

1 DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577  
 2 TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645  
 3 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463  
 4 FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194  
 5 **PERKINS COIE LLP**  
 6 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400  
 7 San Francisco, California 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 344-7000  
 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050  
 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com  
 Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com  
 Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com  
 Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

8 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD  
 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others  
 similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
 GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
 DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN  
 CONNECTION WITH ITS MOTION  
 FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY  
 JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' "120%  
 RULE" AND "PARTIAL MONTH"  
 CLAIMS**

Date: November 10, 2008  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Dept.: Courtroom 8  
 Judge: Honorable James Ware

1 Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google") Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents  
2 Under Seal in Connection with Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs'  
3 "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims was heard before this Court on November 10, 2008, at  
4 9:00 a.m. Upon consideration of this Motion and the supporting Declaration of David T.  
5 Biderman filed therewith, the Court finds there is good cause to grant Google's request to file the  
6 following documents and information under seal:

7 **Declaration of Adam Samet in support of Google's Motion for Partial**  
8 **Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month"**  
9 **Claims, and Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 attached thereto.**

10 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 11 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of  
12 public access to the record in the following documents;  
13 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;  
14 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests  
15 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;  
16 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and  
17 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Google's Motion for Leave to File Documents  
19 Under Seal is GRANTED.

20  
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22  
23 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable James Ware  
United States District Judge