

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NIALL P. McCARTHY (SBN 160175)
nmccarthy@cpmlegal.com
JUSTIN T. BERGER (SBN 250346)
jberger@cpmlegal.com
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road
Burlingame, CA 94010
Tel: (650) 697-6000/ Fax: (650) 697-0577

BRIAN S. KABATECK (SBN 152054)
bsk@kbklawyers.com
RICHARD L. KELLNER (SBN 171416)
rlk@kbklawyers.com
KABATECK BROWN KELLNER LLP
644 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel: (213) 217-5000 / Fax: (213) 217-5010

STEVEN N. BERK (admitted pro hac vice)
steven@berklawdc.com
BERK LAW PLLC
1225 15th Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 232-7550 / Fax: (202) 232-7556

[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class
and On Behalf of the Proposed Settlement Class

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

In Re: HP Inkjet Printer Litigation

Master File No. C053580 JF (PVT)
[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

This Document Relates To:
All Actions

1 **[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

2 WHEREAS, by order dated October 1, 2010, this Court granted preliminary approval of
3 the proposed class action Settlement¹ between the parties and consolidated the following cases:
4 In re: HP Inkjet Printer Litigation, Case No. C05-3580 JF; Rich v. Hewlett-Packard Co., Case
5 No. C06-03361 JF; and Blennis v. Hewlett-Packard Co., Case No. C07-00333 JF (collectively,
6 the “Action”).

7 WHEREAS, the Court also provisionally certified a nationwide Settlement Class for
8 settlement purposes only, approved the procedure for giving notice and forms of notice, and set
9 a final fairness hearing to take place on January 28, 2011. On that date, the Court held a duly
10 noticed final fairness hearing to consider: (1) whether the terms and conditions of the
11 Stipulation of Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate; (2) whether a judgment should be
12 entered dismissing the named Plaintiffs’ complaints on the merits and with prejudice in favor
13 of Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) and against all persons or entities who are
14 Settlement Class Members; and (3) whether and in what amount to award attorney’s fees and
15 expenses to counsel for the Settlement Class.

16 WHEREAS, by order dated March 29, 2011, this Court determined that the Stipulation
17 of Settlement was fair, reasonable, and adequate and should receive final approval.

18 WHEREAS, relying primarily on the Ninth Circuit’s decision in In re Bluetooth
19 Headset Products Liability Litigation, 654 F.3d 935 (9th Cir. 2011), Objectors Theodore Frank
20 and Kimberly Schratwieser (“Objectors”) appealed the Court’s final approval order, arguing
21 that: (1) the Settlement was collusive; (2) the class notice was confusing; and (3) the attorneys’
22 fees award of \$1,500,000 was excessive.

23 WHEREAS, on May 15, 2013, a split panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the Court’s
24 final approval order on the basis that the Court applied an incorrect method of calculating
25 attorneys’ fees under the Class Action Fairness Act (“CAFA”), 28 U.S.C. § 1712, and
26 remanded for further consideration. In re HP Inkjet Printer Litig. (“*Inkjet II*”), 716 F.3d 1173,

27 _____
28 ¹ As stated below, unless otherwise noted, all capitalized terms used in this Final Judgment
shall have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement (Docket No. 253-2).

1 1186-87 (9th Cir. 2013).

2 WHEREAS, following remand, by Renewed Motion for Final Approval dated January
3 7, 2014, Plaintiffs again sought approval of the Settlement, on the same bases upon which the
4 Court previously granted approval. On the same date, Plaintiffs also filed a Renewed Motion
5 for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

6 WHEREAS, the parties made one amendment to the Stipulation of Settlement to
7 remove the following sentence from paragraph 44: "HP does not oppose, and will not
8 encourage or assist a third party in opposing, Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees, costs
9 and expenses up to Two Million Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,900,000.00), nor does
10 HP contest the reasonableness of the amount."

