27

28

	Case 5:05-cv-03649-JW	Document 65	Filed 07/21/2006	Page 1 of 1	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
9	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRI INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, et		Case No.: C 0	5-3649 JW PVT	
10	Plaintif)	ORDER RE I	ORDER RE LETTER DATED JULY 18, 2006 FROM PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL	
11	V.)	TO COURT	EMINITY S COUNSEL	
12	GOOGLE, INC.,				
.13	Defendant. The court is in receipt of a letter dated July 18, 2006 from Plaintiff's counsel to Judge Ware. Because the letter addresses a discovery dispute, it was forwarded to the assigned referral judge, Magistrate Judge Trumbull. ² Having reviewed the letter,				
Northern District of California 7					
515 515					
d)					
8 lg	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that any request for judicial relief in connection with discovery				
19	disputes must be made pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7 (and if necessary requests to shorten time				
20	pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6). Informal letters to the court complaining about an opposing party's				
21	failure to timely produce documents is not an appropriate vehicle for obtaining such relief, and will				
22	not be acted on by the court.				
23	Dated: 7/20/06	G	Patricia V. Trus	nlull	
24	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge				
25				<i>U</i> -	
26	The holding of this court is limited to the facts and the particular circumstances				

underlying the present motion.

Pursuant to the San Jose Division's Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases, "All disclosure or discovery disputes in cases assigned to district judges are referred to the assigned magistrate judge for determination pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(a)."