1 2 3 4 5 6 7			
8 9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9 10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12			
13	United States of America, NO. C 05-04167 JW		
14	Petitioner, ORDER RE: PETITION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE "JOHN DOE" SUMMONS		
15 16	In the Matter of Tax Liabilities of: John Does et al.,		
17	Respondents.		
18 19	On October 14, 2005, the United States of America filed an Ex Parte Petition for Leave to Serve		
20			
21	A John Doe summons may be issued only after the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") has		
22	established in an ex parte proceeding that the persons under investigation may have failed to comply with		
23	the tax laws. Specifically, title 26 U. S. C. § 7609(f) provides the IRS must establish that:		
24	1) the summons relates to the investigation of a particular person or ascertainable group or class of persons		
25	<ul><li>2) there is a reasonable basis for believing that such person or group or class of persons may fail or</li></ul>		
26	may have failed to comply with any provision of any internal revenue law, and		
27 28	3) the information sought to be obtained from the examination of the records or testimony (and the identity of the person or persons with respect to whose liability the summons is issued) is not readily available from other sources.		

**United States District Court** <sup>7</sup>or the Northern District of California 11

1 Congress enacted Section 7609(f) to preclude the IRS from using John Doe summonses to engage in "fishing expeditions." See U.S. v. Samuels, Kramer and Company, et al., 712 F.2d 1342, 1346 (9th Cir. 2 3 1983).

It appears from the Declaration of Barbara Kallenberg that from August of 2002 through August of 4 5 2004, Petitioner obtained leave of court to serve John Doe summonses on Visa, Mastercard, and 6 American Express, as well as various merchants and third party processors. Thus, by now Petitioner 7 should be able to provide more specific information to the Court with regard to what percentage of U.S. taxpayers with Offshore Accounts are failing to comply with U.S. internal revenue laws. Further, having 8 9 served numerous John Doe summonses for the past three years aimed at identifying U.S. taxpayers who 10 have Offshore Accounts, the IRS is now in a position to provide the Court with more specific information regarding the likelihood that a U.S. taxpayer with an Offshore Account may fail, or may have failed, to 12 comply with tax laws.

13 In light of the enormous amount of information regarding Offshore Account holders the IRS 14 apparently now has, reference to just eight individuals who violated tax laws and general information about 15 the use of Offshore banks in various periodicals is insufficient to show that the requested summons is not a 16 fishing expedition. Moreover, one of the articles quoted by Petitioner indicates that Offshore Accounts are 17 sometimes used for purposes other than tax evasion, such as to shield assets from creditors. (See Declaration of Barbara Kallenberg at 9:120-14.) Without more information about the percentage of U.S. 18 19 taxpayers who have used their Offshore Accounts not to avoid complying with U.S. tax laws, but instead to 20 conceal assets from creditors while still accurately reporting to the IRS, the Court cannot assess whether 21 the requested summons is an inappropriate expedition.

22 Accordingly, no later than **January 23, 2006**, Petitioner shall file a supplemental declaration attaching copies of Schedules A and B to the proposed form of summons, and explaining why the IRS 23 24 believes the account numbers and card numbers listed in Schedule A belong to U.S. taxpayers. Petitioner 25 shall file the supplemental declaration UNDER SEAL pursuant to this Order. The proposed form of the 26 summons is incomplete without the schedules and supporting documentation.

27

//

28

Dated: December 19, 2005

In addition, no later than January 30, 2006, Petitioner shall file a supplemental brief and supporting
declaration showing the number and percentage of U.S. taxpayers with Offshore Accounts (accounts from
countries listed in Petitioner's motion) that it has previously identify through John Doe summonses have been
determined to have failed to comply with any U.S. internal revenue law. The supplemental brief and
supporting declaration shall provide the numbers and percentages on a country by country basis.
These additional supplemental briefs will help the Court make an inform decision and avoid
unnecessary challenges to the summonses as mere fishing expeditions.

James Wase

JAMES WARE United States District Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF	THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:
2	Anton Leo Janik anton.l.janik@usdoj.gov	
3	Anton Leo Janik <u>anton.l.janik@usdoj.gov</u> Cynthia Lewis Stier <u>cynthia.stier@usdoj.gov</u> Jay R. Weill <u>jay.weill@usdoj.gov</u>	
4		
5	Detaile December 10, 2005	
6	Dated: December 19, 2005	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
7 8		By: <u>/s/ JW Chambers</u> Ronald L. Davis Courtroom Deputy
8 9		Courti com Deputy
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		