11 WHEREAS, by order dated September 30, 2014 ("Remand Final Approval Order"),
12 this Court (1) again granted final approval of the Settlement pursuant to the settlement approval
13 factors in Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp., 150 F.3d 1011, 1026 (9th Cir. 1998), (2) granted in part
14 and denied in part Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees in accordance with the Ninth Circuit's
15 opinion in Inkjet II and CAFA, and (3) denied Objectors' request to decertify the Settlement
16 Class, disqualify Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, or reject the Settlement.

17 WHEREAS, the Court considered all matters submitted to it, and it appears that notice
18 substantially in the form approved by the Court was given in the manner that the Court ordered.
19 Direct email notice was provided to 13,387,489 class members, with a delivery rate of
20 approximately 89%. In addition, notices were published in USA WEEKEND, PARADE, PEOPLE,
21 and CIO MAGAZINE, and in banner advertisements on Yahoo.com and other websites through
22 24/7 Real Media Network, and reached an estimated 74% of the class.

23 WHEREAS, the Settlement Class Members have had a positive reaction to the
24 Settlement. Despite the success of the notice program in reaching class members, very few
25 individuals have expressed displeasure with the Settlement:

26 Filed Objections	3
27 Non-filed comments/complaints	458
28 Opt-outs	810

--	--

1
2 WHEREAS, the Settlement was the result of extensive and intensive arm’s-length
3 negotiations occurring over several years and multiple mediation sessions with several
4 respected mediators—the Honorable Daniel Weinstein of JAMS, the Honorable James L.
5 Warren of JAMS, and Alexander S. Polsky, Esq.—and Judge Warren has submitted a
6 declaration explaining that the Settlement was negotiated by the parties at arm’s length and in
7 good faith. Counsel for the parties are highly experienced in this type of litigation, with full
8 knowledge of the risks inherent in this Action. The extent of written discovery, depositions,
9 document productions, and independent investigations by counsel for the parties, and the
10 factual record compiled, suffices to enable the parties to make an informed decision as to the
11 fairness and adequacy of the Settlement.

12 WHEREAS, the Court has determined that the proposed Settlement of the claims of the
13 Settlement Class Members against HP, as well as the release of HP and the Released Parties,
14 the significant relief provided to the Settlement Class Members—in the form of HP’s
15 agreement to discontinue the use of certain pop-up messaging and to make certain changes to
16 the disclosures on its website and the packaging, manuals and/or user interfaces for HP inkjet
17 printers, as well as HP’s agreement to contribute e-credits to be distributed to Settlement Class
18 Members—as described in the Stipulation of Settlement, and the below award of attorney’s
19 fees and expenses requested, are fair, reasonable and adequate.

20 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

21 1. The Stipulation of Settlement, as amended and including any attachments thereto
22 (Docket Nos. 253-2, 330-1), and the Court’s Remand Final Approval Order (Docket No. 345)
23 are expressly incorporated by reference into this Final Judgment and made a part hereof for all
24 purposes. Except where otherwise noted, all capitalized terms used in this Final Judgment shall
25 have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement.

26 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties and all Settlement Class
27 Members, and has subject-matter jurisdiction over this Action, including, without limitation,
28 jurisdiction to approve the proposed Settlement, to grant final certification of the Settlement

1 Class, to settle and release all claims arising out of the transactions alleged in Plaintiffs'
2 complaints in the Action, and to dismiss this Action on the merits and with prejudice.

