

1 Lester L. Levy (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Michele F. Raphael (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 2 WOLF POPPER LLP
 845 Third Avenue
 3 New York NY 10022
 Telephone: 212.759.4600
 4 Facsimile: 212.486.2093
 e-mail: llevy@wolfpopper.com
 5 e-mail: mraphael@wolfpopper.com

6 William M. Audet (SBN 117456)
 Audet & Partners, LLP
 7 221 Main Street, Suite 1460
 San Francisco, CA 94105-1938
 8 Telephone: 415.568.2555
 Facsimile: 415.568.2556
 9 e-mail: waudet@audetlaw.com

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a)	CASE NO: C05-03649 JW
15	INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD)	
16	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all)	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
17	others similarly situated,)	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
18	Plaintiffs,)	MOTION PURSUANT TO CIV.L.R. 79-
19	vs.)	5(d) TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS
20	GOOGLE, INC.,)	OF A DOCUMENT DUE TO
21	Defendant.)	CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATIONS BY
22)	DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.
23)	Civil L. R. 79-5(d)
24)	Courtroom: 8
25)	Judge: Hon. James W. Ware
26)	
27)	
28)	

1 Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC d/b/a Industrial Printing and Howard Stern, on
2 behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated, and Defendant Google, Inc. (“Google”)
3 were permitted to submit supplemental briefs in further support of their motions for summary
4 adjudication. On May 21, 2007, plaintiffs filed Plaintiffs’ Memorandum in Opposition to
5 Defendant Google, Inc.’s Supplemental Brief in Support of Summary Judgment Motion
6 (“Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Opposition Memorandum”).

7 Plaintiffs have moved pursuant to Civ. L.R.79-5 and the Protective Order entered May
8 15, 2007, to have those portions of Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Opposition Memorandum, which
9 Plaintiffs represent reference, incorporate or otherwise cite material designated as “Confidential”
10 by Defendant Google, Inc., filed under seal.

11 Pursuant to Civ. L.R. Rules 79-5(c) and (d), Plaintiffs have lodged with this Court
12 highlighted versions of Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Opposition Memorandum identifying the
13 portions containing, citing or referring to material designated by Google as confidential.
14 Plaintiffs have also lodged with the Clerk a redacted version of Plaintiffs’ Supplemental
15 Opposition Memorandum.

16 The Court having duly considered the submissions and arguments of counsel hereby
17 orders that the copy of Plaintiffs’ Supplemental Opposition Memorandum highlighted to identify
18 the material designated by Google as confidential shall be filed under seal and that the redacted
19 versions of said document be filed in the public record.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: May __, 2007

23 _____
United States District Court Judge