

1 LESTER L. LEVY (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 MICHELE FRIED RAPHAEL (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
 2 WOLF POPPER LLP  
 845 Third Avenue  
 3 New York, NY 10022  
 Telephone: (212) 759-4600  
 4 Facsimile: (212) 486-2093  
 E-Mail: [levy@wolfpopper.com](mailto:levy@wolfpopper.com)  
 5 E-Mail: [mraphael@wolfpopper.com](mailto:mraphael@wolfpopper.com)  
 6

MARC M. SELTZER (54534)  
 7 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950  
 8 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029  
 Telephone: (310) 789-3100  
 9 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150  
 E-Mail: [mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com](mailto:mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com)  
 10

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 (See Signature Page for Additional  
 12 Plaintiffs' Counsel)

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 15 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a  
 17 INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD  
 STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others  
 18 similarly situated,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 GOOGLE, INC.,

22 Defendant.

Case No. C 05-03649 JW PVT

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL J. SHIH IN  
 SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
 TO SHORTEN TIME FOR HEARING ON  
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL  
 OBJECTOR DEPOSITIONS**

Civil Local Rule 6-3

23 I, DANIEL J. SHIH, hereby declare and state as follows:

24 1. I am an attorney at Susman Godfrey L.L.P., and counsel for plaintiffs CLRB  
 25 Hanson Industries LLC d/b/a Industrial Printing and Howard Stern (collectively "Plaintiffs"), in  
 26 the above-captioned action. I am a member in good standing with the bar of the State of  
 27

1 Washington. I am over the age of eighteen, attest to the following matters from personal  
2 knowledge, and if called as a witness, could competently testify to the matters set forth herein.

3 2. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(a), I state as follows: The Court gave preliminary  
4 approval to a settlement agreement between Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, and  
5 defendant Google, Inc., on May 12, 2009. The settling parties are to file a motion for final  
6 approval of the settlement by August 24, 2009, and the settlement hearing is scheduled for  
7 September 14, 2009. Objectors to the settlement Randy R. Lyons, Chase Thompson, Etech Digital  
8 Playroom, Inc., and Universal Pro Audio, LLC, represented by attorney Steve A. Miller, have  
9 refused to attend and testify at duly noticed depositions absent a court order compelling their  
10 attendance. On August 4, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Compel Objector Depositions, noting  
11 a hearing date of September 8, 2009, pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(a). (Docket No. 333.) Expedited  
12 hearing of this matter is necessary to secure an order in time to allow Objectors' depositions to be  
13 taken in advance of the settlement motion deadline and settlement hearing date set by the Court. A  
14 hearing on August 11, 2009, if accompanied by an order that the depositions take place within one  
15 week, would allow the depositions to be taken in time.

16 3. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(2), I state as follows: On August 4, 2009, I emailed  
17 counsel for Google, Inc., Daralyn Durie, regarding this motion to shorten time. By reply email,  
18 she stated that Google did not object. On August 4, 2009, I emailed counsel for Objectors, Steve  
19 A. Miller, regarding this motion to shorten time. By reply email on August 5, 2009, Mr. Miller  
20 stated, "I will not stipulate to an August 11 hearing date."

21 4. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(3), I state as follows: The substantial harm or  
22 prejudice that would occur if the Court did not change the time for hearing is that Plaintiffs would  
23 not have the opportunity to take Objectors' depositions prior to such time as they would be  
24 required to respond to any objections that Objectors may offer. The settling parties are entitled to  
25

1 depose Objectors to ascertain their reasons for objecting, the basis for their concerns, and to  
2 determine whether they actually are class members. This is especially important in light of  
3 Objectors' only filing in this case, which alleges in conclusory fashion that "the Proposed  
4 Settlement is not fair, adequate or reasonable" without stating any basis for such alleged actual  
5 unfairness, inadequacy, or unreasonableness, and also objects to "the requested attorneys' fees as  
6 being excessive" and "the requested representative fee as being excessive," again without stating  
7 any basis for such conclusions. This is additionally important in light of Objectors' failure to  
8 include in their filing certain identifying information that the Court mandated be part of any such  
9 filing, which information might have helped to establish whether Objectors are actually members  
10 of the class.  
11

12           5. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(4), I state as follows: The underlying dispute in the  
13 motion to which this motion to shorten time applies is whether a settling party may take  
14 depositions of Objectors to a class settlement for purposes of understanding Objectors' reasons and  
15 basis for objecting. Plaintiffs' position is that Plaintiffs are entitled to take such depositions.  
16 Plaintiffs have attempted to arrange such depositions by telephone calls and email correspondence  
17 with Objectors' attorney over the last three weeks, but Objectors have refused to attend duly  
18 noticed depositions. Objectors' position is that they will not attend any depositions unless the  
19 court orders them to do so.  
20

21           6. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(5), I state as follows: The previous time  
22 modifications in this case related to briefing deadlines for summary judgment motions filed by  
23 defendant Google, Inc. (Docket Nos. 72 and 79.)  
24

25           7. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3(a)(6), I state as follows: The requested time  
26 modification would not have an effect on the schedule for the case. Indeed, by granting the  
27 requested time modification, the Court would facilitate maintaining the current schedule by  
28

1 removing one reason why the settlement motion and hearing dates might need to be changed.

2 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is  
3 true and correct.

4 Signed this 5th day of August, 2009, at Seattle, Washington.

5  
6 /s/ Daniel J. Shih  
7 Daniel J. Shih

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28