3 3. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only and conditioned upon the entry of
4 this Final Judgment and upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, that the prerequisites for a
5 class action under Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been
6 satisfied in that: (a) the number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all
7 members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the
8 Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement
9 Class they seek to represent for purposes of settlement; (d) the Plaintiffs have fairly and
10 adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so, and the
11 Plaintiffs have retained experienced counsel to represent them; (e) for purposes of settlement,
12 the questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class Members predominate over any
13 questions affecting any individual Settlement Class Member; and (f) for purposes of settlement,
14 a class action is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of
15 the controversy. The Court also concludes that, because this Action is being settled rather than
16 litigated, the Court need not consider manageability issues that might be presented by the trial
17 of a nationwide class action involving the issues in this case. See *Amchem Prods., Inc. v.*
18 *Windsor*, 521 U.S. 591, 620 (1997). In making these findings, the Court has considered,
19 among other factors: (i) the interests of Settlement Class Members in individually controlling
20 the prosecution or defense of separate actions; (ii) the impracticability or inefficiency of
21 prosecuting or defending separate actions; (iii) the extent and nature of any litigation
22 concerning these claims already commenced; and (iv) the desirability of concentrating the
23 litigation of the claims in a particular forum. The Court takes guidance in its consideration of
24 certification and settlement issues from *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.*, 150 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir.
25 1998).

26 4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby
27 finally certifies this Action for settlement purposes as a nationwide class action on behalf of:
28 all individual or entity end-users located in the United States who purchased or received as a

1 gift an Affected Model from September 6, 2001, to September 1, 2010 (the “Settlement
2 Class”). As defined in the Stipulation of Settlement, “Settlement Class Member(s)” means any
3 member of the Settlement Class who does not elect exclusion or opt out from the Settlement
4 Class pursuant to the terms and conditions for exclusion set out in the Stipulation of Settlement
5 and the Long Form Notice. Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who are
6 employees, directors, officers, and agents of HP or its subsidiaries and affiliated companies, as
7 well as the Court and its immediate family and staff.

8 5. The Court appoints the law firms of Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy; Kabateck
9 Brown Kellner, LLP; Berk Law PLLC; Chavez & Gertler LLP; Cuneo, Waldman & Gilbert,
10 LLC; Edelson & Associates, LLC; The Garcia Law Firm; Law Offices of Michael D. Liberty;
11 Law Offices of Scott E. Shapiro, P.C.; McNicholas & McNicholas, LLP; Pearson, Simon,
12 Soter, Warshaw & Penny, LLP; and Seeger Weiss, LLP as counsel for the Class (“Class
13 Counsel”). The Court designates named Plaintiffs Daniel Feder, Nicklos Ciolino, Carl K. Rich,
14 David Duran, Jackie Blennis, and David Brickner as the representatives of the Settlement
15 Class. The Court finds that these named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fully and adequately
16 represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Stipulation
17 of Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Rule 23(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil
18 Procedure.

19 6. The Court finds that the email notice and publication notice in accordance with
20 the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and this Court’s Preliminary Approval Order, and as
21 explained in the declarations filed before the Fairness Hearing:

22 (a) constituted the best practicable notice to Settlement Class Members under
23 the circumstances of this Action;

24 (b) were reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise
25 Settlement Class Members of (i) the pendency of this class action, (ii) their right to exclude
26 themselves from the Settlement Class and the proposed Settlement, (iii) their right to object to
27 any aspect of the proposed Settlement (including final certification of the Settlement Class, the
28 fairness, reasonableness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement, the adequacy of the

1 Settlement Class’s representation by Plaintiffs or Class Counsel, and/or the award of attorneys’
2 and representative fees), (iv) their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing (either on their own
3 or through counsel hired at their own expense), and (v) the binding effect of the orders and
4 Final Judgment in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons and entities
5 who do not request exclusion from the Settlement Class;

6 (c) constituted reasonable, due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons
7 and entities entitled to be provided with notice; and

8 (d) fully satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
9 including Rule 23(c)(2) and (e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States
10 Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Rules of this Court, and any other
11 applicable law.

12 7. The Court finds that HP provided notice of the proposed Settlement to the
13 appropriate state and federal government officials pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715. Furthermore,
14 the Court has given the appropriate state and federal government officials the requisite ninety
15 (90) day time period (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715) to comment or object to the proposed
16 Settlement before entering its Final Judgment and no such objections or comments were
17 received.

