

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
Google, Inc.,
Defendant.

NO. C 05-03649 JW

**AMENDED ORDER FOLLOWING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;
SETTING A NEW DEADLINE FOR THE
CLOSE OF ALL DISCOVERY AND LAST
DATE FOR HEARING DISPOSITIVE
MOTIONS**

On December 1, 2008, the Court held a Case Management Conference. Counsel for the respective parties were present. At the Case Management Conference, Defendant represented that it will file a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs’ UCL and FAL claims, which will be based on evidence that Defendant’s “120% Rule” was disclosed to Plaintiffs when they signed up for Defendant’s AdWords program. In light of this representation, the Court orders as follows:

- (1) The hearing on Defendant’s anticipated Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs’ UCL and FAL claims is set for **March 20, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.**
- (2) Defendant’s Motion shall be filed and served on or before **January 16, 2009;**
- (3) Plaintiffs’ Opposition shall be filed and served on or before **February 27, 2009;**
- (4) Defendant’s Reply, if any, shall be filed and served on or before **March 6, 2009.**

At the Case Management Conference, the parties also discussed a dispute regarding Defendant’s provision of discovery on the issue of monetary damages associated with Plaintiffs’ claims. With respect to this issue, the Court orders that no later than **February 2, 2009**, Defendant

1 shall be prepared to provide Plaintiff with any necessary discovery regarding the issue of monetary
2 damages.

3 In addition, to the extent that there are no current deadlines with respect to the date for the
4 close of all discovery and last date for hearing on dispositive motions, the Court now sets those
5 deadlines as follows:


6 (1) To the extent that there are still outstanding discovery as referenced above, the Court
7 sets **February 2, 2009**, as the date for the close of all discovery

8 (2) The Court sets **March 20, 2009**, as the last date for hearing on all dispositive
9 motions. This deadline excludes Plaintiffs' anticipated Motion for Class
10 Certification.

11 This case has been pending since 2005. The Court has entertained numerous partial summary
12 judgment motions by Defendant. The Court has a strong desire to clear its docket and thus
13 encourages the parties to work diligently to bring this action to a close.

14

15 Dated: December 9, 2008



JAMES WARE
United States District Judge

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

- 2 Adel A. Nadji anadji@audetlaw.com
- 3 Daniel Jeffrey Shih dshih@susmangodfrey.com
- 4 David T. Biderman dbiderman@perkinscoie.com
- 5 Farschad Farzan ffarzan@perkinscoie.com
- 6 Judith B. Gitterman gittj@perkinscoie.com
- 7 Lester L Levy llevy@wolfpopper.com
- 8 M. Christopher Jhang cjhang@perkinscoie.com
- 9 Marc M. Seltzer mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
- 10 Michele Fried Raphael mraphael@wolfpopper.com
- 11 Rachel S. Black rblack@susmangodfrey.com
- 12 Stephen D. Susman ssusman@susmangodfrey.com
- 13 William M. Audet waudet@audetlaw.com

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Dated: December 9, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: /s/ JW Chambers
Elizabeth Garcia
Courtroom Deputy