1 2 \*\*E-Filed 11/26/08\*\* 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 12 Case Number C 05-4709 JF **IN RE** 13 JTS CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, fka ORDER1 DENYING TRAMIEL'S ATARI CORPORATION, MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING 14 LIEN ON HIS HOME Debtor. 15 16 SUZANNE L. DECKER, Trustee, 17 Appellant-Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 JACK TRAMIEL. 20 Appellee-Defendant. 21 22 Appellee-Defendant Jack Tramiel ("Tramiel") seeks an order releasing the judgment lien 23 on his home that was obtained by the Trustee following this Court's entry of judgment on 24 October 20, 2006. Tramiel argues that he provided the Trustee with an irrevocable letter of 25 credit ("LOC") in the amount of \$12 million based upon an understanding that the Trustee 26 thereafter would release the lien; that the Trustee has refused to release the lien; and that the 27 28 <sup>1</sup> This order is not designated for publication in the official reports. Case No. C 05-4709 JF ORDER DENYING TRAMIEL'S MOTION FOR ORDER RELEASING LIEN ON HIS HOME (JFLC2)

Decker v. Tramiel et al

Doc. 57

Trustee now unfairly holds "double security" pending appeal. In response, the Trustee argues that she did not agree to release the lien upon receipt of the LOC, but rather agreed to stay execution of the judgment upon receipt of the LOC. The Trustee asserts that she made clear that she would not release the lien unless Tramiel provided her with a recordable covenant precluding sale or encumbrance of his property pending appeal. The Trustee further argues that this Court lacks jurisdiction to order the release of the judgment lien, which was duly recorded under applicable state law.

After reviewing the authorities presented by the parties, the Court is not persuaded that it has the authority to order release of the lien. Moreover, even if it were to conclude that it does have such authority, the Court would deny Tramiel's motion based upon the record before it.

Ordinarily, in order to stay execution of a judgment pending appeal, a party must obtain a supersedeas bond. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d). While the Court has authority to order alternative security, *see* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8017(b), the record is devoid of information supporting a finding that alternative security is appropriate in this case. Tramiel states that he has provided a statement of his assets to the Trustee on several occasions. However, it appears that the last such statement was provided more than two years ago; the Court takes judicial notice of the changes in the economy since then. Moreover, the statement was not provided to the Court. Accordingly, the Court concludes that a supersedeas bond is the appropriate security in the instant case. Counsel for the Trustee stated on the record that the judgment lien would be extinguished in the event that Tramiel provides a supersedeas bond. Tramiel thus has it within his own power to obtain the relief sought.

The Court's decision is not intended to interfere with the Trustee's agreement to stay execution of the judgment in exchange for the LOC. While it is not clear that the parties had a meeting of the minds with respect to the import of the LOC, if the parties wish to keep the status quo pending appeal – under which the Trustee would hold both the judgment lien and the LOC – the Court has no objection.

This ruling is without prejudice to a motion for a stay of execution based upon alternative security, for example, a LOC in an appropriate amount. Any such motion must be supported by a

statement of Tramiel's assets sufficient to persuade the Court that an alternative to a supersedeas bond is warranted in this case. Accordingly, the motion for release of the lien is DENIED. DATED: 11/26/08 United States D strict Judge 

1	This Order was served on the following persons:
2	
3	Christian Bayard Nielsen cbn@robinsonwood.com
4	Daniel Rapaport drapaport@wendel.com, calendar@wendel.com, gcone@wendel.com
5	George Larry Engel lengel@mofo.com, ralmanzor@mofo.com
6	Jeffrey Cliff Wurms jwurms@wendel.com, calendar@wendel.com, cking@wendel.com
7 8	Mai T. Buell Law Offices of Robinson and Wood 227 N. First Street #300
9	San Jose, CA 95113
10	Marilyn Morgan U.S. Bankruptcy Court
11	280 South First Street, Room 3035 San Jose, CA 95113
12	USBC Manager-San Jose
13	US Bankruptcy Court 280 South First Street, Room 3035 San Jose, CA 95113
14	Sall Jose, CA 93113
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25 26	
26 27	
28	
20	