18 8. The terms and provisions of the Stipulation of Settlement, including any and all
19 amendments and exhibits, have been entered into in good faith and are hereby fully and finally
20 approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to, and in the best interests of, the Plaintiffs and
21 the Settlement Class Members, and in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the
22 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process
23 Clause), and any other applicable law. In determining that the Stipulation of Settlement is fair,
24 adequate, and reasonable, the Court expressly considered the factors identified by the Ninth
25 Circuit’s precedents. The Court finds that the Stipulation of Settlement is fair, adequate and
26 reasonable based on the following factors, among other things:

1 (a) There is no fraud or collusion underlying the Settlement, and it was
2 reached after good faith, arm's-length negotiations, warranting a presumption in favor of
3 approval. *Officers for Justice v. Civil Serv. Comm'n.*, 688 F.2d 615, 625 (9th Cir. 1982).

4 (b) The complexity, expense and likely duration of the litigation favor
5 settlement on behalf of the Settlement Class, which provides meaningful benefits on a much
6 shorter time frame than otherwise possible. *Class Plaintiffs v. Seattle*, 955 F.2d 1268, 1276
7 (9th Cir. 1992) (the Ninth Circuit has a "strong judicial policy that favors settlements,
8 particularly where complex class action litigation is concerned"). Based on the stage of the
9 proceedings and the amount of investigation and informal discovery completed, the parties had
10 developed a sufficient factual record to evaluate their chances of success at trial and the
11 proposed Settlement. In addition, the parties negotiated the benefits to the class before
12 discussing Plaintiffs' claim to attorneys' fees. See *In re Apple Deriv. Litig.*, No. 06-4128, 2008
13 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 108195, at *11-12 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2008) (parties' negotiations free of
14 collusion because, among other things, the parties negotiated the benefits to the class before
15 discussing attorneys' fees).

16 (c) The support of Class Counsel, who are highly skilled in class action
17 litigation such as this, and the Plaintiffs, who have participated in this litigation and evaluated
18 the proposed Settlement, also favors final approval. See *Boyd v. Bechtel Corp.*, 485 F. Supp.
19 610, 622 (N.D. Cal. 1979); *Class Plaintiffs*, 955 F.2d at 1291.

20 (d) The Settlement provides meaningful relief to the Settlement Class,
21 including the injunctive relief and e-credits, and certainly falls within the range of possible
22 recoveries by the Settlement Class.

23 (e) The portion of the Settlement Class taking issue with the Settlement
24 (whether by objection, comment/complaint, or opt-out) is miniscule by any measure—whether
25 relative to the size of the class (less than four-thousandth of 1%, conservatively assuming 40
26 million class members, based on more than 100 million units shipped during the class period),
27 or the number of notices sent (less than one-hundredth of 1%). These low objection and opt-
28 out numbers weigh in favor of approval. See *Garner v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, No. 08-

1 1365, 2010 WL 1687832, at *14 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2010) (“Court ‘may appropriately infer
2 that a class settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable when few class members object to it’”;
3 quoting Create-A-Card, Inc. v. Intuit, Inc., No. 07-6452, 2009 WL 3073920, at *15 (N.D. Cal.
4 Sept. 22, 2009)); In re Omnivision Techs., Inc., 559 F. Supp. 2d 1036, 1043 (N.D. Cal. 2008)
5 (“It is established that the absence of a large number of objections to a proposed class action
6 settlement raises a strong presumption that the terms of a proposed class settlement action are
7 favorable to the class members.”).

8 The parties are directed to consummate the Stipulation of Settlement in
9 accordance with its terms and conditions. The Court hereby declares that the Stipulation of
10 Settlement is binding on all parties and Settlement Class Members, and it is to be preclusive in
11 all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings.

