to the Stipulation and Protective Order Regarding Confidential Information entered June 13, 2007 ("Protective Order," Dkt. 112). The public disclosure of information regarding Apple's pricing decisions and iPod and iTunes Store costs would put Apple at a business disadvantage.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 25 day of January, 2011 in Cupertino, California.

Mark Buckley

SFI-659999v1