

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME FOR HEARING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL OBJECTOR DEPOSITIONS

3

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

26

25

2728

Plaintiffs respectfully file this reply in support of their *Motion to Shorten Time for Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Objector Depositions* (Docket No. 334), requesting that the Court allow a hearing on *Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Objector Testimony* (Docket No. 333) on August 11, 2009. In the opposition filed this morning (Docket No. 336), Mr. Miller incorrectly claims a failure of service. On August 5, 2009, Plaintiffs served Mr. Miller <u>via email</u> and via first class mail with a copy of both motions. *See* Ex. A.

Mr. Miller sets forth no additional reasons why *Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Objector Depositions* should not be heard, as requested, on August 11. Because Mr. Miller was duly notified of both that motion and the motion to shorten time, and has had adequate time to prepare a response, *Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time for Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Objector Depositions* should be granted.¹

Dated: August 10, 2009. Respectfully submitted,

LESTER L. LEVY (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) MICHELE FRIED RAPHAEL (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) WOLF POPPER LLP

MARC M. SELTZER SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

STEPHEN D. SUSMAN (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Telephone: (713) 651-9366 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

E-Mail: ssusman@susmangodfrey.com

RACHEL S. BLACK (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

¹ Plaintiffs respectfully point out that, notwithstanding Miller's unsupported mischaracterizations, there is nothing "oppressive" about Plaintiffs' desire to take depositions of supposed objectors in advance of the Settlement Hearing. As set forth in *Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Objector Depositions*, Plaintiffs are well within the bounds of propriety in seeking to take depositions of objectors to determine their reasons for objecting and whether they have standing to do so. Indeed, Plaintiffs note that they recently deposed objector Matthew Weiss, who unlike Miller's clients did not refuse to attend his deposition.

Case5:05-cv-03649-JW Document337 Filed08/10/09 Page3 of 5

DANIEL J. SHIH (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 516-3880 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883
E-Mail: <u>rblack@susmangodfrey.com</u>
E-Mail: <u>dshih@susmangodfrey.com</u>
WILLIAM M. AUDET (117456) AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP
221 Main Street, Suite 1460 San Francisco, CA 94105-1938
Telephone: (415) 568-2555 Facsimile: (415) 568-2556
E-Mail: waudet@audetlaw.com
D //D : 11 (1:1
By <u>/s/ Daniel J. Shih</u> Daniel J. Shih
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on the date written above, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. The Court or the CM/ECF system will send notification of such filings to all CM/ECF participants.

I further certify that a true and correct copy of this document was sent via U.S. first-class mail, postage pre-paid, to all non-CM/ECF participants.

/s/ Daniel J. Shih
Daniel J. Shih

Case5:05-cv-03649-JW Document337 Filed08/10/09 Page5 of 5