12 9. As described in the Stipulation of Settlement, HP has agreed to contribute e-
13 credits to be distributed to eligible Settlement Class Members, and, not later than forty-five
14 (45) days following the Effective Date, to provide for the following injunctive relief:

15 (a) **Ciolino Action**

16 (i) HP will discontinue the use of Pop-Up LOI Messages (as that term
17 is defined in the Stipulation of Settlement) that include the graphic image of an ink gauge,
18 ruler, or container of ink;

19 (ii) Where HP uses graphic images in the Toolbox to communicate
20 ink level information, HP will include language indicating that the ink level information is an
21 estimate only and that actual ink levels may vary;

22 (iii) HP’s Pop-Up LOI Messages at the low-on-ink trigger points will
23 use language indicating that the cartridge is low on ink, without stating that a precise level of
24 ink remains, and that HP recommends that the customer have a replacement cartridge available
25 when print quality is no longer acceptable;

26 (iv) HP will incorporate disclosures into its website explaining that
27 HP’s LOI Messages are based on estimated ink levels and that actual ink levels may vary. HP
28 will further explain that the user does not have to replace a print cartridge when a LOI Message

1 is received, but rather may continue printing until the user is not satisfied with the print quality
2 of the printed material or, if applicable, when the user reaches a “replace cartridge” message;
3 and

4 (v) Going forward for newly introduced HP color inkjet printer
5 models that utilize LOI Messages, HP will incorporate disclosures into its user manuals
6 explaining that HP’s LOI Messages are based on estimated ink levels and that actual ink levels
7 may vary. HP will further explain that the user does not have to replace a print cartridge when
8 a LOI Message is received, but rather may continue printing until the user is not satisfied with
9 the print quality of the printed material or, if applicable, when the user reaches a “replace
10 cartridge” message.

11 (b) **Rich Action**

12 (i) HP will incorporate on its website disclosures regarding
13 Underprinting, including a description of what Underprinting (as that term is defined in the
14 Stipulation of Settlement) is, why it is used, and some of the options for disabling or
15 minimizing the use of Underprinting;

16 (ii) Going forward for newly introduced HP color inkjet printer
17 models that use Underprinting, HP will incorporate into its user manuals disclosures regarding
18 Underprinting and the available options to disable or minimize the use of the color inkjet
19 cartridge, including the “Print in Grayscale”/“Black print cartridge only” option and “Draft”
20 mode;

21 (iii) HP will incorporate on its website disclosures regarding Page
22 Yields, including a summary of HP’s ISO testing for Page Yields and an explanation that actual
23 yield varies depending on the content of printed pages and other factors; and

24 (iv) Going forward for newly introduced HP color inkjet printer
25 models, HP will incorporate into its user manuals disclosures regarding Page Yields, including
26 a link to its website regarding Page Yields.

1 (c) **Blennis Action**

2 (i) HP will incorporate disclosures into its website regarding Ink
3 Expiration, the inkjet printers and cartridges that are subject to Ink Expiration, why HP
4 employs Ink Expiration dates for certain printer models, and how Ink Expiration works; and

5 (ii) HP will include on the cartridge packaging for those inkjet
6 cartridges that utilize Ink Expiration dates without an override feature a disclosure indicating
7 that there is an Ink Expiration date and how that date is determined.

8 10. The terms and requirements of the Injunctive Relief described in the preceding
9 paragraph shall expire the earliest of the following dates: (a) three (3) years after the Effective
10 Date; or (b) the date upon which there are such changes in the technology that would render
11 any of the disclosures described in the preceding paragraph inaccurate; or (c) the date upon
12 which there are changes to any applicable statute, regulation, or other law that HP reasonably
13 believes would require a modification to any of the disclosures described in the preceding
14 paragraph in order to comply with the applicable statute, regulation, or law. Nothing in this
15 Final Judgment shall prevent HP from implementing disclosure changes prior to the Effective
16 Date. This Final Judgment does not preclude HP from making further disclosures or any
17 changes to its disclosures: (i) that HP reasonably believes are necessary to comply with any
18 statute, regulation, or other law of any kind; (ii) that are necessitated by product changes and/or
19 to ensure that HP provides accurate product descriptions; or (iii) that are more detailed than
20 those required by the Stipulation of Settlement and/or this Final Judgment. In addition, HP is
21 not responsible for changes to or removal of disclosures as a result of software changes
22 implemented by third parties, including but not limited to changes in print driver software
23 controlled by the operating system manufacturer.

