Netscape Communications Corporation et al v. Federal Insurance Company et al

Case 5:06-cv-00198-JW Document 133-9 Filed 04/12/2007 Page 1 of 5

Doc. 133 Att. 8

EXHIBIT 7

26

28

275 Battery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94111

Embarcadero Center West

Gordon & Rees LLP

1

ONLINE INC.'S ("AOL") Requests for Admissions, Set One In particular, ST. PAUL

supplements its response to Request for Admission No. 4 27

Case No. C-06-090198 JW (PVT)

TRAV/1036622/1144891v 1

5

6

3

8

9

275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 Embarcadero Center West

Gordon & Rees LLP

19

21

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that the SMARTDOWNLOAD CLAIM does not involve "3rd party advertising."

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Based upon the information provided to St Paul at the time the class action suits and AG Investigation involving the SmartDownload product were tendered to St. Paul the response was: ADMIT

St. Paul objects to the consideration of or admission of any information that was not provided to St. Paul at the time the class action suits and AG Investigation involving the SmartDownload product were tendered to St. Paul. Such information is irrelevant and contrary to Virginia and California law. Fed. Rule of Evid. 401, 402. See, e.g., Resource Bankshares Corp. v. St. Paul Mercury Ins. Co., 407 F 3d 631, 636 (4th Cir. 2005) (applying Va Law); America Online, Inc. v. St. Paul Mercury Ins. Co 347 F 3d 89, 93 (4th Cir. 2003); Waller v. Truck Ins. Exchg., 44 Cal. Rptr. 2d 370, 378 (Cal. 1995); Safeco Ins. Co. v. Parks, 19 Cal Rptr.3d 17, 24-25, 27 (Cal App. 2004); Haggerty v. Federal Ins. Co., 32 Fed. Appx. 845, 848 (9th Cir. 2002). St. Paul further objects to the term "involve" as vaque and ambiguous such that Request for Admission No. 4 cannot be meaningfully answered.

Subject to these objections, St. Paul further responds as follows. Based upon the new information plaintiffs provided during discovery in this coverage lawsuit and in the arguments now being advanced in support of their motion for partial summary judgment, the response to the request is: DENY.

Dated: February 9, 2007

GORDON & REES LLP

Sara M. Thorpe Attorneys for Defendant ST. PAUL MERCURY

INSURANCE COMPANY

Case No. C-06-090198 JW (PVT)

mule_

3

8

Gordon & Rees LLP

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

VERIFICATION

), Aaron Latto, declare:

- I am 2nd Vice President, Business Insurance Claim, employed by 1. Travelers Indemnity Company and authorized to make this Verification on behalf of St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company, defendant in this lawsuit ("St. Paul").
- I have read St. Paul's SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF AMERICA ONLINE, INC 'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION and know the contents thereof. To the extent I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth therein, the same are true and correct. To the extent said matters are a composite of information from a number of individuals or documents or I do not have personal knowledge thereof, I am informed and believe that the information set forth therein for which I lack personal knowledge is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed this 9th day of February, 2007, in St. Paul, Minnesota

275 Battery Strect, Suite 2000

Embarcadero Center West

Gordon & Rees LLP

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

Netscape Communications Corp., v Federal Ins. Co., et al. Case No. C 06 00198 JW

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Embarcadero Center West, 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000, San Francisco, CA 94111. On the date noted below, I served the within document(s):

Defendant St. Paul Mercury Insurance Company's Supplemental Response To Plaintiff America Online Inc's First Set Of Requests For Admission

by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p m

by placing a true copy of the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with $|\mathbf{x}|$ postage thereon fully prepaid or provided for, at a station designated for collection and processing of envelopes and packages for mailing with the United States Post Office. addressed as set forth below

by placing a true copy of the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid or provided for, at a station designated for collection and processing of envelopes and packages for mailing by overnight delivery by FedEx. addressed as set forth below

by transmitting via the internet the document(s) listed above to the email address(es) X set forth below.

Attys for Plaintiffs: Michael Bruce Abelson, Esq Leslie A Pereira ABELSON HERRON LLP 333 South Grand Ave., Suite 650 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1559

mabelson@abelsonherron.com pereira@abelsonherron.com

(213) 402-1900 ph (213) 402-1901 fax Attys for Plaintiffs:

Daniel J. Bergeson, Esq. Marc G. Van Niekerk BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Blvd , Suite 500 San Jose, CA 95110-2712

dbergeson@be-law.com myanniekerk@be-law.com

(408) 291-6200 ph (408) 297-6000 fax

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service and Fed Ex on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 9, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

Case No. C-06-090198 JW (PVT)

DEFENDANT ST PAUL MERCURY INSURANCE COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS