

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
 DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825
 2 DAVID J. SILBERT - #173128
 710 Sansome Street
 3 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
 4 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188
 Email: ddurie@kvn.com
 5 dsilbert@kvn.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE, INC.

7
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 ADVANCED INTERNET TECHNOLOGIES,
 12 INC., a North Carolina corporation,
 Individually and on behalf of all others
 13 similarly situated,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
 DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive,

17 Defendants.

18
 19 STEVE MIZERA, an Individual, individually
 and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 GOOGLE, INC., a Delaware corporation; and
 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

23 Defendants.
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

Case No. C 05 02579 RMW
 Consolidated with
 Case No. C 05 02885 RMW

[PROPOSED] ORDER VACATING CLASS CERTIFICATION DATES

Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

Date Comp. Filed: June 24, 2005

Trial Date: None set

1 The Court has reviewed Google's *Ex Parte* Motion to Vacate Briefing Schedule Pending
2 Ruling on Motion to Stay, as well as the parties' filings in support of, and in opposition to,
3 Google's motion to stay. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the existing
4 briefing and hearing dates on AIT's Motion for Class Certification are VACATED. A hearing
5 on Google's motion to stay is set for _____. If AIT wishes to file
6 additional pleadings in opposition to the motion to stay, it may do so by _____.
7 If AIT files such additional pleadings, Google may file a response by _____.

8 If the Court denies Google's motion to stay, it will set new dates for AIT's motion for
9 class certification.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated:

12

13

By: _____
Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
United States District Judge

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28