24 11. Pursuant to Rule 23(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby
25 awards Class Counsel attorney's fees of \$1,350,000 and expenses of \$596,990.70 and stipends
26 of \$1,000 to each of the class representatives. The attorneys' fees are based solely on the
27 amount of time Class Counsel reasonably expended on the non-e-credit portion of the
28 Settlement. The Court has evaluated the request for attorneys' fees and excluded any fees

1 related to the amount of time Class Counsel expended on the e-credit portion of the Settlement.
2 HP shall pay such attorney's fees and expenses and class representative stipends within thirty
3 (30) days of the Effective Date in the manner described in the Stipulation of Settlement. Such
4 payment by HP will be in lieu of statutory fees Plaintiffs and/or their attorneys might otherwise
5 have been entitled to recover, and this amount shall be inclusive of all fees and costs of Class
6 Counsel in the Action. In the event that any dispute arises relating to the allocation of fees
7 amongst Class Counsel and any other attorneys for Plaintiffs, Class Counsel will hold HP
8 harmless from any and all such liabilities, costs, and expenses of such dispute.

9 12. This Action is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs as against HP
10 and the Released Parties. Specifically, the following matters are to be dismissed with
11 prejudice: (a) In re: HP Inkjet Printer Litigation, Case No. C05-3580 JF; (b) Rich v. Hewlett-
12 Packard Company, Case No. C06-03361 JF; and (c) Blennis v. Hewlett-Packard Company,
13 Case No. C07-00333 JF.

14 13. Upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Parties (as that term is defined in the
15 Stipulation of Settlement) shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment
16 shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims
17 against the Released Parties. Released Claims means and includes any and all claims,
18 demands, rights, damages, obligations, suits, debts, liens, and causes of action of every nature
19 and description whatsoever, ascertained or unascertained, suspected or unsuspected, existing or
20 claimed to exist, including unknown claims (as described in Paragraph 14 below) as of the
21 Effective Date by all of the Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members (and Plaintiffs' and
22 Settlement Class Members' respective heirs, executors, administrators, representatives, agents,
23 attorneys, partners, successors, predecessors-in-interest, and assigns) that:

24 (i) were brought or that could have been brought against the Released
25 Parties, or any of them, and that arise out of or are related in any way to any or all of the acts,
26 omissions, facts, matters, transactions, or occurrences that were or could have been directly or
27 indirectly alleged or referred to in the Action (including, but not limited to alleged violations of
28 state consumer protection, unfair competition, and/or false or deceptive advertising statutes

1 (including, but not limited to, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq., Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
2 § 17500 et seq., and Cal. Civ. Code § 1750 et seq.); breach of contract; breach of express or
3 implied warranty; fraud; unjust enrichment, restitution, trespass, conversion, declaratory or
4 injunctive relief, and other equitable claims or claims sounding in contract and tort); and

5 (ii) relate in any way to the quantity of output, amount of usable ink or value
6 received from the Affected Models and their corresponding inkjet cartridges, including but not
7 limited to all claims that relate in any way to:

