

1 Lester L. Levy (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 Michele F. Raphael (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
 2 WOLF POPPER LLP
 845 Third Avenue
 3 New York NY 10022
 Telephone: 212.759.4600
 4 Facsimile: 212.486.2093
 e-mail: llevy@wolfpopper.com
 5 e-mail: mraphael@wolfpopper.com

6 William M. Audet (SBN 117456)
 Audet & Partners, LLP
 7 221 Main Street, Suite 1460
 San Francisco, CA 94105-1938
 8 Telephone: 415.568.2555
 Facsimile: 415.568.2556
 9 e-mail: waudet@audetlaw.com

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a)	CASE NO: C05-03649 JW
15	INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD)	
16	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all)	[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING IN
	others similarly situated,)	PART, AND GRANTING IN PART,
17	Plaintiffs,)	DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S
)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
18	vs.)	LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER
)	SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH
19	GOOGLE, INC.,)	GOOGLE INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
)	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL
20	Defendant.)	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
)	PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
21)	Civil L. R. 79-11
22)	Civil L. R. 79-5
)	Courtroom: 8
23		Judge: Hon. James W. Ware

24
 25
 26
 27
 28 **[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING IN PART, AND GRANTING IN PART, DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH ITS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM**

1 Defendant Google Inc. has made an Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents
2 Under Seal. The Court has duly considered the submissions and arguments of counsel for both
3 parties and finds that there is no good cause to grant Google's request to file Google Inc.'s
4 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Partial Summary Judgment
5 under seal. Nor has Defendant made the requisite showing with respect to Exhibits A, B and C
6 to the Supplemental Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang in Support of Google, Inc.'s
7 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Partial Summary Judgment
8 ("Supp. Opp. Jhang Decl."). Google has not shown that it possesses overriding confidentiality
9 interests that overcome the right of public access to the record nor to support sealing the record.
10 Nor has Google shown that it is likely to be prejudiced nor irreparably harmed. Google's
11 requested sealing is not narrowly tailored, it does not comport with the parties' confidentiality
12 stipulation and there are less restrictive means to achieve any confidentiality interests.

13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Google's Administrative Motion for Leave to File
14 Documents Under Seal is DENIED, except with respect to Exhibits F and G to the Supp. Opp.
15 Jhang Decl.

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 Dated: May __, 2007

20 _____
The Honorable James Ware
United States District Court Judge