1 2 3 4 5	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194 PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050	
6 7	Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
12		
13	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD	CASE NO. C 05-03649 JW
14	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] REVISED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
15	Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION UNDER LOCAL RULE 79-5(d) FOR LEAVE TO
16 17	V.	FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF DOCUMENTS DUE TO CONFIDENTIAL DESIGNATIONS BY
18	GOOGLE INC.,	DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.
19	Defendant.	Date: December 1, 2008 Time: 9:00 a.m.
20		Place: Courtroom 8 Honorable James Ware
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] REVISED ORDER CASE NO. 05-03649 JW	

1	Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion Under Local Rule 79-5(d) for Leave to File Under Seal	
2	Portions of Documents Due to Confidential Designations by Defendant Google, Inc. (the	
3	"Motion") was filed on November 10, 2008. Upon consideration of the Motion and the	
4	Declaration of David T. Biderman filed in support thereof, the Court finds there is good cause to	
5	grant the request to file the following document and information under seal:	
6 7	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Google Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, and	
8	Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Rachel S. Black in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Google, Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.	
9	GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:	
10	(1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of	
11	public access to the record in the above-mentioned documents;	
12	(2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;	
13 14	(3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests	
14	will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;	
16	(4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and	
17	(5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.	
18	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.	
19	This ORDER is to supersede the November 13, 2008 ORDER granting Plaintiffs'	
20	Administrative Motion Under Local Rule 79-5(d) For Leave To File Under Seal Portions of	
21	Documents Due To Confidential Designations By Defendant Google, Inc. (Docket No. 258).	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	DATED: November 25, 2008	
24	The Honorable James Ware	
25	United States District Judge	
26	41063-0023/LEGAL14929718.1	
27		
28	-2-	