- 8 (A) the end-of-life or out-of-ink behavior or messages of the Affected
9 Models and their corresponding inkjet cartridges;
- 10 (B) HP's use of smart chips or other devices that electronically store
11 data in connection with the Affected Models and their
12 corresponding inkjet cartridges;
- 13 (C) HP's use of LOI Messages or any other representations
14 concerning the status of the ink remaining in an inkjet cartridge,
15 including the amount of ink or number of pages remaining, in
16 connection with the Affected Models and their corresponding
17 inkjet cartridges;
- 18 (D) HP's use of Ink Expiration dates in connection with the Affected
19 Models and their corresponding inkjet cartridges;
- 20 (E) HP's use of Underprinting in connection with the Affected Models
21 and their corresponding inkjet cartridges;
- 22 (F) HP's Page Yields for the Affected Models and their corresponding
23 inkjet cartridges;
- 24 (G) the quantity and other characteristics of printed output from the
25 Affected Models and their corresponding inkjet cartridges;
- 26 (H) the amount of usable ink in the HP inkjet cartridges used with the
27 Affected Models;
- 28 (I) HP's SureSupply program as it relates to ink status graphics and
messaging and related marketing materials; and
- (J) HP's specifications, marketing, disclosures, warranties, and
representations (or lack thereof) regarding the quantity of output,
amount of usable ink, or value of ink received from the Affected
Models and their corresponding inkjet cartridges.

1 Released Claims do not include: (i) claims for personal injury; or (ii) claims for repair or
2 service of Affected Models that, at the time of the Effective Date, are covered by any express
3 product warranty by HP.

4 14. The Released Claims include known and unknown claims relating to the Action,
5 and the Stipulation of Settlement is expressly intended to cover and include all such injuries or
6 damages, including all rights of action thereunder. Settlement Class Members have expressly,
7 knowingly, and voluntarily waived the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code,
8 which provides as follows:

9 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
10 CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER
11 FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF
12 KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS
13 OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

13 Settlement Class Members have expressly waived and relinquished any and all rights and
14 benefits that they may have under, or that may be conferred upon them by, the provisions of
15 Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, or any other law of any state or territory that is
16 similar, comparable, or equivalent to Section 1542, to the fullest extent that they may lawfully
17 waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the Released Claims. In connection with such
18 waiver and relinquishment, the Settlement Class Members have acknowledged that they are
19 aware that they or their attorneys may hereafter discover claims or facts in addition to or
20 different from those that they now know or believe exist with respect to Released Claims, but
21 that it is their intention to hereby fully, finally, and forever settle and release all of the Released
22 Claims known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, that they have against the Released
23 Parties. In furtherance of such intention, the release herein given by the Settlement Class
24 Members to the Released Parties shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete general
25 release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional different claims or
26 facts. Each of the parties expressly acknowledged that it has been advised by its attorney of the
27 contents and effect of Section 1542, and with knowledge, each of the parties has expressly
28 waived whatever benefits it may have had pursuant to such section. Settlement Class Members

1 are not releasing any claims for personal injury or any claims for repair or service of Affected
2 Models (as defined by the Stipulation of Settlement) that are, at the time of the Effective Date,
3 covered by any express product warranty by HP. Plaintiffs have acknowledged, and the
4 Settlement Class Members shall be deemed by operation of the Final Judgment to have
5 acknowledged, that the foregoing waiver was separately bargained for and a material element
6 of the Settlement of which this release is a part.

7 15. Members of the Settlement Class who have opted out of or sought exclusion from
8 the Settlement by the date set by the Court do not release their claims and will not obtain any
9 benefits of the Settlement.

10 16. The Court orders that, upon the Effective Date, the Stipulation of Settlement shall
11 be the exclusive remedy for any and all Released Claims of Settlement Class Members. The
12 Court thus hereby permanently bars and enjoins Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members, and
13 all persons acting on behalf of, or in concert or participation with such Plaintiffs or Settlement
14 Class Members (including but not limited to the Releasing Parties), from: (a) filing,
15 commencing, asserting, prosecuting, maintaining, pursuing, continuing, intervening in, or
16 participating in, or receiving any benefits from, any lawsuit, arbitration, or administrative,
17 regulatory or other proceeding or order in any jurisdiction based upon or asserting any of the
18 Released Claims; (b) bringing a class action on behalf of Plaintiffs or Settlement Class
19 Members, seeking to certify a class that includes Plaintiffs or Settlement Class Members, or
20 continuing to prosecute or participate in any previously filed and/or certified class action, in
21 any lawsuit based upon or asserting any of the Released Claims.

