| Anthony v. Yahoo! | , Inc.   |  | Doc. 133         |  |
|-------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
|                   |  |  |                  |  |
|                   |  |  |                  |  |
| 1                 |  |  |                  |  |
| 2                 |  |  |                  |  |
| 3                 |  | E-FILED on:  | 9/17/2008        |  |
| 4                 |  |  | 3/11/12/00       |  |
| 5                 |  |  |                  |  |
| 6                 |  |  |                  |  |
| 7                 | IN THE UNITED STAT   | TES DISTRICT COURT   |                  |  |
| 8                 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |                  |  |
| 9                 | SAN JOSE DIVISION  |  |                  |  |
| 10                |  |  |                  |  |
| 11                | ROBERT ANTHONY, individually and on  | No. C-05-04175 RMW   |                  |  |
| 12                | behalf of others similarly situated,   | ORDER GRANTING MO  | OTIONS FOR FINAL |  |
| 13                | Plaintiff,   | SETTLEMENT APPROVAL, AWARD OF<br>ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES, AND                             |                  |  |
| 14                | v.   | CLASS REPRESENTATIVE INCENTIVE AWARD   |                  |  |
| 15                | YAHOO! INC., a Delaware corporation,   | [Re Docket Nos. 101, 112   | 2. 115 ]         |  |
| 16                | Defendant.   | <b>L</b> ,   | ,                |  |
| 17                |  | •  |                  |  |
| 18                | The Motions of plaintiff for Final Approval of Settlement, for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and for Class Representative Incentive Award were heard on November 30, 2007. One |  |                  |  |
| 19                |  |  |                  |  |
| 20                |  | written objection to the proposed settlement was received from Michael J. England who applauds |                  |  |
| 21                | plaintiff's counsel for pursuing Defendant Yahoo, Inc. but complains about the amount of attorneys'  |  |                  |  |
| 22                | fees and the "small sum" awarded to class members. The objection is overruled. The court finds the   |  |                  |  |
| 23                | settlement fair, adequate and reasonable and hereby gives final approval to it subject to the court's  |  |                  |  |
| 24                | continuing jurisdiction over the carrying out of the settlement. Approval is justified by the fact that  |  |                  |  |
| 25                | defendant's liability was in question, the injury to individual class members minimal and favorable  |  |                  |  |
| 26                | injunctive relief was negotiated. The settlement was reached only after negotiating sessions between   |  |                  |  |
| 27                | competent and experienced counsel with a respected mediator.   |  |                  |  |
| 28                | The court approves reimbursement of plain  | The court approves reimbursement of plaintiff's counsel's costs of \$54,430.82.                |                  |  |
| _0                | ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS FOR FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE INCENTIVE AWARD C-05-04175 RMW TSF                                |  |                  |  |

The court approves a Class Representative incentive award to Robert Anthony of \$20,000. His declaration supports significant time and effort investigating the facts and supporting the litigation.

Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees in the amount of 25% of the gross monetary recovery of \$4,000,000, specifically \$1,000,000 which Plaintiff says is approximately equal to the lodestar figure with a multiplier of 2.75. The court finds that a 25% contingency fee is fair under the circumstances of this case. Although the percentage of class members participating is not large, the plaintiff's counsel pursued the case diligently and negotiated meaningful injunctive relief and a sufficient monetary payment to cover the participating class members' losses.

However, as it warned counsel at the preliminary approval hearing, the court does not believe contingent attorney's fees should be calculated based upon gross recovery. The fee should be calculated on a net basis. In other words, plaintiff's counsel should only receive 25% of the net recovery after costs and administrative expenses have been deducted from the \$4,000,000. Somewhat troubling here is the uncertainty and size of the final amount to be charged for administrative fees. In Randy Rosenblum's Declaration in Support of Motion to Award Attorneys' Fees and Costs filed October 10, 2007, he represents administrative fees and costs through September 12, 2007 as totaling \$307,745.83. However, Jennifer M. Keough, a representative of the Claims Administrator, states that fees and costs through November 26, 2007 total \$519,564.24 and that the final administrative costs could run as high as \$1,000,000. Since the court retains jurisdiction of this case under Section IV.G, the court reserves jurisdiction to withhold payment from the settlement fund to the Claims Administrator, if any party objects to the final billing of the Claims Administrator and the court on review finds the charge excessive.

Based on the foregoing, the court approves an award of attorney's fees based on the following calculations:

<u>Gross Recovery:</u> \$4,000,000

- \$20,000

\$54,430.82

(incentive award)

(attorney's expenses)

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS FOR FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE INCENTIVE AWARD C-05-04175 RMW

TSF

| 1  | _             | \$ X  | (total administrative expenses)  |
|----|---------------|---|--|
| 2  | Net Recovery: | \$3,925,569.18 – \$X                          |  |
| 3  |               | 25% of (\$3,925,569                           |  |
| 4  |               |   |  |
| 5  | DATED:9/      | 16/2008                                       | Romald m white   |
| 6  |               |   | RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge   |
| 7  |               |   | , and the second |
| 8  |               |   |  |
| 9  |               |   |  |
| 10 |               |   |  |
| 11 |               |   |  |
| 12 |               |   |  |
| 13 |               |   |  |
| 14 |               |   |  |
| 15 |               |   |  |
| 16 |               |   |  |
| 17 |               |   |  |
| 18 |               |   |  |
| 19 |               |   |  |
| 20 |               |   |  |
| 21 |               |   |  |
| 22 |               |   |  |
| 23 |               |   |  |
| 24 |               |   |  |
| 25 |               |   |  |
| 26 |               |   |  |
| 27 |               |   |  |
| 28 |               |   |  |
| 20 |               | OTIONS FOR FINAL SETT<br>NTATIVE INCENTIVE AW | LEMENT APPROVAL, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES<br>ARD  |

3

C-05-04175 RMW

TSF

| 1  | Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | Counsel for Plaintiff(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Brett Lee Rosenthal<br>Manuel Dobrinsky  | Brett@mcnultylaw.com<br>MDobrinsky@fdlaw.net   |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Peter J. McNulty<br>Philip Freidin   | peter@mcnultylaw.com<br>PFreidin@fdlaw.net   |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Randy Rosenblum<br>T. Omar Malone  | RRosenblum@fdlaw.net<br>OMalone@fdlaw.net  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Counsel for Defendant(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Michele D. Floyd   | mfloyd@reedsmith.com<br>Khopkins@reedsmith.com   |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Kerry Hopkins  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | registered for e-filing t  | under the court's CM/ECF program.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | D. 4. 1. 0/17/   | 7000 TOF   |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | <b>Dated:</b> 9/17/  | 2008 TSF Chambers of Judge Whyte   |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 00000  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | AND CLASS REPRESENTA   | ONS FOR FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES<br>TIVE INCENTIVE AWARD |  |  |  |  |
|    | C-05-04175 RMW<br>TSF  | 4  |  |  |  |  |