2 3 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 FRED LEON JACKSON JR., Case No. C 05-04110 JF (PR) 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 GRANTING DEFENDANTS' PARTE REQUEST FOR A 14 SIXTY-DAY EXTENSION OF CHARLES D. LEE et al. TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE 15 MOTION Defendants. 16 Defendants have filed a request for a sixty-day extension of time, up to and including 17 December 19, 2008, to file their summary-judgment motion or other dispositive motion. The 18 Court has read and considered Defendants' request, and good cause appearing, 19 20 IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' request for a sixty-day extension of time is granted. 21 Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion by December 19, 2008. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served 22 23 on Defendants no later than thirty days from the date Defendants' motion is filed. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than fifteen days after Plaintiff's opposition is filed. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 10/21/08 27 Dated: The Honorabl e Jeremy Fogel 28 U.S. District court Judge

•

[Proposed] Order Granting 60-Day EOT to File Dispositive Mot.

F L. Jackson v. Lee. et al. Case No. C 05-04110 JF (PR)