22 17. Neither the Stipulation of Settlement, nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any
23 of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, nor any of the documents or statements
24 referred to therein, nor any of the documents or statements generated or received pursuant to
25 the claims administration process, shall be:

26 (a) offered by any person or received against HP as evidence or construed as
27 or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by HP of the truth of
28 the facts alleged by the Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member or the validity of any claim

1 that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or other judicial or
2 administrative proceeding, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been
3 asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of
4 HP;

5 (b) offered by any person or received against HP as evidence of a
6 presumption, concession or admission of any fault, misrepresentation or omission with respect
7 to any statement or written document approved or made by HP or any other wrongdoing by
8 HP;

9 (c) offered by any person or received against HP or as evidence of a
10 presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any default, liability, negligence, fault,
11 or wrongdoing, or in any way interpreted, construed, deemed, invoked, offered, received in
12 evidence, or referred to for any other reason against any of the settling parties, in any civil,
13 criminal, or administrative action or proceeding; provided, however, that nothing contained in
14 this paragraph shall prevent the Stipulation of Settlement (or any agreement or order relating
15 thereto) from being used, offered, or received in evidence in any proceeding to approve,
16 enforce, or otherwise effectuate the Settlement (or any agreement or order relating thereto) or
17 the Final Judgment, or in which the reasonableness, fairness, or good faith of the parties in
18 participating in the Settlement (or any agreement or order relating thereto) is an issue, or to
19 enforce or effectuate provisions of the Settlement, the Final Judgment, or the Proofs of Claim
20 and Release as to HP, Plaintiffs, or the Settlement Class Members; or

21 (d) offered by any person or received against any Plaintiff or class
22 representative as evidence or construed as or deemed to be evidence that any of their claims in
23 any of the cases consolidated herein lack merit.

24 Notwithstanding the foregoing, HP may file the Stipulation of Settlement, this
25 Final Judgment, and/or any of the documents or statements referred to therein in support of any
26 defense or claim that is binding on and shall have res judicata, collateral estoppel, and/or
27 preclusive effect in all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings maintained by or on
28

1 behalf of Plaintiffs and/or any other Settlement Class Members, and each of them, as well as
2 their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, and/or any other of the Releasing
3 Parties.

4 18. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final Judgment. Without in any way
5 affecting the finality of this Final Judgment, this Court expressly retains exclusive and
6 continuing jurisdiction over the parties, including the Settlement Class, and all matters relating
7 to the administration, consummation, validity, enforcement and interpretation of the Stipulation
8 of Settlement and of this Final Judgment, including, without limitation, for the purpose of:

9 (a) enforcing the terms and conditions of the Stipulation of Settlement and
10 resolving any disputes, claims or causes of action that, in whole or in part, are related to or
11 arise out of the Stipulation of Settlement, and/or this Final Judgment (including, without
12 limitation: whether a person or entity is or is not a Settlement Class Member; whether claims or
13 causes of action allegedly related to this Action are or are not barred or released by this Final
14 Judgment; and whether persons or entities are enjoined from pursuing any claims against HP);

15 (b) entering such additional orders, if any, as may be necessary or appropriate
16 to protect or effectuate this Final Judgment and the Stipulation of Settlement (including,
17 without limitation, orders enjoining persons or entities from pursuing any claims against HP),
18 or to ensure the fair and orderly administration of the Settlement; and

19 (c) entering any other necessary or appropriate orders to protect and
20 effectuate this Court's retention of continuing jurisdiction over the Stipulation of Settlement,
21 the settling parties, and the Settlement Class Members.


22 19. Without further order of the Court, the settling parties may agree to reasonably
23 necessary extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation of Settlement.

24 In the event that the Effective Date does not occur, certification shall be automatically
25 vacated and this Final Judgment, and all other orders entered and releases delivered in
26 connection herewith, shall be vacated and shall become null and void.

27
28 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: _____



THE HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE